THE TRIPĀDĪ

BEING AN ABRIDGED ENGLISH RECAST OF

PÜRVATRĀSIDDHAM

(AN ANALYTICAL-SYNTHETICAL INQUIRY INTO THE SYSTEM OF THE LAST THREE CHAPTERS OF PÁNINI S ASTADHYÁYI)

BY

H. E. BUISKOOL, PH D



LEIDEN E J BRILL 1939 Copyright 1939 by E. J. Brill, Leiden, Holland. All rights reserved, including the right to translate or to reproduce this book or parts thereof in any form.

CONTENTS

Sour	CES AND LITERATURE	Page XIII			
	INTRODUCTION	*****			
CHAPTER I					
The Astadhyāyi Introductory observations and general analysis					
I General form and contents of the Astadhyayi Style					
11	Nature of the Astadhyayi The Indian word \$ 2				
	A Vyākarana Çabdanuçāsana B Word elements	4			
	1 Form	5			
	2 Function	11			
Ш	Methodical planning of the Astadhyayi Analysis synthesis \$ 3				
	A General plan	12			
	B. The two parts of the Astadhyayı	15			
IV	General analysis of the two parts \$ 4				
	A First part	17			
	B Second part	19			
٧	Principles of Panini's system § 5				
	A Grouping	21			
	B Junction Combination Brevity of expression C Neutralisation of sutras	23			
	D Logical mnemotechnical and asiddhatva principle	25 26			
	•	20			
	THE TRIPADI				
	TER II				
	hasās and Adhikaras Their relation to the Tripādi Paribhāsas § 6				
	A Paribhasas mentioned by Panini himself 1 Their normal application also with reference to				
	the sutras of the Tripadi	27			
	2 Interpretation of the sthanivat sutras	28			
	B Paribhāsās which do not occur in the Astādhyayi	38			

		Page
II	Adhikaras § 7	
	A Their validity also with reference to sutras of	
	the Tripadi	44
	B The adhikāra "Padasya (VIII 2 16)	
	I The notion "pada	
	a Word padas	45
	8 Stem padas	47
	2 The genitive Padasya	48
	3 Combining with adhikāra sūtras	50
Снар	TER III	
The l	ogical principle	
	Subject matter of the Tripadi § 8	
	A Sphere of application of the sutras contained in	
	I-VIII I	52
	B Subject matter of the Tripadi Restoration of vowels	-
	and consonants	54
TT	Division of the subject matter § 9	٠.
	A Sphere of application of the sutras	
	B Division of the subject matter The Tripadi a system	55
	of groups	
	I Formation groups	58
	2 Position groups	59
	3 Thematical groups	59 59
		39
	TER IV	
	asiddhatva principle	
I	The notion asiddhatva \$ 10	
	A Primary asiddhatva	63
	B Secondary asiddhatva	65
11	The asiddhatva as a principle § 11	
	A The asiddhatva a methodical principle	66
	B Existence of asiddhatva	73
	I Formation group I	74
	2 Formation group II	0-
	a Position group A b Position group B	86
	c Position group C	89
	C Asiddhatva tacitly ignored	94
	o zistuniatya taciny ignored	98

138

141

140

151

153

b Position group B

c Position group C

2 Ac division

II Higher groups \$ 15

CONCLUSION

PREFACE

While laying before the public the English version of my Pārvatrāsiddham, I consider it a privilege to express my gratitude to all those Sanskritists who, whether directly, or by means of their favourable criticisms in various periodicals, have furthered this publication

It was, indeed, a great satisfaction to me when, even before the Dutch edition was out of print, Professor C C UHLENBECK, Professor RAGHU VIRA, and other distinguished scholars, advised me to translate my treatise into an international language I regret all the more that, owing to various circumstances, the MS of this work, which had already been finished some years ago, could not until now go to press

This English edition is not a mere translation of the Dutch one, but rather an (abridged) recast. While, on the one hand, the treatment of the details has, in general, remained the same, I have, on the other hand, not hesitated to make some alterations in the order and the arrangement of some of the subjects. The reader who compares "The Tripādi" with Pūrvatrasiddham will observe that the present recast gave me the opportunity to revise and even amend the argumentation of certain passages which had not been satisfactorily expounded in Pūrvatrāsiddham.

I should like to acknowledge my indebtedness to my gurn, Professor B FADDEGON, of the University of Amsterdam, Professor Sten Konow, of the University of Oslo, and

XII PREFACE

others to whose kind suggestions I owe some of these improvements

In conclusion I wish to express my sincere thanks to Mr Th Folkers, Manager of Messrs E J Brill, and his staff, for the pains they have taken in dealing with the technical part of the work

Leyden, July 1939

HEB

SOURCES AND LITERATURE

- Ballantyne, LK. = Laghukaumudi A Sanskrit Grammar by Varadaraja, with an English version, commentary and references, by James R. Ballantyne, LL D, Benares MDCCCXCI
- Bechtel, Hauptprobleme = Die Hauptprobleme der indogermani schen Lautlehre seit Schleicher, von Fritz Bechtel, Göttingen 1892
- Belvalkar, Systems = An account of the different existing systems of Sanskrit Grammar, by Shripad Krishna Belvalkar, M. A., Ph. D., Poona 1915
- Boer, Oudnoorsch Handboek = Oudnoorsch Handboek, door Prof Dr R. C. Boer, Haarlem 1020
- ---, Oergermaansch Handboek = Oergermaansch Handboek, door Prof Dr R C Boer, Haarlem 1924
- Böhtlingk, Pånını = Panını's Grammatık, herausgegeben übersetzt, erlautert und mit verschiedenen Indices versehen von Otto Bohtlingk, Leipzig 1887
- Brug mann Vergl Gr = Vergleichende Laut-, Stammbildungs und Flexionslehre der indogermanischen Sprachen von Karl Brugmann
 - II, 1, Strassburg 1906
 - II, 3, 1, Strassburg 1913
- Delbrück, Einleitung = Bibliothek indogermanischer Sprachen, Band IV von B Delbrück, Leipzig 1919
- DhP = Dhatupatha, ed Liebich, Zur Einfuhrung III
- Faddegon, Studies on Panini's Grammar Verhandeling der Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen te Amsterdam Afdeeling Letterkunde. Nieuwe Reeks, Deel XXXVIII, N° 1 Noord Hollandsche Ustgeversmaatschappij Amsterdam 1936
- —, Mnemotechnics = The mnemotechnics of Panini's Grammar, I The Gwa Sütra Paper read at the 1st International Gongress of Linguists on the 13th of April 1928 by B Faddegon, Acta Onentalia VII
- GP = Ganapatha ed Bohtlingk, Pānini
- Gelpke, Anantabhatta = Anantabhatta's Padārtha prakāça, Ein Kanya Kommentar zum Vajasaneyi Prātiçākhya, Gottingen 1929
- Goldstücker, Panini = Panini, His place in Sanskrit Literature, by Theodor Goldstucker, London, Berlin MDCCCLXI

- Kaç. = Kăçıka, A Commentary on Pānini s grammatical aphorisms by Pandit Vamana and Jayaditya, edited by Pandit Bala Çastrī, Benares 1898
- Kaegi, RV = Der Rigveda, die alteste Literatur der Inder, von Adolf Kaegi Leipzig 1881
- Kielhorn, Relation = Kātyāyana and Patañjali their relation to each other and to Pānini, by F Kielhorn, Ph D, Bombay 1876
- —, Grammar = A Grammar of Sanskrit by F Kielhorn, Ph D, Bombay 1880
- Liebich, Konkordanz = Konkordanz Pānini Candra, von Dr Bru no Liebich, Indische Forschungen, 6 Heft, Breslau 1928
- —, Zur Einfuhrung = Zur Einfuhrung in die Indische einhei mische Sprachwissenschaft, Sitzungsberichte der Heidelberger Aka demie der Wissenschaften
 - I Das Katantra, von Bruno Liebich, Heidelberg 1919
 - II Historische Einführung und Dhätupätha, von Bruno Liebich, Heidelberg 1919
 - III Der Dhatupatha von Bruno Liebich, Heidelberg 1920
 - IV Analyse der Candra Vrtti, von Bruno Liebich, Heidelberg 1920
- —, KsT = Ksıratarangını, Ksırasvamın's Kommentar zu Pānını's Dhatupātha Zum ersten Mal herausgegeben von Dr Bruno Liebich.
- Dhatupatha Zum ersten Mai herausgegeben von Dr. Bruno Liebich, Indische Forschungen 8/9, Breslau 1930

 ——. Zwei Kapitel = Zwei Kapitel der Kaçıkâ, übersetzi und mit
- emer Einleitung versehen von Bruno Liebich, Dr. phil , Breslau 1892
- Macdonell, Vedic Grammar = Vedic Grammar by A A Macdonell, Strassbourg 1910
- $\mathit{Mbh} = Vyakarana-Mahabhāsya$ of Patañjalı, edited by F Kıelhorn, Ph D,
 - Vol I Bombay 1880,
 - Vol II, Bombay 1906,
 - Vol III. Bombay 1000
- Monier Williams, Grammar = A particular Grammar of the Sanskrit language by Sir M Monier Williams, Oxford 1877
- Pbhl = The Paribhasenduçekhara of Nagojibhatta, edited and explained by F Kielhorn, Ph. D., Part I, The Sanskrit text and various readings, Bombay 1868
- PbhI, tr = Idem, Part II, Translation and Notes, Bombay 1874. PhS = Phit Sūtra, ed SdhK., Vol III, p 112—125
- Renou, Grammaire = Louis Renou, Grammaire Sanskrite, Tome I, Phonetique, Composition, Derivation, Paris 1930

- Ries, Was ist Syntax? = Was ist Syntax? Ein kritischer Versuch von John Ries, Prag 1927
- SdhK = The Siddhanta Kaumudi of Bhattoji Diksita, edited and translated into English,
 - Vol I, by Çrîça Chandra Vasu and Vamana Dāsa Vasu, Allahabad,
 - Vol II, Part I, by Çrıça Chandra Vasu B A, Allahabad 1906, Part II by Çriça Chandra Vasu and Vâmana Dāsa Vasu, Allahabad 1907,
 - Vol III, by Çrıça Chandra Vasu, Allahabad 1905
- Sköld, Papers = Papers on Pänini and Indian Grammar in general, by Hannes Skold, Lund, Leipzig 1926
- Speyer, Analecta = Indologische Analecta, von J S Speyer, Zeit schrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Band LXIV, Leipzig 1910
- Surya Kān ta, RT = Rktantram, A Pratiçākhya of the Sāmave da, critically edited by Professor Sūrya Kanta Shastri, M.A.M O L., Lahore 1933
- Thieme, Panini and the Veda = Panini and the Veda Studies in the Early History of Linguistic Science in India Allahabad 1935
- Thumb, Handbuch = Handbuch des Sanskrit mit Texten und Glossar, Eine Einführung in das sprachwissenschaftliche Studium des Altindischen, von Dr. Albert Thumb, Heidelberg 1905
- UnS = Unnadi Sütra, ed SdhK, Vol II, Part II, p 147-333
- Wackernagel, Altindische Grammatik = Altindische Grammatik von Jacob Wackernagel, I Lautlehre, Göttingen 1896
- Whitney, Grammar = A Sanskrit Grammar, including both the classical language, and the older dialects, of Veda and Brahmana, by William Dwight Whitney, Leipzig 1879
- —, Roots = The roots, verb-forms and primary derivatives of the Sanskrit Language by William Dwight Whitney, Leipzig 1885
- Winternitz, Geschichte = Geschichte der indischen Literatur, von Dr. M. Winternitz. Dritter Band, Leipzig. 1922.
- Wundt, Volkerpsychologie = Völkerpsychologie, Eine Untersuchung der Entwicklungsgesetze von Sprache, Mythus und Sitte, von Wilhelm Wundt Zweiter Band, Die Sprache, Leipzig 1912

INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER I. THE ASTĀDHYĀYĪ INTRODUCTORY OBSERVATIONS AND GENERAL ANALYSIS

I GENERAL FORM AND CONTENTS OF THE ASŢĀDHYĀYĪ. STYLE INSTEAD OF SYSTEM?

§ 1

The concuse style of Panini's sutras has been highly praised by Western and vernacular scholars') The older Indian grammarians, as is well known, rejoiced at the saving of even the leigth of half a short vowel as greatly as at the birth of a son?' "Wober", Kaegi says?), "noch daran zu erinnern ist, dass ohne einen Sohn, der die Sterbeceremonien verriehtete, ein Brahmane nicht glaubte, in den Himmel gelangen zu konnen"

Belvalkar⁴), Winternitz⁵) and others freely call the sūtrapātha a complete grammar (See, however, Wackernagel, Altındısche Grammatık, p LXX seq and Liebich, Zwei Kapitel, p XXXIII seqq, and comp 5 2.)

Yet Panini is blamed for having sacrificed system for the sake of style, or even for having unnecessarily neglected it in its manner of formulating and arranging the stirras. Belvalkar, who nevertheless judges by that "if Panini seems to depart from this [s.c. a complete programme] in places it is more for convenence of treatment than for anything else", regards 7) the division of the samdhi prakarana (under VI s and VIII 2-4) as a lapse in regular logical sequence which he is inclined to ascribe to "Panini's anxiety to secure a maximum of brevity". "[Die] knappe[n], im schwierigen Sutrastil gehaltene[n] Regeln." b) are still more rejected by Wackernage! "Die Moglichkeit, Worte zu ersparen", he supposes "), "[mochte] [Spatere] dazu fuhren,

Regeln aus ihrem naturlichen Zusammenhang herauszureissen und anderswohin zu versetzen" and he even speaks 1) of a "fur P[An1n1] eigentumliche Art von Un ordnung" Winternitz, too, thinks 2) "dass dem Panini Kurze die Hauptsache [sei] und Sütras überall eingesehoben [wurden], wo sie sich der Kurze wegen am besten einfügen liessen, wenn auch dadurch oft nicht Zusammengehoriges neben einander gestellt [wurde]' Many years before him already Whitney expressed 3) his disapproval of the highly artful and difficult form of about four thousand algebraic formula-like rules in the statement and arrangement of which brevity alone is had in view, at the cost of distinctness and unambiguousness". And Aufrecht spoke 9) of the "brevitas et obscuritas, quibus Panini ejusque schola studuerunt"

Statements and criticisms which show that opinions have very little changed since Colebrooke wrote 5) "The studied breuty of the Paninja satiras renders them in the highest degree obscure, even with the knowledge of the key to their interpretation, the student finds them ambiguous In the application of them, when understood, he discovers many seeming contradictions, and with every exertion of practised memory, he must experience the utmost difficulty in combining rules dispersed in apparent confusion through different portions of Panini's eight Lectures The apparent simplicity of the design vanishes in the perplexity of the structure. The endless pursuit of exceptions and limitations so dispoins the general precepts that the reader cannot keep in view their intended connexion and mutual relation. He wanders in an intrnate maze, and the clew of the labyrinth is continually slipping from his hands"

Obs — With reference to the Siddhants-Kaumildi and and similar works in which a different arrangement of Pānini's sutras has been attempted, Colebrooke says') 'But the sutras of Pānini, thus detached from their context are wholly maintelligable, methods the exonmentator's exposition, they are indeed, what Sir William Jones has somewhere termed them, "dark as the darkest oracle" "Likewise Böhtlingk", "paptere Grammatken,

¹⁾ Ibid., p. 63 Note 3) Winternitz, Geschichte, p. 394 2) Whitner, Grammer, p. zi. 4) Liebich, Zur Enfahrung I, p. z., Note 5) Ballantyne, Legan-Kammuh, Preface, p. zserq 5) Ibid. p. u. 7) Bohillingk, loc. cit., Piclicitung p. zri

die Panini's Sütra aus der unverruchbaren Ordnung gebracht haben, um alles dem Stoffe nach Zusammengehörige an einander zu reihen, sind ohne ausfühliche Commentare, die stets auf etwas weit Vorangegangenes oder Folgendes Rucksicht nehmen mussen, ganz unverstandlich und als Missgriffe zu betrachten".

If then, as late as 1887, in flat contradiction with all that has been quoted above. Bohtlingk judges!) "Die Anordnung der Sutras kann uns hier und da befremden, ist aber streng durchdacht und in bewundernswurdiger Weise durchgeführt", he is answered by Faddegon?) "In his laudation Bohtlingk is more assertive than argumentative ... The reader who reads Panini for the first time, will be painfully struck by the fact, that the text of the first chapters totally contradicts the assertion of Bohtlingk. Numerous references to later passages are already needed here and are indeed given by Bohtlingk himself in his translation. And even these references are not sufficient in number. Already at the second chapter of the first book the reader is overwhelmed by its grammatical intricacies, and when he has finished the eight books, he only possesses a chaotic impression of details". (See, however, Faddegon's "Studies on Panini's Grammar", which result in a high appreciation)

System is obviously not unanimously ascribed to Panini The question arises whether Panini really aims at shortness of expression only or, though making unmistakable concessions to this, starts nevertheless from a thorough mental planning of the subject matter.

The following chapters are to be looked upon as an attempt to answer this question, as far as the most remarkable part of the Astādhvāvī, the Tribādī, is concerned

Rem. — As appears from several data, Pānini was not the first Indian grammanan Highly instructive are in this respect the recent statements of Sūrya Kānta Shastri ?) While, thus, on the one hand, Pānini may have borrowed from predecessors, it is on the other hand no less probable that later grammarians should have made their interpolations in his work ?) As to this, all we know

¹⁾ Ibid 7) Faddegon, Mnemotechnics Act Orient VII, p 48 seqq 3) Surya Kanta Shastri, KT, faisim Comp also the suther's Parvatrasidiham, Dutched, p 6 seq 4) Cf Skold, Papers, p 30

is that the Astādhyāyī must have had its present form already in the 5th century A D 1) A terminus ad quem cannot be given

Throughout the following pages since they do not concern the historical growth of the work, we shall speak of "Pāṇṇṇ".

II NATURE OF THE ASTADHYAYI THE INDIAN WORD

§ 2

a vyakarana çabdānuçāsana

Pānini's rāstra is always and emphatically termed a vyākarana. In view of the fact that in the pratiţākhyas the complete word is the object of investigation, one is inclined to comprehend a vyākarana as a word analysis. This is, indeed, implied by its name vyākriyate neneti vyākaranama'). Gold stucker says') «Vyākarana meas 'undomg',' i e analysis, and Pānini's grammar is intended to be a linguistic analysis, it undoes words and undoes sentences which consist of words, it examines the component parts of a word, and therefore teaches us the properties of base and affix and all the linguistic phenomena connected with both, it examines the relation, in sentences, of one word to another, and likewise unfolds all the linguistic phenomena which are inseparable from the meeting of words'

Analysis, therefore, in its usual sense. "Synthetische Darstellung", says Liebich 'h, "bis zur logischen Einheit, dem Satze", and he believes that "man fragen könnte, ob nicht das panneissche System in seinen Grundzugen noch heut mit Vorteil zu verwenden ware."

The name is of no consequence. As regards the subject-matter the descriptive formulas of the Astādhyāyi, save a few rare exceptions, contains the grammar of the word, by which, according to Ries' clear statements'), that part of grammar is to be understood which deals with both the internal and the external inflection of the word (taken in the sense as applied to it by Wundt's).

¹⁾ Liebich, Konkordanr, p 49 2) MBh on Ir, 1, Vāru 12, Vol I, p 11 2) Goldstücker, Pāṇṇi, p 196 4) Liebich, Zwei Kaṇitel, p xxxii 5) Ries, Was ist Syntax? p 67 9) Wundt, Volkerpsychologie II, p 1 seq

The Astādhyay1 is therefore rightly called 1) a rabdānutasana, a grammar of the (sentence)word as a symbol for a notion. When Patañjalı asks himself of what elements the word is composed, he finds 2) that, what has been said, tiz. that word = (A) word form (rabda), (B) signification (artha), and (C) relation (ambandha), is right

This conception of the word notion, in skipping the Greek grammar, has been a pattern to the later European grammar and may, therefore, conveniently be judged from a modern standpoint. Thus Panini distinguishes

I. (Form) (A) auditive articulatory elements 1 Form of the root, 2 Form of the affixes (in the broad sense as adopted by Brugmann *)), 3 Accentuation, 4 Mutual order of these elements, 5 (c q) Auxiliaries,

II (Function) noumenal elements

- (B) Significations,
- (C) Relations

How this is to be conceived, may be traced as follows

B WORD ELEMENTS

1 Form

Grammatically Pānini distinguishes words as verbs and (primary and secondary) nouns (Thus already Kātyāyana³)) Indeclinables are regarded as nouns with dropped case-endings. Hence all words are either verba finita or casus (with possible zero-ending). Both form categories are called (word) padas (cf § 7). Hence the question may be put in this way. In what manner are these padas to be constructed out of the five elements mentioned above (I (A) 1—5)?

1 Roots

By roots Panini understands 1 (original or primary roots) the forms, deprived of anubandhas, enumerated by him in the

¹⁾ MEh, p 1 2) MEh I, p 6, 1 14 seq Kathom punar idam bhagaradah Panner acarpaya lahtanan pravriton ? (Fortika) * Saddhe fabdartha iombandhe *) Faddegon, Woord en Zin 4) Brugmann, Vergl Grammat k II, I p 8 *) VZI Frat I, 27

Dhātupātha, 2 (derived or secondary roots) formations which arise by the addition a) of the so-called suffixes of the secondary conjugation to primary roots according to III I = 5 seqq, 22 seqq, $25, 2^{ad}$ pt. seq 28 seqq, b) of certain suffixes to nominal formations (see Obs 5)

2. Pratyayas

The name pratyaya is applied to the word parts (affixes) treated of in adhyāyas III-V

As appears from the manner in which it is indicated (I 1 46 seq.), an affix is sometimes conceived as an augment, 1 e an increase, hence part of the preceding or following portion of the word. Thus the subjunctive- and optative precative-signs are regarded as an increment prefixed to the personal endings (III 494, seq., 102, seq., 107) similarly the 1 of *utchati (VI 1 73). (> techati VIII 4 40) is regarded as an addition to the 1 of the root 1ch (<15 VII 377), the m (muk) of participial mana as an enlargement of canac (VII 282), the (vuk) in babhuva is an extension of the root bha (VI 4 88), and so on

Part of the remaining suffixes are added directly to the root To these belong in the first place the *inkaranas* (III 1, 33—90, see survey below)

A finite verb arises when a personal ending is put after the vikarana or, as far as perfects and precatives are concerned (III 4 115 116) immediately after the (original or derived) root (cf however III r 85)

Obs I — In certain cases the vikarana may altogether or partly be elided ,or changed (cf II 4 72 seqq (cl 2 and 3) VI 4 III seq, etc, further VI 1 97 and the like)

Besides of finite verbs the root may, by the suffixing of an a th or by the substitution of the latter for a tm suffix, become the starting point of a nominal formation. The suffix employ of here, in so far as it is marked by the anubandha ϵ possibly preceded by a vikarana (for instance in bhodant- (III a 124) with a < a (sap) + a (satr) (VI x, 97)), is called krt (III t 93)

Obs 2 — A krt-suffix usually indicates the agent (III 4 67) Now there are such nominal formations as agra and the like, which on account of their form should be considered as krts without being recognizable as distinct

derivations from a root. Before Panini they were, under the influence of the school of Cākatāyana, forcedly explained as derivations from roots and the suffixes that contribute to such formations (n(n)) and so on) were distinguished as Unādi (Unnādi 1)) suffixes. Without ascribing a special character to them, Pānini confines himself to verifying the existence of such affixes and noun-stems (III 3 1—3, 4 75). By the side of them there exist formations in Unnādi suffixes which are placed on a level with other suffixes (comp. VII 2 9)

By further suffixing, a krt-, sometimes also a tin formation, can be extended to a new (secondary) nominal formation A suffix thus employed is called taddhita

Obs, 3 — Hence, there is a contradiction between Nyāp prātipadikāt (IV 1 1) and the putting of V 3 56 under this adhikāra, Cf also V 4 II seq

With the krt and taddhita-formations members of compounds (with the exception of the last one), indeclinables and pronominal stems are, further, taken together and, as prātipadikas (crude forms or bases), ranged into one group (see I 2 45 seq q)

Obs 4 — In order to make it possible that in certain cases dhātus, too, may do duty as prātipadihas, a suffix is sometimes constructed which later on is elided again (e.g. in the case of VI x 67, cf. 1 x 62)

To a prātīpadīka may, further, be appended I case suffixes (syncopated after indeclinables II 4 82), 2 motion suffixes (IV r 3 seqq) and 3 new taddhīta suffixes (like the samāsānta suffixes V 4 68—end of the pāda) In the last-mentioned case a new prātīpadīka arises After this, agam, the same three kinds of suffixes may be put, 1, and (cf āryikā (<āryakā <āryākā (<ārya+ka +ap), VII 4 13, 3 44, 46)) likewise 3, also after feminine stems And so on

Obs 5 — By the addition of the denominative-suffixes ya, $k\bar{u}mya$ and z and the suffixes of the secondary compation to pratipadikas and cases (II z 8 seq. 25, first part, 27) — with possible syncopation or epenthesis of certain speech-sounds — the second group of derived roots arises (p 6) (III z 32)

t) Goldstücker, Panini, p 54, cf MBA, Preface, p 9 seq

Obs 6 — The nu formations are divided in three groups by Panini I those of III 1 21 (denominatives), 2 those of 25 (denominatives and — cl 10 — deverbatives) and 3 those of 26 (causatives) Denominatives in neare, further, formed diversely according to the gana sitra *Pratipadikad dhatv arthe bahulam isthaviac ca" (DhP X 368) The deverbatives of III 1 25 differ from the causatives of 26 by their meaning

In regard to the suffix, and in the form which it assumes when combined with the latter, the preceding part of the word is called anga (stem) (I 4 13)

Obs 7 — Hence it may occur that the notions "root" and "stem" cover one another and even that the stem forms part of the root Thus in the development V cur + nic (III 1 25) + cap (III 1 68) + tip (> currati > corrati (VII 3 86) > corrati (VIII 3

On account of their relation to the root, the pratyayas may be divided into two groups

r. One group is composed of such suffixes as, according to Pānini, relate directly to the primary or secondary root. They are a those by whose affixing to an anga (primary root, pratipadika, case) secondary roots originate (III 15-31), b. the vikaranas (III 133-90), which, as appears from the locative in the sutras in question, are placed before the suffixes sub c and d, hence, facto, directly after the root, c and d the tin and krt suffixes, both of which suffixes (III 196-end of the adhyaya) are put after the root in the sense of III 101.

All these suffixes may conveniently be called root suffixes, in

2 the other group which comprises all those suffixes which are separated from the root by a "root" suffix, since they are attached to a pratipadika or to a feminine stem the case, motion and tadditita suffixes [IV, V]

Idealiter, t e in disregarding syncopation or epenthesis phenomena (II 4 72, III 2 97, VI 3 67 seqq and the like) and apart from the Unnadi suffixes (cf Obs 2), we find, therefore, that the following suffixes partiake of the formation of words

 Root-suffixes (if at all — c and d — separated from the root by a vikarana only). Suffixes of the secondary conjugation 	
and denominative suffixes b. Vikaranas (affixed directly to the root;	III 1 5—32
see survey below)	33—90
III 2 124 seqq. (çit-suffixes which are	
separated from the root by a vikarana)	96—
	2 104, 106-109 124-end of
	the pāda;
	3 (1—3), 10—12,
	14, 16—130,
	158, 163, 167,
	169 seqq., 174;
	4 9-68,70-76.
d. Tin-suffixes (directly attached to the	
root in the perf. and prec. only; in	
the other la-kāras preceded by a vika-	
raṇa, which may be syncopated again	4 78-112 (with mode-signs assigned acc.
	to 92, 94 seq.,
	102 seq , (107).
2. Remote suffixes.	102 seq, (107).
•	757
	IV 1 2
f. Motion-suffixes	4-81
g. Taddhita-suffixes	77—
	V 4 —V, end of the adhyāya.

Among the root-suffixes (group 1) such as are separated from the root by a vikarana (the thi-suffixes—except the endings of the perfect and the precative—, and all those suffixes which are marked with the anubandha r (III 4 113)) are distinguished from the others as sārvadhālvkā- and ārdhadhālvkā-suffixes respectively.

A survey of the vikaranas, in the order in which they occur in Panini's work, yields the following aspect.

•			
I	Irt and Irn and Iut sya and tas	III r	33
2	let s (stp)		34
3	lif am		35-42
4	lun cli=a s(sic)		44
•	b sa(ksa)		45-47
	c a(can and an)		48-59
	d s(csn) (before medial ta which		
	is syncopated VI 4 104)		60-66 (cf 8)
5	before a sartadhatuka suffix denoting		•
-	the bhava or the karman (se in im		
	personal and passive verbs of also 4 d)		
	3 a(yak)		67
6	before a sarvadhatuka suffix denoting		•
	the agent		68-84
	(Verbs a a(sap) regularly except in		
	cases below b-h (cf Obs 8)		
	a 1st and 10th class (cf		
	Obs 6)		68 70-72
			75 seq
	β 2nd class (cf II 472 luk)		68
	7 3rd class (cf II 475 clu)		68
	b ya(s) an 4th class)		6972
	c nu(cnu 5th class)		73-76 82
	d d(ca 6th class)		77
	e na(cnam 7th class)		78
	f u(8th class)		79 80
	g na(çna) ana (çanac) aya		
	(sajac) 9th class), of c		81-84)
	astr lin a(an)		86
8	in reflexive verbs 1 (cin) ja(jak) ja		
	(Gan)		87-89 90
	Oha 9 ta N 12 ta N		

Obs 8 — In the Veda there is an interchange of vikaranas according to III 1 85

3 Accent

As a rule only one of the syllables of a word bears the accent (VI I 158) It usually falls as an udatta either on the first syllable of the suffix (III I 2) or on the last syllable of the root (VI I 162) in compounds it falls as a rule on the last syllable (VI I 223)

Obs 9 The accent is dealt with that of the autonome word in VI I 158-2, end, in three places of the Tripadi and passim, that of the word in syntaxi VIII I 18-end On Vedic intonation especially I 2 34 seqq On Panini's conception of accent see 59 Obs 6

4. Place

The pratyaya always follows the anga (III 1 2)

As composita (II 1 3 seqq), despite of their being accented according to VI 1 158, are considered by Indian grammarians as junctions of single words (cf however Obs 10) the Astadhyayi must contain, besides a grammar of the word, a grammar of the composition

Obs to — Compounds whose members are pure in flections are, indeed, distinguished from those in which the mere stem occurs (whether or not modified secondarily) but the latter are considered as having dropped the ending by luk (5, 7, Obs 2), accordingly such a member is nevertheless treated as an original simplex — Composita with a finite verb as a last member are not treated as such by Pānīnī (VIII 4 atamase)

The mutual arrangement of the members of the compositum is dealt with in II 2 30 seqq

5 Auxiliaries

Auxiliaries in the usual sense of the word are not mentioned in Pāninis system. In a wider sense loose words, as a means of expressing either temporal- or mood relations or both and several upapadas (Bohtlingk, Pānini p 212*1) might be comprehended as such Such words are the anuprayogas kr (bhn, as), when put after the perfect formations in am (III 35 seq.) the particle sma (III 2 118 seq., 122, 3 165, 176), ma in mã bhn, etc.

II Function

To all the above forms noumenal functions correspond They are mentioned in each particular case and bear either on the semasiological notion of the word or on relations

¹⁾ For a detailed study of the notion upagada see Faddegon, Studes on P s Cr., § 34

The former (the notions) are traced in the Dhātupātha, the latter (the relations) are mentioned partly along with the forms — thus most of the suffix relations —, partly in separate places — In the latter case the functions are summed up successively (thus among the grammatical categories e. g the fersonae verbiand the case-relations) or, for hardly ever apparent reasons, in several scattered places (thus the — not yet separated — modus and tempus- [la-kara-) and some other relations Cf p 18

Obs 11.— According to I 2 56, one of the five sitras work, Panini would have abstained from stating certain functions. Although this sutra might be an interpolation as well?). Liebich on the ground of the MBh I 3 1, Vartitika 2, states 3) that the Dhātupātha must, indeed, originally have contained the Ahātus themselves only and that uno tenore. If such be the case, it must be assumed that Panini presupposes those functions to be known to the reader

A special question referring to composita exclusively, is the mutual relation between the members of composita and their relation to the whole These relations are described in II 16-229

Obs. 12 - Dionysios Thrax, as is well known, does not mention these relations at all 4)

III METHODICAL PLANNING OF THE AŞŢĀDHYĀYĪ ANALYSIS SYNTHESIS

5 3

A GENERAL PLAN

The functions of the word-elements referred to above (§ 2) are given by Pānini at the first opportunity. The forms themselves of these elements, however, and still more, the whole word forms, anse gradually, as Pānini causes them to pass through several intermediate stages.

Example. — Abhatat consists provisionally of the dhäli bhil (function and provisional form according to DhP. I Bhll sattayam), the thematical vowel a (function and form according to III i i i i i j i j and the personal

¹⁾ Goldstücker, Päņini, p. 163, Note 2) Wackernagel, Altind Gramm I, p. LXVII, Note 3 3) Liebich, Zur Einf II, § 63 4) Delbruck, Einleitung, p. 8

ending t (function and form according to I 4 99, III 1 2, 2 111, 4 78, 100) The 3rd p sing pract *bhaat thus originated, gets the augment a according to VI 4 71, with udatta according to the same sutra and VI 1 158 According to VII 3 84 u becomes o and by VI 1 78 o becomes a In this way abhatat evolves, in which form, further, in samdhi a may become >zero (by VI 1 109) and in samdhi and also in pausa, t may change to a according to VIII 2 39, 4 53 and 2 39, 4 56 respectively

Owing to this circumstance morphology occupies a large place in the word description of the *Astadhy ayi*, and thus the method applied to it by Panini becomes a characteristic feature of the whole work

This method, which might be called a developing one, consists in Panini's provisionally conceiving all words—inflection forms, \$2 — as considerably simplified, trz all of them as verba finita, resp cases which have been built up according to a fixed principle Out of these *regular forms the real ones are gradually constructed in the same way as to some extent is done in modern grammar too See instance above

The simplifications referred to are mainly the following

I and II Vocalism and Consonantism

Accentuation is for the present neglected, vowel and conso nant samdhi are set aside acc sing arkam = rrka + am (suffix kak, UnS III 41, Panini III t 4, IV t 2, VI t 107), instr planghih = tachhis (VI t 168, VIII 2 30, 66, 3 15) The various vicissitudes caused by the alternation of vowels and consonants is done away with the vowel of the root usually shows the weak grade (but, for instance, tac by the side of ucyate), the stem suffix guna (rajan with suffix kanin = an, UnS I 157) the final consonant of a root occurs in the form which it assumes in the conjugation before vowels semi vowels and nasals (takti = vacti, cf tacmi)

III Uniforming of construction

All words consist of fixed elements each in a fixed form A verbum finitum is composed of a root, possibly a wikarana and a personal ending, a casus is built up from a root, at least one suffix (together with the root = sem) and a case ending In connexion herewith suffixes are introduced and removed, reduplication and augmentation are discarded (comp 1 s perf

tutóda < tudmi, 2 s imper páca < pacasi, instr. pl detáih < devabhis, and so on)

Obs j — In thus deriving the real from the "regular" forms, Panini intends by no means to give a historical development. The only object pursued is simplification of description. For this reason rapā arises by the loss of n (VIII 2 7), asihan- by the change of s to an (VII 75)—in the former case, therefore, n, in the latter s had been generalized —, -vat from -mat (VIII 2 9 seqq), -ma from -ta (VIII 2 42 seqq), klpta from krpta (VIII 2 18), and so on

Though all these simplifications are of a conspicuously methodical nature, yet Panini's intuition and genius sometimes remind of recent modern conceptions, he anticipates, as it were, a historical comprehension of language. Thus raiabhis arises from *rajanbhis (VIII 27) Panini, to whom a could not represent sonantal n, neutralizes the syncope of n with regard to the processes concerning thematical declension (VII 1 o and the like), which were at an end when the "syncope of n" came about - The o of ko 'sidat, so 'str. and so forth, results from VI I 100 and was, therefore, to cause cerebralization of s according to VIII 355 seqq Panini loosens the aforesaid cerebralization from the abhinihita samdhi by neutralizing the latter in regard to the former (by VI 1 86) - In çādhi hi is replaced by dhi by VI 4 101, s is dropped by VI 4 35 Pānini, to whom the chronology of the phenomena could not be of any consequence, neutralizes both processes in regard to one another (by VI 4 22) - By substituting media aspirata for h in VV like duh (VIII 2 32) Panini "regularly" constructs such forms as " dhugh (by VIII 2 37), duedha (by VIII 2 40, 4 53) and so on And so on

As a rule, however, Panin's formulas differ from those constructed by modern philology Väl arises from a theoretical zäe (according to VIII z 30) and this last form from an equally hypothetical *reasis (according to VI r 67, 68) et See also \$1.4, group 7

Word elements as constructed by comparison of different examples, as correspondances to functions, especially roots, are theoretical values and mere abstractions. They are stencilled forms, deprived of sampth influences, provisionally without vowelconsonant alternation and without acceptuation. As soon as these elements get vitality, however, t e after getting linked to spoken words, they give up their theoretical technical (upadica) form and, in passing through several processes, assume a particular form In more or less fullness of sound or highness of pitch of the sonantal element apophony and modulation present themselves, certain consonants interchange with phonetically related ones, at the junctures neighbouring sounds adjust themselves to each other with possible gain or loss of phonemes Words repeat themselves (amredita) syllables of the front part or parts of them reduplicate Frequently elements are replaced by others. And so on We are reminded of a classic chemical experiment and think of the iron sulphide, which acquires properties that were not inherent in the sulphir nor in the metal either. Or of the iron filings, which, strewn about as a shapeless mass obeying magnetic forces, range themselves to fixed figures, according to fixed laws.

In the manner in which he looks upon the processes, however, and in the way in which he presents them to us, Panini differs completely from modern linguistics. Reduplication is conceived by him as being caused by, or at least closely connected with the presence or absence of certain suffixes (cf VI 1 & seqq) Apophony is now connected by him with the attendance of certain suffixes (cf VII 2 115 seqq, etc), now (VII 3 108 and the like) with certain functions or — as in the case of sampra-sarana (VI 1 15, 108) — described as alternation with subsequent syncope. The reduced vowel at the end of a disyllabic base is described as an augment (if) to the following suffix Cerebralization of s and n at the beginning of a root presents itself as soon as the root gets its function (VI 2 64, 65). And so on

All these 'substitutes' become, anyhow, new morphems, values which contribute to the phonically building up of the word Owing to the combining of the normalized analytic elements that have been settled by the aforesaid analysis, they may be distinguished from them as synthetical ones

B THE TWO PARTS OF THE ASTADHYAYI

In this sense I—V being the First Part (Analysis) apart from the numerous definitions and interpretation rules of the first Padas, which initiate in the technics of the work, and were formerly nerhaps given by oral instruction only is mainly devoted

to enumerating the several normalized abstract word elements (with suppletions), along with the functions with which they are associated, while VI-VIII being the Second Part (Synthesis), in building up the word again out of these elements, treats, on the whole, of all such phenomena as attend the coalescing of the elements to words (modifications, such as the change of one or more single speech sounds of the elements or of the elements themselves, their being replaced by other elements, the appearance of new elements, the disappearance of existing ones, the contraction of vowels, the modulation of the voice), i e determines the resulting acoustic form for combinations of functions as it is gradually issuing out of the melting pot of forms for the component functions, whilst undergoing distinct processes

Obs 2 — The act of normalizing is continued even in the building up again of the words (*Part II') Thus prace gaceh, which has been introduced as gain, after ch has been restored (according to VIII 3 77) gets not c (lest k should arise according to VIII 3 77) gets not c (lest k should arise according to VIII 2 30) but t (VI t 73) in order that t be secured by VIII t 40 — On the other hand, restitutions are met with already in I—V (cf, for instance, III 2 124 (where car and canae replace lat again), II 4 32 seqq and the like)

The task ') Panini imposes upon himself, is to give as completely as possible a record of the language ') he intends to describe, in fixing accurately the sound symbols that correspond to a particular psychological contents. The A_{I} and A_{I} , thus conceived, answers questions like What is the nom ag in, say, genitive-relation, of V rap' How does 3 s. optative in medial diathesis of V kr run? Mathematically speaking Given the functions b, d, f, required the form B + D + F

Obs 3 — The fact that the portions of the Astādh) ayī ascribed 3) to Jayāditya and Vamana respectively, correspond to the two methodical parts distinguished above, seems to prove this distinction correct

Obs 4 — If Panini should indeed have had in mind the methodical plan of his work, as has been suggested

¹⁾ C/ VSL, vol I, p v.l. 14 seqq 2) Cl. Leebich, Pagini, p. 38 seqq and 47 seqq and 47 Zwei kapitel, p. XXIV seqq, Winternits, Geschichte, p. 383 vote 1, Thieme, Papini and the Veda, latrod and p. 76 seqq 2) Belvalkar, Systems, p. 36

above, Civa 1) — or 2) arista (IV 4 143) — cannot have been used mangalartham, for in that case it stands not at the end of the First Part, not even at the end of a pāda, but actually amidst a group of similar suffix sutras

From the use of these words in these places, even if joined to the faustal character of $Vrddh_1$ ($I \ 1$)— for the sake of which, contrary to all logic, not only Sitras 1 and 2 have been interchanged but, moreover, the usual order of words has been departed from in I— and possibly I) I0 of udaya (VIII I67), a conclusion as to a pretended methodical planning can on no account be drawn

IV GENERAL ANALYSIS OF THE TWO PARTS

S 4

A FIRST PART

Certain sutras of Part I (Analysis) are, most probably, interpolations, or their place may be due to shifting Thus I 1.4 seqq, and the like 3^h, perhaps also the whole part II 4 32—end of the pāda, which one would hardly expect in this place (cf 5 3, Obs 2, end) The Vedic rules on the accent (I 2.34 seqq), too, look very strange in this place Polemical sutras are I 2.53—57

A large number of sūtras, again, is formed by samyārās (definitions, among which also sūtras like I 4 24 seqq are to be reckoned), adhikāras, (other) partbhāsās (interpretation rules) and other technical rules of the same kind (like I 2 1-26)

In tracing the manner in which the word-image as designed in \$ 2, is analysed in the remaining analysing-sūtras proper, the following will be found 4)

I Verba finita

I. (Marphologically)

A (Word-form) (Normalized) form of the root Dhāmpatha (suppletion II 4 35 seqq) Form of the affixed III—V, passens, e.g. praesears swiftness III e.G.—O4, moods swiftness, figuring as augments, III 4 92 etc (see p 9), tin III 4 77 seqq (suppletion, resp. syncopation of suffixes II 4 72 seqq. 85) Accent III r 3, 4 Place I 4 80 seqq, III r 2 Auxiliaries III r 40 seqq, etc

¹⁾ SdhK, VIII.467, Vol. III, p 96 seq 2) Bohtlingk, Panini, VIII, 4, 67
2) Wackernagel, Altindische Grammatik I, p LXII, Note 4) For I, A, etc ef p 5
Buskoon. Pürstmaddham 2

```
II (Semastologically)
```

B (Meaning (contained in the root)) Dhatupatha

C(Relations) As a rule together with the forms (but also separately e.g. modus tempus III 2 110 seqq 34 seqq etc.)

II Casus

I (Morphologically)

A (Word form) Form of the root (stem) Dhatupatha Gana patha and Sutrapatha passim (e.g. I. 84, seq.) (suppletion II 4, 32 seq.) Form of the affixes III—V passim motion suffixes III 1 96—end of the adhy passim motion suffixes IV 1, 4 seq. (suppletion (syncopation incl.) II 4,518 seq. 82 seq.) In regard to composita furthermore settling of the words that are combined to composita and of the conditions required II 16—2 29 suffix relations III 2 1—101 (upapada composita) V 4 68—end (samasanta suffixes) Place and accent see I I A In regard to composita mutual order of the members II 2 30—end of the bada

II (Semasiologically)

B (Meaning) The roots in the Dhatupatha

C (Relations) As a rule together with the forms (but also separately e.g. case relations II 3 in regard to composita genus and numerus II 4 1-31)

The semasological and phonical series usually having been given together the treatment of the grammatical categories and the compounds in adhy 1—II (whereupon follow III—V suffixes) consists practically in treating the facts according to the following scheme.

```
I 2 Genus and numerus nominis (58-73)
```

3 Genus verbs (12-93)

4 Numerus nor inis (21-22)

4 Ivumerus noi inis (21—22)
Personae verbi (105—108)

II 1-2 Grammar of composition (II 1 6-2 29 order of the members 2 30-end)

3 Case relations

4 Au nerus and genus of composita (1-31) Substitutions (syncopation incl) of roots stems and suffixes (32-end)

Obs 1 — It may be assumed that in agreement with the mental planning of Panini, I 4 originally followed

on I 1, but that this pada, in order to cause I $_{\ell}$ I to govern both I $_{\ell}$ and II 1, has been put at the end of the adhyaya II is also probable that II 1 and 2 in the given order, followed upon II 3, but that 3 likewise on account of I $_{\ell}$ I, has been put further back (after 2)

By restoring the original arrangement, in the first adhyaya almost all the definitions and paribhasas come together, whereas further on all that bears upon grammatical categories, as well as that which treats of composition, forms a whole Likewise, as is also the case in Candragomin's Candra Vrtit the composition comes to follow upon the grammar of case, with which it is indeed, closely connected

- I r Definitions and interpretation rules
 - *2 (= 4) Numerus nominis, personae verbi
 *3 (= 2) Genus and numerus nominis
 - *4 (= 3) Genus verbi
- II * (= 3) Grammar of case
- *2 (= 1) Grammar of composition, arrangement
 - 3 = 2 of the members
 - 4 Numerus and genus of composita

Obs 2 — In consequence of this changed order I *2 I, in governing one pada, would have to be repeated in 1 *2 I (in the same way as — with regard to VIII 2 I — the process of VI I 77 is mentioned again in VIII 2 108) As to the question whether I \$\frac{1}{2}\$ 2 (alias I *2 2) would admit of such an inversion comp \$ 6 B (p 39 footnote 6)

B SECOND PART

While in Part I the word is analysed, in Part II the processes are given according to which the word is built up again. In accordance with this difference the matter treated of in Part II should be surveyed guite differently.

A technical distinction is seen at a glance While in (1) VI— VIII 1 the sequence of the sutras does not influence the order of their application, a sutra of VIII 2, 1—end of the Asta dhyayī must never be applied in advance (See 5 9 A) Thus two main groups result

- I (I I 1) VI I, I-VIII I Sarvatra siddham,
- II VIII 2, 1-VIII 4 Purvatrasiddham

Both groups allow of subdivision

I

The substitutions of this group have partly been arranged ac cording to the nature of the processes, while the place is every time indicated and partly according to their place, while the nature of the substitution plays a subordinate part. Thus the following division results

- A Particular processes in different places
 - I Group VI 1-157 (from 72 samhita)ām, subdivision see below)
 - 2 Accent
 - a in simplicia (VI 1 158-222)
 - b in composita (VI 1 223-2 end)
- B Different processes in particular places
 - I Uttara pade (VI 3, from 114 upwards samhitayam)
 - 2 Angasya (VI 4-VII 4) 3 Sarvasya dve (VIII 1 1-15)
 - 4 Padasya (VIII 1 16- end of the pada)

Obs 3 — Sutras like VII 1 9 seq would not be expected in this place as put under the adhikara Angarya They are however, closely connected with properties of the stem and could, therefore, conveniently be combined with the other sütras under one head (comp also MBh VI 1 Vartt 1) Likewise in VI 3 despite of 1, end, not only the end of the first member of composita is dealt with but such sitras as VI 3 97 seq etc have, for similar reasons as just mentioned, been inserted in this group Such more or less connected sütras are disregarded with reference to the grouping of sütras here and further on So also sütras or groups of sutras which may be regarded as erressions or even as interpolations

We have the following scheme of group A 1 (VI 1 1-157)

- a Reduplication (1-12)
- b Apophony (13-63)
- e Decerebralization (64 65)
- d Syncopation (apocope) (66-70)
- e 1 Epenthesis (71) (Adhikara) (72)
 - 2 Epenthesis (paragoge) (73-76)
- f Interchange vowel consonant, and contraction of vowels (77-111)

- g. Syncopation (apocope) (132-134)
- h Prothesis (s mobile) (135-157)

Obs. 4 — The processes of syncopation and epenthesis have been divided into two parts, with a view to their different position (comp VI 1 72 Samhitāyam = *If two speech-sounds follow immediately one another in uninterrupted speech, whether in the same word or in two different ones")

11

The analysis of this group is the subject of the following chapters.

V PRINCIPLES OF PÄNINI'S SYSTEM

\$ 5

The method of word-description applied by Pānini has been dealt with in § 3. The subject-matter of the two Parts (I Analysis, II. Synthesis) has been defined and a survey of the matter of either Part has partly been given (§ 4). One is inclined to ask whether leading principles underlying a methodical treatment of the matter are already becoming evident.

A GROUPING

Sütras that in some way belong together, have in the main been fairly combined to thematical groups Without having in any way been indicated as such, e.g. by having been put under one and the same head, sütra-chains like VII 2 1—7, VIII 2 66—75 are at once recognized as distinctly logical groups, the homogeneity of the sütras consisting in their bearing on the same subject.

Obs t — Whether the division of the Astādhyayi into adhyāyas and pādas and the combining of the sitras to adhikāra-groups, are also based on a logical principle, is quite a question (Adhyāyas) It deserves notice that the two Parts of the Astādhyayī meet on the boundary between wadhyāyas Although this can hardly be a fortuitous coincidence, the logical division is elsewhere nevertheless often broken through by the grouping into adhyayas, eg, by the spreading of the anga-prakarano over one and a

fourth adhyāya (VI 4—VII 4) (Padax) Again the spreading of the last-named sutras over at least a whole number of padax does not prove anything in favour of another line of conduct in regard to padax, comp e g the treatment of the accent in composita beginning with the last stira (VI r 223) of a pada* (Adhikaras) Of greater importance is that together with the First Part, i e together with the fifth adhyaya, the validity of several adhikāras ceases and new adhikāras begin The further analysis, too, shows that at least part of the adhikāras—called heading rules by Goldstucker—naturally contribute to logical grouping Their primary task, however, consists in avoiding repetitions in a great number of cases (see below)

That in the grouping of the sutras to adhyayas and padas a practical object should be pursued, seems equally improbable considering the rather unequal length of the parts the second (shortest) adhyaya contains 268, the sixth (longest) 736, the shortest pada (II 2) 38, the longest (VI 1) 223 sutras — The numbers eight and four speak for themselves

Grouping simplifies surveying and facilitates memorizing. It will do so all the more if there exists a fixed arrangement in the groups Now, in the arrangement of the stitras in the groups a tendency is observed of putting the positive rule(s) at the head and giving afterwards the optional and negative rules respectively. This has also the advantage of creating the greatest number of possibilities for contraction. In this respect such a group as the one just mentioned (VIII 2 69—75) is highly instructive. It comes about as follows:

- 66 is the principle rule S final in a pada>ru Example devaR for contains two ritual terms and one mythological term, nominatives with R<j, k, f (cf III 2 71, 72) quoted with as in order to account for the a, which otherwise, as VI ≠ 14 does not apply here, would be left unexplained Example arayaR¹).</p>
- 68 (exception to VIII 2 7) associates itself with the preceding rules in likewise teaching the substitution of R Example *ahaRhinh

¹⁾ On these three words see Wackernagel, Altind sche Grammatik I, p 305 and 111, pp 246 253 and 325

- 69 is a restriction on and belongs, therefore, to 68 (ahan is bada according to I 4 14, x 62) (Sūtras 67-69 form an egression)
- 70) Vedic rules Optionally R or r Examples *avaR, *bhuvaR, 71 II 4 82, I 1 62, 4 14
- 72 Exceptions Instead of R, d is substituted Examples vid-72 vadbish, anvaçād(bhavan) (<-çast, V ças) (But 3rd ps imperf 73) Ved as (by the side of asili))
- 74 is, with reference to the preceding substitution, an alternating restriction (d or R)
- 75 finally, logically follows 74 (with the same alternating substitute)

Here the first three sutras (with the last of which 60 as a restriction associates itself) represent the positive sutras, the two following ones (70, 71) are of an alternative nature, whereas 72 and 73, as exceptions, conclude the series proper Afterwards the same sequence repeats itself the negations form the positive starting point of another progression, in which the alternative sutras (74, 75) follow (after which the exceptions happen to be absent)

In other words the group (66-75), which one is inclined to conceive as a single one (the ru group) proves an artful com bination of two groups one of which may be characterized as s > ru and the other as s > d group The junction is afforded by the sutras 72 and 73, which in the first progression do duty as negations, in the last as a rule

B JUNCTION COMBINATION BREVITY OF EXPRESSION

The concatenation just mentioned is very frequent in the Astadhyayı Thus VI 1, 223-2, end (accent of composita) is the connecting link between VI 1 158-222 (accent of simplicia) and VI 3 (uttara-pade) With the former group it has in common that it bears on accentuation, with the latter that it treats of composita. In order to bring about a concatenation between the first mentioned and the connecting group, VI 1 223 has, to the neglect of the division into adhjayas, been put in VI I (so as to give prominence to the anuvrtit of anto (udattah) (220) in 223) Sutra VIII 2 108 shares the substitution of (for) ; (u) with the preced ing sutra, the position with the following one The upasargas pra etc (1458,59) are nipatas like ca etc (57), but they are also gates

and share this name with #rretc (61 seqq) And so on Frequently one group is linked to another, if only one or more opening stitus of the following group have one term in common with one or more end-sutras of the preceding one, e g VIII 3 23—32 to 17—22 (halt) In such a case one of the "groups" may consist in one sutra only In this way, for instance, VIII 3 13 and 4 57 are attached to the following and preceding group respectively, by way of an artificial association (prasingal)

The connecting link may also be formed by one or more sutras in a group In this case the inserted group (e q one sutra) comes to be placed inside another one In this manner, for instance, the matup group (VIII e g—t5) could be inserted in the n syncope-group (VIII g g—t6, t7) sutra t6 belonging to the latter (as an exception) as well as to the former (by chandasi) In a similar way sutras VIII g g8, g9 are to be considered as an egression within the g9 group (VIII g g5)—end of the g4g4g6.

By grouping and arranging the surras in the above indicated manner the surveying and memorizing was facilitated in a twofold way. Not only were surras of a more or less similar nature joined logically as much as possible by their being put together, they allowed, moreover, of being formulated so as to admit of frequent contractions. "In the framing of the surras", Belvalkar says!), "Panini always scrupulously omitted all such words as may conveniently supplied from sense or from preceding surras". A stylistic acoustic net-work is brought about as an expression of the logical one (Sometimes patchwork, see below, Obs 2)

Besides the formulating of the sutras severally by means of the fewest possible words, this ellips by contraction (anworth, adhiharana incl, see above Obs 1), whereby, moreover, one and the same term is valid in the greatest possible number of sitras, is the principal feature of the external form of the Astādhyājī

In the group VIII 2 66-75 mentioned above anurits occurs as follows

¹⁾ Belvalkar, Systems p 24

In the first progression ruh is valid in the positive sutras, while $ubhayath\bar{a}$ chandasi and dah are to be read in the alternative and negative sutras respectively, in the second the validity of dah, being lengthened by $rur \ z\bar{a}$ in the alternative rules, extends to the end

Obs 2 - At times it would, indeed, seem as if more attention should have been paid to requirements of mnemotechnics, than to those of right grouping and arranging Thus e e it seems hardly plausible that the above mentioned sutra VIII 4 57, which could have been put anywhere (provided only after VIII 2 7) should have been placed after 56 only because, like 56, it bears on a facultative operation (in pausa forms) The motive will rather have been that likewise a word could be spared It should be borne in mind that the emotional meaning of such an artistic style lends mnemotechnical value to it Similar considerations must have been the motive for totally reversing the usual order of the sutras in some groups Thus group VIII 3 28-32 (attaching of mutes to final nasals), in joining 26, 27 (va) of the preceding group, begins with the alternating sutras and ends with the positive sutra 32

On the whole, however, the constant application of such means as anuvriti and the like, lends a certain logical elegance to Pānini's style, owing to the author's artistry Along with an excessive veneration for word memory, only a great love for his subject could enable the author to create his remarkable, nay fascinating technical language

C NEUTRALIZATION OF SUTRAS

Of a specific technical means concerning the application of the stitras and, finally also amounting to shortening of expression, Pānini avails himself in neutralizing certain rules with respect to certain others. As the former have to be conceived as not existing with respect to the latter, part of the forms which, otherwise, would conform or would not conform to the latter, are secured against (become liable to transitions according to) these rules

Instances — I Rajabhih (VIII 27) retains short a in spite of VII 3 102 (with respect to this sutra, in virtue of VIII 2 1, regarded as *rajanbhii) 2 $R\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ (VIII 27) with long a according to VII 48 (loss of n

is not perceived as far as VI 4 8 is concerned) 3 Ko "neat (VI 1 109) with dental s despite of VIII 3 57, 59 ("Ko attad, VI 186) 4 Adht + V 1 + kttā (> lyah, VII 137) > adhtlya with tuk{71} because the contraction t+t>1 is not effective as far as the addition of this augment is concerned (VI 186) 5 Cadht (VI 4 101) in spite of VI 4 35 ("Casht, VI 4 22) 6 Kuru, 2ad s imper with u in the root syllable in accordance with VI 4 110 and in spite of 4 106 and 1 1 63 (hr regarded as still existing) 7 Hz in 2 s imp jaht is not dropped according to VI 4 105 (considered as "hanht, VI 4 36) Etc

D LOGICAL, MNEMOTECHNICAL AND ASIDDHATVA PRINCIPLE

The principles mentioned above are fundamentally not in contrast with one another All of them have a mainly mnemo technical scope, inasmuch as they tend to simplify memorizing, although certain ways of group connexion and prasinga are more particularly calculated for this

On account of their special importance in regard to the Tripadi rational grouping and arranging of the sutras within the groups and neutralizing will be distinguished here as an expression of the logical and neutralization principle respectively, while the arrangement of the groups themselves (group-connexion incl., often finding expression in aniuriti), together with shortness of expression, and combination, will be particularly understood as mnemotechnics

THE TRIPADI

CHAPTER II PARIBHĀŞĀS AND ADHIKĀRAS THEIR RELATION TO THE TRIPĀDĪ

The proper interpretation of a sutra I-VIII I depends, besides on the text of the sutra itself, on the adhikāras and partbhārās that bear on that sūtra In view of VIII 2 I, it is open to doubt whether adhikāras and paribhasās may also apply to sutras of the Tripādī Not until this has been examined may the meaning of the said sūtras be judged

This inquiry is dealt with in chapter II, subsequently chapters III—V treat of the Tripadi itself

I PARIBHĀSAS

5 6

A PARIBHĀSĀS MENTIONED BY PĀNINI HIMSELF

I Their normal application also with reference to the sutras of the $Trip\bar{a}di$

The asaddhatva of the sūtras following on VIII 2 1 with reference to preceding ones by no means implies that, conversely, the latter also be asaddha in regard to the former Purvatrāṣtādham merely signifies pūrīasmin kartavye param asaddham. This appears from the actual application of the sūtras and is, indeed, ipso verbo confirmed by Pānini himself by VI 186 on the strength of which sūtra a group in I—VIII 1 (VI 186 seqq) has been neutralized explicitly in regard to the cerebralization according to VIII 355 seqq, in precisely the same manner as in regard to the epenthesis of ink by VI 171

In interpreting a sutra subsequent to VIII 2 1, therefore, one is supposed to be acquainted with the preceding sutras and to have applied them already

There is not the slightest reason for supposing that (preceding) **paribhäsā-sūtras — to which, as is apparent from his comparing I z 5 with VII 3 86 1), according to Pataūjali nisedhas 2), too,

¹⁾ MBh on 1 r 5, Vartt 3 Vol I, p 54, l 17 seqq, cf Pbhl, p 3, l 3 seqq 2) Parthhaias containing a prohibition (ant vidhi)

belong — should be treated differently. On the contrary, from the very fact of their being paribhāsās, in the main technicalstylistic-interpretation rules, elucidating the operation-sutras proper but not partaking of the operation itself, they may conveniently be expected to be valid also with respect to the Tripādi

The MBh, moreover, explicitly observes ') that, no asiddhatia vice versa is meant by Pürvatrāsidddhati. And Patañjali, alluding to paribhāsās '), meaningly says that there exists a kind of adhikāras which, although standing in one place, elucidates the whole grammar as a bright-shining lamp lights up the whole house ').

Both, Patañjali and Vāmana, then, ascertain that (sami)nās and) paribhāsās are understood whenever rules concerned by them are met with, including those of the Tripādi 4).

Obs 1 — According to the so-called *jathodera-paksa* by which, conversely, the sutras are to be combined with the *samjāas* an *partbhāsas*, the latter would — on the strength of VIII 2 1 — refer to the sutras of I—VIII 1 only.

2 Interpretation of the sthanivatsutras

The sthānstat-sūtras are I 1 56-59, 62, 63. Of these 57-59 only refer to vowel, 62 and 63 only to suffix-substitutions.

I 2 56, the principal rule, runs Sthanwad adeco 'nalvidhau.

The notion sthännvat is not defined by Panini The meaning of the sutra, however, is clear On the one hand, the sthänn is the timmary speech sound, and the $\bar{a}de_{fd}$, the one that has been substituted, must not be regarded as two wholly different values. This would involve, for instance, that in connexion with \bar{a} the acrist of V han would, but that of V vadh would not adopt medial endings (according to 1 3 28 and 11 4 44) 3). On the other hand, the sutra does not run Sthäny $\bar{a}deeo$ ('nahidhau) either. This would, conversely, imply that i adh would, han would not get the said endings 3). As neither one thing nor the other

¹⁾ MBA on VIII x 1, Vol. III, p. 385, 1 7. You's option, eteristichen etc bis en aldron "p Philip p. 2, 1 to seq 3 MBA 1 x 49 on Virte 4, Vol. 1, p. 19, 1 9. Keçad ekideçastak sartum editrom akkpedayan, yakh prodiyak mireyrenitek sartum eterislikytadyata. "P E g. MBA on VIII x 1, Vol. III, p. 385, 1 8 Karyakilan sampah partakhyan yatra Birpan etera degitayam, Kiq on VIII x 1. Kiryakilan ki sampah partakhyan sin pirtatra nilah pirtakhan kilah kilajadan maliti b) CI MBA 1 x 58, Vol. 1p. 13, 1 1 seq 5 ara pirtakhan mirah kilajadan menjat bir akkan mirah mirah (1 cl. 8), kanter immanjadan meyammann, kanter ero yad, cadrer na yati 3) lind, p. 131, 1 2 seq 5 Kilay akkar malitaya menjat akhar sampatkaliya sampata akanter na yati [immanjadan kecata wata kilaja yaten yati sampata da wantin na yati [immanjadan]

is meant by Panini, he awards what is called sthanivativa to the adeta, herewith intimating that, however distinct the sthani and the adeta in themselves are, all the grammatical tech nical qualities that have been ascribed to the sthanin in the Analysis (Part I) all its class qualities therefore, are shared by the adeta, so that the latter qua qualitale (dhatin, anga etc) it replaces the former, is to be considered as identical with it)

Examples - 1 When in the upapada compound *uccais kriva (II 2 22) the suffix of the absolutivum, which has been introduced as (k)tva (by III 4 59) is replaced by 14 (lyap) by VII 1 37, the substitution is of two kinds, whilst concerning I the suffix as a formans of the abso lutivum. 2 the kit character of it Now, in regard to either the new suffix agrees with the original one (while it is, moreover, (3) lit and (4) pit) Thus ya, too, is comprised e g under I ktva in e g I I 40, 2 the krt suffixes referred to me e I 15.46. (1) the lit suffixes in e e VI 1 193 and (4) the krt suffixes in VI 171 Development *uccais krtva (III 4 50) > uccaiskrtva (II 2 22) > krya (VII 1 37) > krtya (VI 171) > * krtya (VI 1 103, cf III 14) 2 The suffixes which are attached to a root (cf III 4 114 seq) are also added to V bhu, which has been substituted for V as by II 4 52 3 One constructs ahansta (> ahata, I 2 14 VI 4 37 (kit) VIII 2 27) along with avadhista 4. The personal ending designed by tt in VI I 68 also comprises the perfect ending nal, which is not dropped. It is on this account that hall had to be added

Obs 2 — The MBh compares the relation between sthanin and adeça wit that between the guru and his son 2)
Obs 3 Inconsistent tendencies are obviated by special

Surfas Impore hi, for instance, which as a substitute for st (stp) would be pit, is deprived explicitly of this quality by III 4 87 (subsequently it conforms to I r 5, 2 4 and the like) The anubandha of augmented forms is to be settled explicitly (cf. III 4 92 I 2 18 seq.)

A restriction of great consequence is conveyed by the addition of analyidhau (= anekalyidhau³)) as far as the sound is con

¹⁾ Cl. MBh. I. z. 55, 1 arts. 1,Vol. 1 p. 133. Aryah sihany anya ükiçah Sohany adaşa prihahtvül sismasi karonasi sihaniyana ükişe na prüpnoti sand Kiço noli solisiya dağayah prihahtvül sihanyasıyana karyam adaşı na prüpnot'yayam asideşa örabiyatı, sihan na sulyam varista sis sihünnası, sihanavad ükişe binasısı sihanga çarayıtı karyayı alayayana karyam sariyyayıkü, 3 MBh. on 1 r. 56. Vol. 1 p. 133. 1 4. Sihani karyam ükişes sideşi siyasi garınışı duruşulur sis yatla Ci Vörti. 1 3 Kiç. on 1 r. 56. Arası sindir arakbişilik siya sirihali siya sirihali siya siriha sirili sirili

cerned, the ādeţa is not sthānwat. With regard to such processes as refer to the sound-value of an element only, i.e. such as are independent of the grammatical-technical properties which are associated with it, the ādeţa and the sthānin must not be idendified (Of such processes the ādeţa partakes only, if in virtue of its own ohonetical form, it is adanted to it.)

Examples - 1. The substitute 1a, which has been substituted for tvā (VII 1 37) does not take the augment that, according to VII 250 seq, belongs to (corresponding simplicia in) trā 2. Before va, which has been substituted for ne(e) by VII I 13, the thematical vowel is lengthened by VII 3 102, because it is the substitute that begins with a san consonant 3 After the syncope of the suffix Lsa(sa) (III 1 45) in *adulisata (VII 3 73, luk') and the following substitution of gh for h (VIII 2 32, *adughta), the 1 is regarded as immediately following on the gh so as to become >dh by VIII 2 40 (> adughdha) - Not as a substitute for Vhan (II 444), but in consequence of its own phonetical form, however, V radh would conform to VII 2 116 (cf III 1 66), hence VII 3 35 (avadhs as opposed to aghans) Similarly the ablatives sing "asmadat and "yusmadat would, as the substitute at (VII 1 32), like the original ending as (IV 12), happens to begin with a vowel, conform to VII 2 80, by the addition of anadege therefore, they had to be excluded, thus asmadat, jusmadat do not become > asmay-at, yusmay-at, but they remain (> asma at, yuşma-at (VII 2 90) > asmat, yusmat (VI 1 97) > ma at tva at (VII 2 97 > mat, tvat (VI 1 97))

As associations of a grammatical technical nature are totally absent in substitutions in which not whole elements (root, affix, stem) are replaced by other whole elements, but single speech-sounds by other speech-sounds, such substitutes — save I 1 57 — can on no account possess sthanwatta

Examples — 1 The au which has been substituted for z of dix (VII z 84) is, in regard to the following su(z) not treated as a consonant (like the primary z), z e this z is not syncopated by VI z 68 z *Pañcânnâm with a (VI z 7) because din (VI z 7) his become > n din by VII z 55. 3 According to VI z 38 zero may be substituted for m in $dgam_{2}a$ (before $l_{2}a$) Afterwards this zero is no longer treated as a phoneme, it represents, on the contrary, a phonical $n ln l_1$, z the short a is regarded as immediately standing before $l_{2}az$. Hence the epenthesis of luk (VI z 71) standing before $l_{2}az$. Hence the epenthesis of luk (VI z 71)

4 Vetasvat (<vetasamat, IV 2 87, VI 4 143) with v < m according to VIII 2 9 on the ground of the first a since the zero is not sthant at Likewise kumudvat (VI 4 143) according to VIII 2 10

Obs 4 — The rule is apparently not always observed Thus s of *agrahisst is syncopated by VIII 2 28 (Ita sti) though s (before sic, VII 2 35) has been lengthened by VII 2 37

I 1 57 Acah parasmin puria vidhau

By this sutra, being itself a restriction on the restriction analizablau (in the principal rule) the sthanizativa is extended to certain phonical qualities If the place of the nimita (cause) of a vowel-substitution is after the sthanin (the primary vowel) the adeta besides being sthanizat according to 56 is also phonetically (alvidhau) so with regard to any process bearing on a phoneme whose place is before the replaced vowel

In the case of syncope, therefore, the zero gets phonical value

Obs 5 — The s in vetasian (in spite of VIII 2 66 etc.) is due to I 4 19

Obs 6 — Sthannadbhaza which is alleged to be based on I i 56 (57) is also ascribed 1) to the substitute for the second a of V vadha For this reason, in avadhit and in the cases referred to in VII 735 (cf. VI)48) the vrddhi (according to VII 2 7) would be prevented by the sthan vativa of the zero. In a bisyllabic root vadha the accent of vadha (suffix ap, III 37 6) may, inneed, be explained by III i 4 and VI i 162 Bohtlingk however, properly

¹⁾ Kör on 11 4 42 A karantar cayam adegah, tatrakaraya lopo bhavati Taiya tinandalhacad atashid iti Halanta lahana ordih i (Ata haladri laghor (VII 2)) ii) na bhavati On VII 3 35 Vahhadgayad antatvad eta ordihri obhavah

observes 1) that Pānini, as appears from VII 3 35, starts from radh (monosyllabic)

Consequently one must assume that avadhi, as it otherwise would conform to VII 2 116 had to be protected from this operation (by 7 35)

Obs 7 — As appears from the examples the phonological sthāmwat of a zero usually manifests itself merely in that it is regarded as representing "a" phoneme only irrespective of its particular character, but only giving rise to the fiction that the surrounding two sounds are considered as not immediately following upon one another (cf the following obs)

I 1 58/59 (58) Na (1) padanta|(2) dvirvacana (3) vare ya lopa (4) svara (5) (6) savarnanusvāra (7) dirgha (8) jaç (9) car vidhisu. (59) Dvirtacane 'ct

The first of these two sutras confines itself to exceptions to 57, 59 adds another case to the ac substitutions (with sthānivatīva of the ādeça) of 57

Obs 8 — As to the sthānsvattra according to 59, it may be observed that from the nature of the case the individual character of the vowel counts here

Examples \sim (58) Prati-divinals with long 1 (according to VIII 2 77) in consequence of the a (kaini, UnS I 157) (VI 4 134), (59) 3rd p pl perf papuls (development $p\bar{a} + uh$ (III 4 82) $\sim f(a)uh$ (VI 4 64) $\sim p\bar{a}puh$ (VI 1 8) $\sim papuh$ (VI 4 59)) See also § 11 on VIII 2 31

I 1 62/63 (62) Pratyaya-lope pratyaya laksanam (63) Na luma-tāngasya

As syncope of a pratyaya is a particular form of substitution (the substitute being, namely, a phonical inhil) the same value would have to be attached to the zero as to the adețas in 56 Whereas, indeed, this holds good for part of the cases (62), in other cases zero substitutes follow separate rules (63)

(62) When a suffix has been lopa-çabăena syncopated, 15 influence is, nevertheless, perceived, the suffix (according to 56) being regarded as possessing all those grammatical technical qualities of the sthānin whereby certain sutras are either applicable or not (Pratyaya nimitam kāryam, asaty api pratyayi, katham tu nāma syād iti sūtram idam ārabişate Pratyaya-lope kṛti pratyaya lalṣanam, pratyaya hitinkam kāryam bhavati 3))

¹⁾ Böhtlingk, Papins, p 272° 2) Auf on I s 62

Examples — I Agni + ai + kvip III 2 91), therefore gets tuk (VI I 71) in spite of the apocope of kvip (and sii) (VI I 67 (68)) 2 In kr + u + tah the u which has been syncopated by VI 4 108 still produces guna of the stem vowel (VII 3 84) (> kurtah VI 4 110) 3 Tatra (II 4 82) remains pada (I 4 14) 4 Nom s "gonats">gonat (VI 4 14 (tau^i) VII I 70) in spite of the apocope of s (VI I 68 lepa)

Obs 9 — In a phonological sense however the blank (again according to 56) is not equivalent to the suffix that has disappeared te it is actually treated as a nihil

Examples — 1 Aguat (VI 171+ $ene > e_t$ (VIII 40) as kvp has phonologically entirely disappeared (III 291 VI 167) 2 spre+kvm (III 258) + superimer spre+kvm (III 268) + superimer spre+kvm (VII 267 68) > sprk (VIII 262) as both v and s have ceased to exist as phonenes 3 1st p dual kurvah would (by VIII 277) still become > kmrvah as v is regarded as immediately following upon r this had therefore to be prohibited by VIII 270

(63) When the syncope referred to above has been limitate indicated there exists no pratyaya lakana (in the said sense) with reference to such substitut ons in the stem as depend on the suffix. So far therefore the suffix has disappeared without leaving any trace (Lumaia caddena i, pie pratyaye yad angam taiya pratyaya-lakanama karyam na bhavati*)).

Rem — Luk çlu and lup as opposite to lopa always indicate the synope of the whole suffix

Examples — I From the fact that the suffix $j\alpha$ (yan) which forms patronimica (IV r to5) has been luka syncopated in the plural (II $_4$ 64) the nitiva is lost i ϵ VII z 117 is no longer appl cable (sing Gargyah pi Gargah not (by 1 x 62) "Gargah)) 2 No gana of i in nom pl kati (VII $_3$ 109) on account of luk in VII i 23 i The substitution of i for a (VI $_4$ 110) in kari (x karing VII x 105) had to be secured by VI x 105) had to be secured by VI x 105 had to be secured by VI x 107 in the substitute for x 19 x 29 x 21 x 31 x 41 x 32 x 32 x 42 x 33 x 34 x 43 x 45 x 35 x 36 x 37 x 47 x 48 x 38 x 37 x 47 x 48 x 38 x 48 x 38 x 49 x 49 x 49 x 40 x 40

Obs 10 - As pratyaya laksana (62 63) is not =

¹⁾ Kär on I z 63 Buiskool Pärsstyss dilbam

pratyaya-varna i c. as the sthāmsatīva only concerns operations that depend on the suffix as such and not on a particular speech sound as such and au instead of o in go hita $(< gas_t-hita)$ requires decidedly a following vowel, o might be explained by a supposed lopa-substitution as well The apocope is, however, $lumat\bar{a}$ indicated $(II \neq 71)$ and Patañjalı and Jayāditya, therefore, wrongly treat this form under 62

[For the sake of brevity Panini might have laid down a rule according to which sthānniattia does not exist in regard to substitutions in the Tripādi. This is the purport of Vāriti. 3 on I 158, which runs *Pāriatrāsiddie ca [na sthānnia]. 1)

Obs 11 — Goldstücker considers it to be a part of Patanjali's comment? As a paribhāsā it occurs in the Paribhāsarita samgraha-vyākhyā-candrikā and also (in a cortupt form?) in Siradeva's Paribhāsā-Vriti (No 92) In the Kāṭikā and in the Siddhānta Kaumudī it is often referred to (e g on VII 373, VIII 465 and VIII 41

Obs 12. — As appears from Vārtt 10, which contains a restriction for other than lopa cases, the na sthānu at refers not only to lopāy-ādeças (Vārtt. 1) but to any ay-ādeça.

a) Advantages

Vartt 4 Prayojanam ksa-lopah sa-lope (cf I 1 57, Vartt 22) (Pat) Ksa lopah sa lope prayojanam adugdha, adugdhah Lug at duha-dhah tha-guham am at antatjam bhatati If Vartt 3 is adopted, there will be no need of luk in VII 3 73, in regard to the substitution of zero by VIII 2 26 adugdha adugdhah.

Obs 13 — If (in retaining ta) luk is omitted, lopah (taken from 70) and ksasya (from 72) would be valid in 73 and by this sutra the a of sa (in "aduhsata, treated as a sigmatical aorist by Pānini), would optionally be syncopated and afterwards "aduhsta would rightly become

⁽¹⁾ This !Tarmāa is, therefore, as the author has been kindly informed by Professor Sten konow, an improvement on Pignin not a sistement for kityāyana) as has been wrongly supposed in the Dutch ed tons of the authors 'Terrastrahdsham'. The form of the following reasonages has been changed accordingly 3) Goldatcker Pāgnin, p 113, Nova 1.

*aduhta by VIII 2 26. — The process is optional, with a view to sigmatical forms such as *aduhsata and the like

Obs. 14. — By adding dantya Pānini also includes -vahi in the personal endings beginning with a consonant adhuksāvahi beside aduhvahi (cf. Vāj. Prāt. I 70, 81).

Värtt. 5. Dadha ākāra-lopa ādi-eaturthatve (Pat ') Dadha akāra lopa ādi caturthatue prayojanam dhatse, dhaddkve, dhaddkvan iti. Dadhas tathoc ca iti ca-kāro na kartavyo bhavati By adopting Vārtt. 3, in VIII 2 38 ca might be omitted, with regard to the zero that has been substituted for ā of dadhā (by VI 4 112)

Obs 15 — If 38 read with ca, sdhvor (taken from 37) must be read in 38 and dhatse, dhaddhre and dhaddhram conform to the latter rule, from which no conclusion can be drawn as to whether the zero that has been substituted for \(\tilde{a} \) [by VI \(\tilde{x} \) (112) be sthamval or not, as P\(\tilde{n} \) nin igues the form dadh without \(\tilde{a} \) here.

As soon as ca is omitted, dhattah dhatthah still conform to 38° but the examples just mentioned, are already explained by 37, if it is assumed that the form be phar-anta, i. c that the zero by which \bar{a} has been replaced (VI $_2$ 112) be not sthānvai (The fact that the form which, strictly speaking, still runs dhadhā, is not baçādı, raises no difficulty, as it may be presumed that the audidhativa of VIII $_2$ 54 has been tacitly neglected (cf VI $_2$ 120))

Värtt. 6. Halo yamām yamı lope (Pat.). Halo yamām yamı lope prayojanam Adıtyah. Halo yamām yamı lopah sıdiho bhavatı If Pānını had acted as Vārtt 3 suggests, 1. 1 the zero that has been substituted for a (by VI 4 148) would have to be regarded as being not sthāmvat, the elision of hal would be brought about by VIII 4 64. Adıtyah

Obs 16 — \bar{A} ditya+ya (IV 185) > \bar{A} dityya (VI 4148, cf 1418 and VI 4129) (optionally > \bar{A} ditya (VIII 464) In regard to the last-mentioned suita the two semi-vowels are evidently regarded as immediately following on one another, i e the zero (VI 4148) is considered as not to be sthämvad, i, i Variu 3 might cover 1 i 58 (5)

Vārtt. 7. Al lopa ni-lopau samyogānta lopa prabhrtisu (Pat) Al-lopa-ni-lopau samyogānta lopa-prabhrtisu prajojanam pāpacyateh pāpaktih, yāyajjater jāyastih pācayateh paktih, yājayater yāstih. Such substitutions as are enjoined by VIII 2 30 and 36 in supposing the substitution of zero for a and the suffix t to have actually taken place by VI 4 48 and 51 respectively, would also be brought about by Varit 3 pāpakith (from papacyate), yāyastih (vāyayyate), pākith (pācayate) yāstih (yayayate).

Obs 17. — In påkth, for instance, (from the causative V pac, without it according to VII 2 9) the 1 (III 1 26) has been clided by VI 4 51, if the zero were regarded as sthantvat, c could not become > k by VIII 2 30, because it would not be immediately followed by yha! So also with jästih with reference to VIII 2 36, in the intensive-formations syncope of ya according to VI 4 48 and 1 66 respectively.

From the above at follows that, as far as the substitutions mentioned above are concerned, I 1 58 (9) would be rendered superfluous by Värtt 3

Vārtt 8/9 (8) Dvirvacanādīni ca (9) Vare-ya lopa svara varjam (cf I 1 57, Vartt 21) (Pat) Dvirvacanādīni ca na pathita-vyān bhatanih Pārvatarsādhienvas sādhānu bhatanit Kim avicestna? Nity āha (9) Vare-ya-lopam svaram ca varjaytvā. The dvirtacana-vidhi and following vidhis (in I 1 58) need not be given it Vartt 3 is accepted Does this statement hold good universally? No, says Kātyāyana rightly, (9) the sare ya lopa-and the stara vidhi must be excluded.

Obs 18 — By putting divroucana at the head of the composition (divroucanadam). Katyāyana was enabled to exclude the padanta-vudh: In order to include the divroucana-vudh: itself, however, he was obliged to include two other vudhs; the vareya lopa, and the stars-vudhi, which do not conform to I arti 3 By 9 these two stadhis had, therefore, to be climinated

Obs 19 — Thus, if \$\tilde{l}\$ artt 3 be accepted, all the \$tidhis\$ of \$\tilde{l}\$ 1 \tilde{t}\$ 58 (see p 32), with the exception of (1), (3) and (4), become superfluous \$Exam ples (for the \$vidhis\$ (5) and (9) see also on \$Vartitkas\$ 6 and 7 above) (2) \$Gemunation of consonants takes place in the \$Trip\tilde{a}a\$ only \$VIII\$ 4\$ 66-52) and might, therefore, be accounted for by \$Vart\$ 3 as well as by \$I\$ 58 (2) \$(addhi atra > da(a)\tilde{d}ah)\$ atra \$VI \tilde{t}\$ 77 (5) and (6) \$In the development sinardhis \$(DhP)\$ VII \$14\$, \$III \tilde{t}\$ 78, \$VI \tilde{t}\$ 10) \$(r) > \tilde{sinathis}\$ \$(VIII \tilde{t}\$ 11) (2) > \tilde{sinathis}\$ \$(VIII \tilde{t}\$ 24) (3) \$\tilde{t}\$ \$\tilde{sinathis}\$ \$(VIII \tilde{t}\$ 41) (4) \$\tilde{t}\$ \$\tilde{sinathis}\$ \$(VIII \tilde{t}\$ 42) (3) \$\tilde{t}\$ \$\tilde{sinathis}\$ \$(VIII \tilde{t}\$ 43) (6) \$\tilde{t}\$ \$\tilde{sinathis}\$ \$(VIII \tilde{t}\$ 43) (6) \$\tilde{sinathis}\$ \$(VIII \tilde{t}\$ 43) (6) \$\tilde{t}\$ \$\tilde{sinathis}\$ \$(VIII \tilde{t}\$ 43) (6) \$\tilde{sinathis}\$ \$(VIII \tilde{t}\$ 43) (7) \$\tilde{sinathis}\$ \$(VIII \tilde{

ally) > sindhi (VIII $_4$ 65) in the second as well in the fifth transition the absence of sthāminativa of the a (which has been elided by VI $_4$ III) might be inferred from Is $_5$ 8 (5) and (6) as well as from Vārit, $_3$. (7) In kurmah (VI $_4$ 108) short $_8$ would, despite of VIII $_2$ 77, also be secured by 79, if sthāminativa had been restricted according to Vārit $_3$ instead of Is $_5$ 8 (7) (8) In accordance with Ir $_5$ 8 (8) $_8$ 9 far $_8$ 1 suffix $_8$ 1 does become $_8$ 2 glu (sagdis) by VI $_8$ 1 too, VIII $_8$ 2 30 and 40, $_8$ 3 the transition $_8$ 1 $_8$ 2 (VIII $_8$ 4 53) might be explained with the help of Vārit. 3 as well. (9) The $_3$ 7 of $_8$ 1 plastink ($_8$ 1 pagrand) arises by transitions according to the processes VI $_8$ 4 98, VIII $_8$ 7 60 and $_8$ 55, the last-mentioned of which agrees with the car-viath (I $_8$ 1 58) (9) as well as with Vārit. 3.

The import of the above considerations (under a)) is that by adoption of $V\bar{a}rtt$, 3 not only luk in VII 3 73 and ϵa in VIII 2 38 (see $V\bar{a}rtt$ 4 and 5 respectively) might have been spared, but also the greater part of I r 58 (r ϵ . I r 58 (2) and (5)—(9)) might have been disponsed with

b) Disadvantages

Only a few disadvantages would be the consequence. They are mentioned in

Vārtt. 10, which runs Tatya dosah samyogādi lopa-lativa-natiesu. (a) samyogādi-lopa lativa-natiesu (a) samyogādi-lopa lativa-natiesu (a) samyogādi-lopa lativa-natiesu (a) samyogādi-lopa lativa-natiesu wibādā iti lopāb prāpnotī), (b) lativam migāryate, migalyate (Āts vibādā iti lativam na prāpnotī), (c) nativam misavapani, vrihivapani (Prātipadichātlasyeti nativam prāpnotī) Vartt 3 would not hold good with reference to (a) the samyogādi lopa, (b) the lativa-nat (c) the nativa-vidāi. (a) samyogādi lopa kāky-artham, iāsy-artham in spite of VIII 2 29 (Skoh samyogādyor ante ca), which enjons syncope (of kā and s respectively), (b) lativa migāryate and also migālyate, in spite of VIII 2 11 (Ac vibhasā), by which the latter form is excluded, (c) narva māšavapani, vrihirapani, in spite of VIII 4 11 (Prātipadikānta [-num ribhaktisu ca]) which admits of n.

Obs 20. — (a) Kāky artham, vāsy-artham In spite of Vārtl. 3, but entirely in accordance with I 1 57, the y is regarded as a vowel, before which k (1) is not syncopated (cf. Vārtl. 1 on VIII 2 21) (b) Mīgālyalet, too, (pass of

the caus V gr) is quite regular (VIII z 21), as either sthântwattwa of the speech-sound z (clided by VI z 5) according to 1 z 57 - by 58 jar, and car-consonants are excepted, semi vowels are not — or pratjaja-laksuna according to 1 z 65 has to be assumed. (It is, indeed, open to question whether P fan in should recognize the form with z, which is not on record in classical language z 1 and which does not occur in the Kitratarangini either 1) (c) The z 10 z

(Since no paribhāna corresponding to the said Vārtitka has been laid down by Pāaini, the above explanations do not affect the reasonings of \$6 A r regarding the (samphān and) paribhānān of Panini Their universal validity must, therefore, be maintained!]

B PARIBHĀSĀS WHICH DO NOT OCCUR IN THE ASTĀDIIYĀVĪ

Of the paribhāsās which are repeatedly met with in the MBh or may be deduced from it, which are quoted in the Siddhāsta-Kaumudī and which, together with other paribhāsās, have been collected in the Paribhāsanduṣekhara, a great number certainly dates back to primeval conventions which, according to Varidyanatha, surnamed Payagunda, go back even to Indra!

Goldstucker supposes?) that "however many of these old parishagar may have been additions made after Paninis, though before Patahjali's time, we still have to admit that without a great number of them a proper application of his [i.e. Pānini's] rules is absolutely impossible. Without them many rules become open to equivocations and doubts, nay to such serious objections that it is hardly possible to conceive a grammarian of the mould of Pāṇini handing his work to his contempores in a condition so needlessly precanous, and so little credible to his skill" "We shall see", he continues 1, "that he [P] availed himself of the technical means of the older grammarians, and, in such a case, never gave any explanation of those technicalities, which must have been known to his contemporances, and, therefore, required

¹⁾ Renou, Giammaire, p 55 2) Luebich, Kiliratarahgini 2) Goldutücker, Ptoini, p 113 seq, cf Note 133 4) Ibid, p 115

no remark... He was compelled, to give such paribhājās as did not occur in the works of his predecessors, and were required as special maxims of his own work, but without exposing himself to the reproach of carelessness, he could omit all those paribhājās which were already in existence, and were available as well for the grammar of his predecessors as for his own". "A large number [of the paribhājās]" Belvalkar, too, remarks!) "he [P] found already current in his day and so used them tactily"

Conclusive force of paribhāsas based on jāāpakas is, however, denied²) by Nāgojibhatta, unless they should occur in the MBh

Kielhorn thinks?) that 'anyone. can arrive at no other conclusion but this that by far the greatest number of the partbhātās which have been collected and explained [in the Paribhāsenduçekhara] and by far the most important of them must either consciously or unconsciously have been adopted already Pānini". He nevertheless acknowledges?) that there occur jhāpakas in the Astādhjāyi 'which indicate that certain paribhātās are not universally valid, — in other words terms and expressions employed by Pānini for which there would have been absolutely no occasion, had Pānini in every case adopted a particular paribhātā, and which, as they have been employed by Pānini, indicate therefore that that particular paribhātā cannot have been regarded by him as universally valid."

Goldstucker goes so far as to say 6) "that it is certain that several of these axioms [= paribhāxās] were invented at later periods, either to palliate the shortcomings of Panini or to make his rules so conveniently elastic as to extend from the time at which he lived down to a period of linguistic development, which could not but find them defective in many respects".

Now, for reasons that have been amply discussed 9) in the author's Pürvatrasiddham (Dutch edition, p 53-80) one is

¹⁾ Bel valkar, Systems, p. 25. 3) PhI on parable XCIII, 5. 3) PhI, tr. Perface p. XXIII. 9) It of an armonic fold state control of the state of t

rather induced to surmise that all the said paribhāiās, as far as they do not occur in those prāliţākhyās which are anterior to Pānini, have been devised by later commentators, and that notably in the time between Panini and Kātyāyana, the longest period from which certain information has not come down. Some may be of still later date since they do not seem to be known to Kātyāyana. This may, for instance, be inferred from the commentary of Patanjali on 1 1 55 in respect to the parbhāsā Nāmbandhakriam anekāliram bhavati (Phī. no 6), which would have rendered two tārtikās superfluous!)

The above considerations would be well in accordance with the fact that some of the paribhāsās which are ascribed to the 'older grammarians' (i.e. 'Indra and others') by Nagojibhatta'h, distinctly refer to Panini') They might, moreover, be reconciled with the observation at the end of the Laghu-paribhāsā-Vriti that some ascribe all the paribhāsās to Vyādi, who'h, in all probability, lived in the time between Pānini and Patafijali.

Should the above-mentioned supposition be right, it would follow, as paribh 13 suggests, that, with respect to all the sitras of the Asiāāhyayi in any doubtful case the reader is subple discrimint, 1 e without having recourse to any paribhāsā except those which are mentioned by Pānini himsell, to decide which subtritutions shall be chosen

In thus being led by his acquaintance with the resulting forms, the reader is naturally by no means prevented from acting as the intricate system of partibhāsās, among which the prevalenceparibhasas are the most important, may suggest him to

not be explained, either because the parishapas in question do not account for them or because they contrided one another, and, faither, by the circumstance that para principals (in its literal maxing) is certainly not more frequent than plane systemicals Cases which family anomaticable examples of the former are, indeed, difficult to collect (The rece?) that c_f in c_f -traphypar is assumitted for a by \$11 \, f 103 in superfection of 102 (lengthening of a) because the former rule is year in regard to the latter, cannot be admitted lince with reference to the position before \$4 \times \(\frac{1}{2} \) (start) in residently a restriction on 102). That it is converted into \$\int \text{formation on 102} \) That it is converted into \$\int \text{formation on 102} \) That it is converted into a figure and the preceding over, it sets to see (see p. 56, \text{Obs. 1}).

¹⁾ See kielhorn, Relation, p 37 1) FAI, p 1 2) Goldstücker, loc 64 p 110 seq 4) Belvalker, loc cit, p 27 5) Vyddydnolo vigeleprah Faiter na hi samleddialatjanam 6) FAI, tr p 186

Examples - 1. To neutr. pl gramani + c: (VII 1 10) two rules apply, 1 2 47 (shortening of 1 at the end of the stem) and VII 1 73 (lengthening of the stem by num(n) before a vocalic flexional ending) In the building up of gramanini, I 2 47 must necessarily produce effect first, subsequently the augment is added. This result may, however, be arrived at by independent reasoning as well as by employing the prevalence paribhasa (no XXXVIII 1/2)) In the latter case the supersession of VII 1 73 (by I 2 47) in spite of its being para and nitya, might be based on paribhāsa L according to which a bahiranga-substi tution is asiddha in regard to an antaranga substitution3) (I 2 47 is antaranga because the causing factor (nimitta) of this process lies before the one of the process in VII 1 73) 2 As to the development of Civa + a + ths. two sutras. VI 1 87 $(\bar{a} + t) = antaranga$ being dependent on the root and its prefix) and VI I 101 ($a + \bar{a} > a$ bahiranga) present themselves After the substitution of guna (for $(\bar{a}+i)$ according to the former sutra, that of \bar{a} (for a+a) has been rendered impossible (but a + e becomes > e according to VI 1 95 (ant)) The same form may, however, be obtained irrespective of any paribhasa $(a + a > \tilde{a})$. $\bar{a} + t > \epsilon$) 3 In accordance with paribhasa L in patu + 1 + a first v would be substituted for u (before antaranga) and afterwards a would become > v (before ā bahıranga) The instrumental batviā, thus arising, however, also conforms to the sthanwat sutra I 1 57 (see p 31) 4 The asiddhatva of the contraction ++> 1 (VI 1 101) in respect to the prefixing of tuk (VI 171) with regard to eg the development adlu + i + va > adhitva (which is taught by VI 1 86, see \$ 5 C, 4th example) might also be based on the antaranga paribhasa (the addition of tuk to a would be antaranea as depending on the immediate sequence of Ivap, whereas the contraction, as concerning two speechsounds of two different words, would be considered as dantranga and therefore assadhal Etc

¹⁾ Phras para mij antrabjejaci Altram utlaretteram halije 8 3, Para = Subsequent (in Panint's Grammar), a rule is called nutra if it continues applying when another rule which applies simultaneously, has taken effect (unt amirjo) A rule is anteranga in regard to another rule if the cause(s) of the substitution which is prescribed by it, the before or within the cause(s) of the substitution this prescribed by that other (labirabje) rule 3) Paribh L Anddham bahrengam anterance

Obs. 21. — As tub in VI 186 would be superfluous in the case of the 4th example, those who approve of the antaranga partihāsiā are compelled to accept the restrictive partihāsiā Nājānantarye bahistva-prakliptih (PhI, no Ll)

Obs 22 — It is obvious that on account of developments such as scd + vas + as (> scdusah) no doubt can arise. Here two sitras apply simultaneously, VI 4 131 (samprasārama for v, caused by as bahiranga') and VII 2 35 (prefixing of scdusah) at the immediately following val $sddy-\bar{s}^2ahhadhāthah$ suffix antaranga'). As in the former stira the very form vas is mentioned by Panini, whereas the substitution in VII 2 35 in a general sense depends on a following val-consonant, there can be no doubt that samprasārama must be substituted. Afterwards scdusah cannot be prefixed any more, because the val consonant has dis appeared, end **radusas becomes > scdusash (VII 109 etc.)

Obs 23 — Nāgojibhatta states that the antarangaparibhāsā (PhI, no L)), which moreover — like many
other paribhāsā: — is restricted by several statements
and paribhāsas, does not concern the sūtras of the Tripādi
(PhI, p 44, l 3 Iyam ca Tripādyām na pravartatē Tripādyā
aridāhataal) "because a subsequent rule occuring in the
Tripādi (according to VIII 2 1) at any rate ceases to
exist in regard to a preceding one, which latter rule,
therefore, cannot be regarded as bahiranga and hence not
as asidāha" (tbu l, 16 seqq) Cf MBh VIII 315, Vārit 2,
by which the author wishes to intimate that one cannot
reject a statement (i c Varit 1) by having recourse to the
antaranga paribhāsā in case the antaranga sūtra is contained in the Tripādi (PhI, p 45, 1 6 seq)

Obs. 24 — Again it should be noted that the fact of Panini's using certain terms raises objections to the assumption of his not adopting certain particlasts. Thus, if, for instance, particlasts L is not accepted, it remains unexplained why Panini should have employed \mathcal{U} in VI 4 132. For, as u (taken from the preceding sutra) would have produced the same effect, this indication (\mathcal{U}) might as well have been spared If particlasts L is adopted, \mathcal{U} serves a purpose, for, in that case, a regular development of $(x_1x_2 + x_3h + x_1u)$ (III $2 \le h + ah$ would — if \mathcal{U} is had not

¹⁾ See p 41, Note 3

been employed — be disturbed After the changing to -aluāh- (VI £ 132, with samprasārana from 131) and, afterwards, of -aluāh- to -aluāh- (VI 1 108) the substitution of of guna for u by VII 3 86 would be prevented by the bahrrangatua of the substitution of samprasārana') according to which u would be regarded as vā, z c. VII [3 86 would not apply, and viewahah VI 1 98 could not be accounted for

(The development is $v > \bar{u}$ (VI 4 132), $\bar{u} + \bar{a} > \bar{u}$ (VI 108), $a + \bar{u} > au$ (VI 189))

In a similar way parish LIV, according to which a bahiranga-substitution of y_{0p} should supersede even an antaranga substitution j, is inferred from II 4 36 as a $j\bar{n}\bar{a}p_0ka$ If Pānini had not adopted the said parishāsā, in accordance with the antaranga-parishāsā L first pagdh would be substituted for ad according to II 4 36 (second part) in the absolutivum $pra + ad + kv\bar{u}_1$ and subsequently pra for $v\bar{u}'$ (VII 1 37) Only if it is assumed that Pānini does accept parishāsā LIV, so that the bahiranga-operation $(v\bar{u} > pa (VII 1 37))$ must be performed before ad becomes > pagdh, the explicit mentioning of prap in II 4 36 would be accounted for (lyap) as a substitute for $v\bar{u}'$ $(kv\bar{u}')$ is ku (n 20), but it does not been u with l')

Obs 25 — If, as is done in the MBh, paribh LVI be rejected, according to which Pan iniyah do not wish to further any substitution the conditions of which are removed by another substitution?), and if, at the same time, paribh L be approved of, sultra VI 4 19 requires to be read Celvoh, fld anundiske ca (strictly speaking Tehtich etc.), for in that case, in all formations in which ch (before keup and certain hald consonants) is preceded by a short vowel, this vowel gets tuk by VI 1 73 (antaranga in regard to VI 4 10). In this case V prach + na (III 3 90) prache + na (VI 1 73) perana (VI 4 10).

If one is guided by Panini's own sutras only, VI 4 19 may run Chich etc. and prach + na > pracha may be constructed by VI 4 19 directly Afterwards the addition of 1 (to a) is excluded, because no ch follows any longer

¹⁾ Samprahrane would be caused by not, for it is on the ground of its being followed by this soft that referred h is called hat (j. 18), whereas the fund for its due to riv (cf. 1 x 5), consequently the substitution of samprahrane would be failurably and, therefore, and the 17 Parish 11 Advantage and the substance of the Tableta 27 Parish 11 Advantages and the substance for Tableta 27 Parish 11 Advantages Advantages.

(In this case VI 1 73 only applies to forms such as *gathati and the like) The same construction would be suggested by the adoption of parthhātā LV, according to which a sitra of the angādhikāra possesses greater force than a ramdhi-sütra.

Obs 26 — The relation between VI 4 19 and VIII 236, too, is worth noting, with reference to forms such as prita (cf VI I 16 (15), 108) prat (â and suffix kup according to Un5 II 57) from V prach At first sight the smight be explained by VI 4 19 and VIII 236 as well as by the Tripadi-sutra alone. If, however, the ch of *prehta had not become > t by VIII 2 36, but likewise ink would have been prefixed before ch (VI I 73) (> pretchia, which would have yielded prita (VIII 2 36) > pritata (4 41) Consequently that been pravauprainsedhem substituted (by VI 4 19), and afterwards \(\text{in the been replaced by \(x\) according to VIII \(x\) 36

II ADHIKĀRAS

§ 7

A THEIR VALIDITY ALSO WITH REFERENCE TO SÜTRAS OF THE TRIPĀDĪ

Adhikaras, like paribhāsās (which by Patañjali (Kaiyata) are comprised under them), owe their force to their relation to other sutras. As in the case of paribhāsās, therefore [86], one is entitled to ask whether the assidhanta of the sūtras subsequent to VIII 2 is should not render adhikarana impossible in the Tripādi, i. e whether — VII 186, 42 ed one come into account here — VIII 1 16 (Padasya) (starting from VIII 174), 2 1 (Pārzatāsudham), 108 (Sanhitājān), 3 55 etc may have any meaning at all

In the same way as has been done in the said section it may easily be proved, as is, moreover, shown by the very application of the rules, that the formation of adultāra-groups also of sutras subsequent to VIII 2 1, is possible, otherwise, this adultāra would, indeed, become meconsistent with itself, and the following adultāras would become meaningless According to the

statements both of the MBh ') and the $K\bar{a}_{l}$. 'Padasya extends as far as VIII 354, and the greater part of the sittras governed by it is, therefore, represented by $Trip\bar{a}ds$ sittras, the adhikāra $PRrvatr\bar{a}siddham$ governs the whole of the $Trip\bar{a}ds$, while Samhitayam is to be read into the sitras of the last part of it (VIII 2 and 2). The last two adhikāras partly overlap Padasya

B THE ADHIKĀRA "PADASVA" (VIII 1 16)

1 The notion "pada" (Word- and stem-padas)

Two kinds of padas must be distinguished a) certain words, b) certain word-stems. Both of them are well defined

a) Word-padas

Padas are, first of all (save the restrictions contained in I 4 15, see Obs. 3 below) all such words as end in sup or tin (I 4 14, cf IV 12 and III 478) agnim (IV 12), bodhāmi (III 478)

The designation pada remains applicable when the inflectional ending is either extended by an augment, or loses one or more speech-sounds by apocope karatāni (III 4 92, cf 89) and karatāta (08) are also padas

As the forms of sthanvatra discussed in 5 6 A 2 also hold good with reference to the terminology, the term pada is, further, retained for such casus and finite verbs in which the original ending (IV 12, III 478) has been replaced by another detail (VII 19) and bodhanti (VII 13) are likewise padas

As a zero is likewise sthänitat, the term pada must, finally, also be applied to inflectional forms in which the ending has been dropped (cf. I of 2, 63) Examples of padas of this kinder *\(\text{s}_j\text{p}_i \) (VII \(\text{o}_i \) (\rightarrow \text{s}_j\text{p}_i \) (\rightarrow \text{s}_j\text{p}_i \) (VII \(\text{o}_i \) (\rightarrow \text{s}_j\text{p}_i \) (\rightarrow \text{s}_j\text{s}_i\text{s}_i\text{s}_j\text{p}_i\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_i\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text{s}_j\text

Special attention should be paid to indeclinables, first members of compounds and roots in n of denominative-formations. See the three following observations respectively

Obs t - Indeclinables are such inflection-forms as have been built up by IV 12, and have lost the ending

¹⁾ MBS on VIII / 16, Farit 1, Vol 111 p 371 P) Ka, on VIII / 16

afterwards by luk (II 4 82) (with the exception of only a few avyaythäatas (II 4 83) and some fossilized casus (I 1 38 8 seqq)) Now, I 1 63, as an important restriction on 62, teaches that such blanks as are lumatā indicated [i.e. by luk, flu or lup) are not sthānīvat with reference to those modifications of the stem which, according to Pānini's rules depend on the ending (Bôhtlingk forgets to insert "also" in his translation of I 1 61) This restriction also refers to the terming of the stem in so far as depending on the suffix Therefore, although being reduced to prātipadihas, avyayas cannot be padas in the sense of I 4 17 (stem-padas) It is only owing to I 1 62 that they, nevertheless, get this designation word-padas they are (cf Obs 3) (Those with preserved vibhakti-taddhita (V 3 7 seqq) are or stps word-padas)

Obs 2 - First members of compounds drop the ending according to II 471 (exceptions according to VI 31 seqq). *rājanas + putrah > rājanputrah, kastam + gritah > kastacritah - A compositum consists of a pradhana (chief member) and an upasarjana (subordinate member) (cf I 2 43, 44, the upasariana usually stands at the head (II 2 30), but not always (I 2 44)) Constructively Panini always conceives the front-member (quoted in the nominative) as (the starting-point of) the compositum, hence as that which (in combination with something else) builds up the compositum (cf II 1 6 avyayam, 24 driftya, 30 trnyā, etc., II 2 4 praptāpanne, etc.) In this sense the compositum, te, therefore, that part of the whole construction which is quoted in the nominative, is called a pratifadika according to 1 2 46, and as such all its members with the exception of the last, drop their endings (by II 471) This must be the import of Bohtlingk's somewhat obscure note on II 471 in which he says that rā jūah puruṣāh "als Compositum nach I 246 Nominalstamm [sei] und als solcher (nach II 471) die Casusendung [verliere]" It it not the whole that is prainfadika, but "rajhah(rāja)

Obs 3 — Luk of sup takes place according to II 471 (luk from 58) when a casus becomes > dhātu or fraitradaka futram + 3elfspa, III 1 8] + 11 > *putrayatı (I 471) > futrayatı, VII 433) By I 415 the designation fada is confined here to n stems only Thus rāyan + fyaz 7x13ya = (VIII 27) > rāy1ya - VII 433, with regard to the latter substitution VIII 27 is not asiddha according

to VIII 22) — Böhtlingk's translation of nah (I 415) as *Nominalstamm (auf n)" is wrong, if seen from Pānini's standpoint. Although after the taking effect of II 471 putra as well as *rājan assumes the form of a prātipadika (serving as a dhātu Supa ātmanah kyac, III r 8) none of them is treated as such in this connexion (I 163) Böth forms have, however, the value of mutilated cases and as such, but for I 415, both forms would be padas It is only on the strength of the said restrictive rule that *rajan (in *rājanyati) does get this designation, whereas putra does not

b) Stem-padas

Stem padas are 1) (according to I 4 17) all stems (in so far as they are no bha stems (18, 19)) before one of the suffixes mentioned in IV I 2 seqq (up to V 4, end) (remote suffixes, cf. p. 9), provided they are not sarvanamasthāna (I 1 42, 43). 2) (according to 16) any stem that is followed by a sufsuffix Examples 1) *rājanbih (IV I 2), *rājanmat (V 29), 2) bhat.adsya (IV 2 115, suffix chas) Examples of bha stems are (1 + 18) vāc in denom vācyate, gen s vācas (in both cases no 4 + 18) vāc in denom vācyate, gen s vācas (in both cases no thange of e to e by VIII 2 30, as opposed to the development of rajan (word pada) in *rajanyate, in which n is chiede according to I 4 15 and VIII 2 7 and afterwards a is lengthened by VII 4 25, and to that of bhavat in *bhavatiya (with ehas), in which e becomes a according to I 4 16 and VIII 2 39), (I 4 19) e vidyutvat, tejasmat (without transition e e and e e in etc as prescribed by VIII 2 39 and VIII 2 66 etc. respectively)

Obs 4 — The combining of "complete words" with nominal stems before certain consonantal endings by the common term pada was occasioned by the fact that both formations follow the same euphonic rules in the phonetical coherence of the sentence (final voiceless mutes become voiced, etc)

Obs 5.— A form like nom. s.n. *varis* becomes a mere stem by the apocope of su according to VII 1 23. This stem is a pada, but, according to I 1 63, it cannot be a stem pada in the sense of I 4 17, in spite of I 1 43 (anapumsakam), because the apocope of su has been indicated by luk Hence it must be a word-pada, and that on the ground of I 414 and 62. Although, with regard to the apolication of VIII 2, it is of no consequence whether

such forms as 1 s *rājān (VI r 68, lopa*) are regarded as word- or as stem padas, it should be noted that these forms, too, although being stem as well as pada, are, nevertheless, no stem- but word-padas.

Obs 6 — A form like Ved loc sing. vyoman (luk, VII 139) is likewise a word-pada, but, though stem, no stempada (I 163, the syncope is indicated by luk). Wrongly, therefore, the SdhK remarks on VIII 28 that, as VIII 28 would happen not to apply, I 1 63 is not observed here

2. The genitive Padasya

It is rather remarkable that, apart from a single doubtful exception, the Kāṣṇkā should remind us of the adhikāra (Padasya) in all such-rules as bear on the end of the pada, whereas it is silent upon it in other sūtras Similarly Bhattoji, and, as appears from his translation, Bohtlingk, constantly speak of pada (often translated by "word") in the first-mentioned sūtras only. One feels, indeed, inclined to regard a process at the end of a pada as a pada-process xer 'espa'r This conception may be supported by the angādhikāra, under which head, from the nature of the case, almost exclusively processes at the end of a stem are dealt with

If, however, this reading were night, why then does Panini introduce the following group, beginning with VIII 3 55. by Apadāniarya instead of by "Apadānia", as one would expect him to do! If, before, he had meant the end only, he would have employed "Padāniarya" in VIII 1 16 One would, although not expecting an uninterrupted sequence of sittras treating of the end of a pada (in accordance with Vārtt 1 on 1 3 11: Adhikārah pratiyogam iaiyāmirdeṣārthah), look in vain for another adhikāra with so many deviations

Panin's intention may be deduced from parishāṭā 1 1 49 seq and from Katyāyana's elucidaing Vārīt 3 on 1 1 49 Stita 1 1 49 runs Saṭfh shānt-pagā. This stita is meant to create, in addition to the ordinary gentive functions 1)— of which, from the nature of the case, only the adnominal gentive comes into account here — a technical gentive. Sībāna, therefore, has

AT; vo l 1 49. Behave hi faifhy arthith transliny-anamera samipa rambha til samitayendight, attra ybrantah fabli sambhavanti tilu sarrifu grafitju niyamah hriyati quifti tikhaveyeti.

the wide meaning of frasanga') = occasio here, so that the sutra is meant to express In order to indicate that in a certain form, i.e. either for the form itself or for part of it, a substitution is to be performed, this form is (in either case!) put in the genitive. This is the sthana saith

As appears from Vartt 3 on I 1 492), the genitive (also) in an (adhikāra-) sutra may have the technical meaning of I 1 49 as well as - in cases which are clear enough - one of the meanings defined elsewhere (II 3)2) This latter is, for instance, the case in VIII 2 4, 5 On VIII 1 17, Vartt 4 Patanjali remarks that, if the technical meaning were the only one, such words as kumārjah would not assume a startta (according to 4) such words as 1 rksāli no udatta (according to 5), inconveniences which are removed as soon as (Vartt 5) Padasya is conceived as a vicesana sasthi Qualitative force of the genitive is also shown, for instance, in VIII 2 66, first part According to the tadanta vidhi (I 1 72) 4) the genitive sah (VIII 2 66) represents a whole (tai) By the adhikara Padasja we are informed that this whole is a pada. As Padasia appears here as an apposition to tat, it practically replaces it and consequently teaches us the end of which particular whole is meant. Accordingly sah becomes > "of a pada, ending in s" (sakaranta padasya) According to I 1 52 this genitive, again, means sakaranta padantasya (sthana sasthi) so that, finally, the translation becomes "For s at the end of a pada ending in s, ru is substituted"

In accordance with Varit 1 on VIII 2 7 8) Padasya in all such sutras as contain the indication antasya must be regarded as a virtual-agentive In VIII 2 7, for instance, the whole compound pratipadikanta(ya) indicates a speech sound (at the end of a pada) Here iad (of I 1 72) = padasya, which figures once more as an apposition, and, in the same way as in the

⁴⁾ Kāj on I 1 49 Shkāna çahda; ca prannagovas. 3) MBh on I 1 49, Varit, J. Vol I, p 118 Azyman taikhyadinan caipratur yagayamadighhatat Cf kin Vitiridan 2 and 4. 3) Cf Kāj on VIII 2 16 Valoyaman taikhyadinay fada yadahirjanya taikhya-rika-yatankha drastaya ke at stidana qatik kac sterayaw tankhi (3) 1 72 Yene wikhi adantanya A speech-ound or a form in rigut to which a rule has been la d down, indicates (beades itself) the whole that ends in that speech-ound (that form) (so that this rule may also refer to this whole). 3) MBh VIII 2 7, Varit 1, Vol. III, p 394 No lope nta grakaman padadhika-rayay varificantat cf Varit 6 on VIII 2 16.

above example, acquires a qualitative sense, so that prātipadikāntasya becomes > *of a pada which ends in a prātipadika", i.e. prātipadikānta padasja. This, finally, by I 1 52 becomes > pratipadikānta padāntasya

One more example In VIII 3 29 seq, the whole after which the substitution takes place, is indicated by the final speech-sound (in the ablative') required by I I 72 That this whole is a pada follows from the adhtkāra read with I I 52. According as prothess or paragoge is meant, the substitute takes I or I respectively (by I I 46)

3 Combining with adhikara sutras

It remains to be examined whether Padasya, which is said to be valid up to 3 54, also refers to the adhikāras VIII 2 1 and 108

It is obviously not fundamentally required that each sutra that has been put under an admtāra should also be governed by it An adhtāra, indeed, is only made so as to be applied in as many sutras as appear to belong to it 1), in order to avoid repetition of the same words or terms

Obs 7 — Bhattoji somewhat ambiguously remarks on II I I, 4, on the one hand, that the expression [sup (2)] saka supā appears "jointly and severally one at a time or two at a time" and, on the other, that "this aphorism (4) constantly will be applied in translating the sutras that follow"

Sütras that are sub-adhikaras need not a priori prove exceptions in VI 4 22 all commentators rightly supplement the angadhikara Likewise Samhitājam (VIII 2 108) apparently applies simultaneously with VIII 2 1, by which it is entirely overlapped

If, however, e.g. Padasya, which in this case might be conceived as a virtuanasasthi, were to be applied to Phrvatyāsiddham (VIII 2 1) (which covers only part of the Padasya-sutras), this thus restricted adhikara—further restricted by Samhitāyām, VIII 2 108 seqq—would be valid throughout the Tripādi, i.e. numerous stiras subsequent to 3 54 would be deprived of asiddhataa

As, on the contrary, the assiddhatea is apparently the common quality of all processes of the Tripadi (see Obs 8), there is no occasion for such a combination (of VIII 2 1 with VIII 1 16)

¹⁾ Cf Vartt 1 on 1 3 11, Vol 1, p 271

and the putting of VIII 2 1 under VIII 1 16 produces no further effect

Obs 8 - The asiddhatva of certain substitutions which show themselves as irregularities might, indeed, also be explained by the help of well known paribhāsās (instead of VIII 2 1) Thus, in spite of VIII 3 15, r in narpatya (< nr + pats + nya) might conveniently be accounted for by arguing that VII 2 117 $(r > \bar{a}r)$ is bahiranga and, therefore, asiddha with regard to VIII 3 15 (r > h) (antaranga, the former substitution is due to the suffix nya, whereas the causal of a supposed substitution of h would be the p which is part of the stem) Accordingly, nar had to be considered as nr in regard to VIII 3 15, 1 e. this sutra would not apply. It deserves notice, however, that the MBh, as well as Nagojibhatta, reject the antarangaparibhasa with reference to sutras of the Tripadi (see p 42. Obs 23) It is on this account that in the MBh in all such cases as might be explained by means of the antarangaparibhāsā, a special statement is made. Thus, in the case of narpatya from VIII 3 15 it excludes') the position before the last member of compounds

The discussion regarding narpatya further shows that the said parishas may be alleged in explaining pada-sutras as well as others The former are, however, decidedly asiddha (see above) Whether or not this quality is possessed, does, therefore, not come into account here. Nor can the fact of the reader being beforehand acquainted with the resulting forms speak for such a combination (of VIII 1 16 with VIII 2 1), unless we were to presuppose an almost absolute absence of seventry of system

Under these circumstances it seems safest to extend the Purvairasiddham over the whole Tripādi and to explain the sūtras contained in it as has been maintained in the foregoing pages and has been done ever since Kātyāyana

The sub-adhtkāra Samhtlayām (VIII 2 108) does not require any special discussion now it holds good unrestrictedly up to the end (Only (most of) those sūtras which are governed simultaneously by Padasya, as such refer to (the position at the end of) padas)

¹⁾ MBh on VIII 3 15, Vartt 1, Vol III, p 426 Visarjaniyo 'nuttara pade

CHAPTER III THE LOGICAL PRINCIPLE

I SUBJECT-MATTER OF THE TRIPADI

§ 8

A SPHERE OF APPLICATION OF THE SÜTRAS CONTAINED IN I-VIII I

The statements in the preceding chapter as to the scope of parishāsas, are based on the apparent meaning of the sutras, as may be inferred from the real state of things!) On which processes that are capable of partaking of a certain development, the course of this development depends, and in what order, has to be determined for each doubtful case and for each stage of development, in view of the result that is to be arrived at

As to formations at such a stage of development as has been reached entirely according to sutras contained in I--VIII I, may be stated from experience that any stra may apply Accordingly the further development of such a form may be effected not only according to subsequent as well as to preceding sutras, but also according to subsequent or preceding sutras, but

i) In the Dutch edition of the author's Purvatrasiddham this point has been amply discussed

to PhS II 3) becomes assibana (VII 1 75) assibna (by VI 4 134, 1 161, 158 respectively) (Removing of the first accent (on the strength of the sate assay principle, VI 1 158) may also take place after VII 1 75 has taken effect.) 3 (forward and backward) The gen sing "yusmada constructed by VII 1 27 (< yun+ modik (UnS I 139)+ ar) becomes > yusmaa (by the subsequent sutra VII 2 90)> yusmaa (by the preceding sustra VI 1 97) and yusma once again in two directions > taiaa (VII 2 96)> tava (VII 1 97)

A sutra contained in the $\Gamma rip\bar{a}di$, however, must not be anticipated

Obs 1 — This tends to a) a diminution as well as to b) an extension of the sphere of employment of the sutras a) The former takes place if in case the Tripād-sitra should be siddha, new opportunities for the taking effect of a preceding sutra would be created 1 Rājabhih (VIII 27), in spite of its ending in short "a", does not fall under VII 19 2 Such forms as asma uddhara (VIII 319) are not affected by the contraction rules for neighbouring vowels (VI 187 seqq) 3 The c of gackhati, which according to VIII 4 40 arises from t (VI 173) is not changed (to c) by VIII 2304. Neither is not of ynāyau Development ynjau (<yuj + ktin + au, III 259, IV 12, VI 167) yninjau (VIII 171) yninjau (VIII 324) ynājau

Obs 2 -- In regard to preceding sūtras, such substitutes as are asiddha possess, as it were, complete ithānivadbhava

(also al-vidhau) Whereas, for instance, in V dtv both the u and the au, which have been substituted according to VI 1 131 and VII 1 84 respectively, conform to the rules concerning vowels (loc dyusu, with y according to VI 1 777, nom. sing. dyault without apocope of s (VI 1 68), rajabhh (VII 2 7) is, with regard to rules in respect of which VIII 2 7 is asiddha, throughout treated as *rajanbhil, i.e. the zero is regarded as n (and, on that account, no substitution according to VII 1 9 takes place).

Obs 3. — The assidhativa of, for instance, VIII 2 7 on account of which such sittans as bear on "a"-stems are prevented from taking effect naturally favours those sutras which treat of "a"-stems Comp. the first examples under a) and b) above (Obs 1) Even a cursory examination shows that the benefit on the one hand is identical with the loss on the other. The division, therefore, essentially concerns the system only

B SUBJECT-MATTER OF THE TRIPADI RESTORATION OF VOWELS AND COSONANTS

In now examining what stage the normalized forms spoken of in § 3, outset, have reached, by applying all sutras to them, except those contained in the *Tripādī*, we find a provisional *dialect I—VIII I" which may be outlined as follows

I Vocalism. Accentuation according to formulas which, in regard to the principal rule (VI 1 158), would seem "irregular" is omitted. This is also the case with the $pluta-lengthening of vowels which, together with a particular accentuation, conveys a modal or sacral meaning (VIII <math>z \approx 2z \sec q_z$) and with a few other vowel changes (VIII $z \approx 16-81$)

Apart from these omissions vocalism has been all but restored, the modification (apophony) and samdhi (in- and external) has been brought about

II. Consonantism of the basic dialect, however, has undergone only a few changes, vis such as eg are ordained by VII 3 52 seqq (bhaga and the like, in which forms the changes are mostly connected with certain peculiarities of suffixes) The sandhi is scarcely dealt with (comp, however, sutras such as VI 1 66, 68 seq, $\frac{1}{2}$ 19 seqq. (concatenation with VI $\frac{1}{2}$ 111— $\frac{1}{2}$ 18), VII $\frac{1}{2}$ 48 seqq. etc.), hence the nom $\frac{1}{2}$ with $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2$

The external samdhs is almost entirely left out of consideration (cf however, sutras such as VI 1 73 seqq and the like)

Obs 4 - The predominance of vowel sandhe in I-VIII I is due to the fact that in several cases the in and ex ternal samdle of vowels is governed by the same laws Thus the contraction of kindred vowels that of a (a) with a following vowel, the transition of z (i) u (u) to semi vowel, and so on This resemblance occurs, indeed, also in the samdhi of consonants, e g in certain assimilations but the consonantal system from which Panini starts differs too much from the actual one as to allow him from the very outset to give formulas which would hold good for any stage of development Not until "vacs bhavatt had passed through VI r 68, VIII 2 30, 39 could it become > rag bhavats That the vowel plays an important part also in the Tribadi, is shown in the construction of a kind of provisional pada (\$ 9 B) ending in the consonant (the voiced one) that is usually heard before vowels (and voiced conso nants and, in Panini's time, also avasane)

III Constructively all words, compounds included have been built up All elements are present (With a view to this the sthanivat principle is introduced raja(n) (VI 1 68) remains pada)

Obs 5 — The first verses of the story of Nala when translated in "dialect I—VIII 1" would run as follows "A'sīt rājan Nalas nāma Virasena sutas balin

Upa padtas gunais istáis rupament açva kovidas

The following remains for the Tripadi

I Accentuation which is principally incompatible with VI I 158 Restoration of some vowels

II Restoration of numerous consonants (by ekadeça substitutions only, since as regards the elements, the words are complete)

II DIVISION OF THE SUBJECT MATTER

\$ 8

A SPHERE OF APPLICATION OF THE TRIPADÍ SÜTRAS

That a sutra of the Tripadi must not be anticipated is taught by the sutra which stands at the head of it Pūrvatrasiddham According to this sutra, VIII 24 (2 and 3 complete the adhikara) refers to the forms of the provisional dialect I—VIII t only, from which it forms a new one which neither creates new opportunities for applying proceeding stirtas, nor removes such as already exist. To this "intermediate dialect" the following stirta (VIII 2 5) is, in the same way, applied, and so forth. Hence all those sutras which are asiddha with regard to any other sutra have been put in the Tripādi, and that, if the stirta in regard to which the asiddhativa finds expression, is itself a Tripādi stirta, after this one "Yeyan rapāda sapāda sapādakhāyā unukrāmā, etasya ayam pādono 'dhyāyo 'suddho bhavati' is stated by the Māh. as well as by the Kāc, and the latter adds "Ita utlaram cottaratura yogan pūrvatra-stadho bhavati

Thus in a form evolved according to a *Tripādi* sūtra, no substitution according to preceding sūtras is allowed.

Examples—I While the order in which sutras contained in I—VIII I, are applied, is quite arbitrary (see p 52) $\pi^2 j_0 b h h$ is, in consequence of the asiadhativa of VIII I 27, prevented from partaking of the process of VII I 9, whereas " $r_0 j_0 a$ is, as a supposed " $r_0 j_0 a$, subject to VII I 8 ($r_0 j_0 a$) $r_0 l_0 a$ 19, whereas " $r_0 l_0 a$ 19, is, in spite of the elsion according to VIII I 7, not lengthened by VI I 3, but as $n_0 a l_0 a$ in a supposed " $p_0 a l_0 a$ 10, but as $n_0 a l_0 a$ 119, but (VII I 80) does not fall under VII I 119, but (VII I 210) as a formation of an a-stem under VII I 115, (So, in order to make the instrusing conform to VII I 120, the asiddhatva of VIII I 280 had to be abolished (by VIII I 210).

Unlike the processes contained in I-VIII 1, in which preceding as well as subsequent rules may intervene, those of the Tripādī are not affected by preceding ones

Obs 1 — For it is not because of the interference of VIII 3 86 that *visphurya does not become *visphurya does not even exist, by VIII 2 77 with regard to VIII 2 77, visphurya does not even exist, by VII 3 86 any formation in I—VIII 1 that conformed to VIII 3 86 was affected by this sitra, and so the stem-vowel of visphurya was gunated, 1 e it did not conform to VIII 2 77 any longeri) Purvaviratisedha

¹⁾ MBk on VIII 2 1, Vol III, p 385, l 10-end and Varit 1 Yadi karja kalam samjāā paribhāram ity ucyata iyam api paribhāfāsti vipratijidhe param iti

is frequent in the Tripādi. A few examples of pūrvavipratisedha ate: 1. Kurianti > kurvamti by VIII 3 24 (>kurianti, 1 58), not *kurianti by 4 2. 2. Manar ratha > mano-ratha (VI I 114 etc.), not *manāratha (VIII 3 14 etc). 3 Alarisdhiam > alaridhiam (VIII 2 25) (alaridhiam, 3 79), not *alaiisdhiam (3 59) 4 VI 1 68 VIII 4 40 (41) apocope, not palatalization (cerebralization). 5 Cabdaprach + krip (UnS. II 57) + sup (IV 1 2) > - prach (UnS. II 57, Panini VI 1 67, 68) > - prac (VI 4 19), not -pras (VIII 2 36). In this stage VIII 2 36 (ch) is not applicable any longer, but -prac becomes > - pras (VIII 2 36)>-d (39) (t, VIII 4 56) 6 Vac + t1> vakts (VIII 2 30), not *tacci (4 40) 7. Bharadiya (IV 2 115) according to I 4 16, in spite of 18 8. Deraik (VII 1 9) not *devebbik (3 103) Comp., further, Vārtt. 1 on IV 2 39, Vārttikas 10 and 11 on VII 1 96, Vārtt 1 on VI 4 48 and cf. paribhāsās such as nos LII, LIV, XCIII, 7/8, etc. See also § 11 on VIII 2 31: anjadhat with syncope of a and without zrddhi

Except a construction be concluded, as eg klpta, in which l after having been ordained by VIII 2 18 remains definitely, a development that has reached the Tripadi may be continued by subsequent Tripadi-rules only

Example.—In a continually forward direction, therefore, *mahānt ass > mahān ass (VIII 2 23) (Ved) > mahānk Rass (VIII 3 9, cf 3) > mahānk ass (VIII 3 17) (a (ā), VIII 3 17, also = nasalized a (ā)) > mahānk ass (VIII 3 19) Obs 2. — The saud manner of application of the sutras lends to the Tripādi the characteristic of building up in an exclusively forward direction. In this sense the final dialect develops from dialect I—VIII 1 by passing through as many stages as there are sutras in the Tripādi the sutras in the Tripādi.

Obs. 3. — The asiddhatva-principle has been applied twice elsewhere All the sutras of group VI i 87 seqq are asiddha with regard to the cerebralization of s (VIII

Sphiepstiphtia Taira ke deshê Yupheryam, azqevyam it. gunad dirphatrom vyd vrpratightem Ata uttaram pathati (Värti 1) Pürvaträndihe näiti vipratighebe Nazāl utturaja (Pataūjali) Purvatrāndihe näiti vipratighebe Kin kiranam) Abhātād utturaja dvoyer hi sāvekigayeh samvatihtayer vipratighebe khasti, na sa pursatrāndiheb param pirvam priti hāvati And Vāmana And Kāgana (Kāg on VIII 2 1) Vipratighte param iyejā tu parishātā, yena pūrvama lakinnem taha sparishātāt param lakinnem tafpata tayshichlatvām na pravartatu (tollow the same examples) Ch. p. 39, foot note 9, ted

3 55 seqq.) and the prothesis of tuk (VI 1 71 seqq.), while in the so-called ābhiya-group (VI 4 22—end of the adhyāya) there exists a mutual asiddhatta. Examples p 26

B DIVISION OF THE SUBJECT-MATTER THE TRIPADI A SYSTEM OF GROUPS

In tracing the manner in which the subject-matter of the Tripadi, as indicated in the foregoing section, has been spread over the sutras, it becomes evident that the logical principle (§ 5, end) shows itself in several ways

1. Formation-groups

First the attention is drawn to the distinction of the sutras according to the formations they bear on.

Although the distinction pada-patha and samhitā-pātha-forms (as found in the prātuākhyas) is not made by Pānini, he nevertheless distinguishes pause- and samdhi-forms, both of which he derives from a common ideal pada-form, which may, therefore, be reparded as an abstraction of both of them

Now, these provisional pada-forms are constructed according to the sutras VIII 2 1 (4)—107 (incl.), which, therefore, from the nature of the case, chiefly bear on (the end of the) pada and which could accordingly be put under Padasya (VIII 1 16)

In these preparatory forms such operations are subsequently (VIII 2 108—end of the Asiādhyāyı) performed as finally yield the definite samhitā- (and ayaāāna-)forms

Thus two Formation-groups arise

I Sutras according to which ideal pada-forms arise (from the forms in 1-VIII 1)

VIII 2 1-107

II Sutras relating to samdhi and pause-forms as derived from

I (and sometimes from I—VIII 2). VIII 2 108—4, end Both Formation-groups begin and end with vowel-sutras. Thus the vowel sutras are divided into three groups (of which the middle one (VIII 2 76—108) forms a concatenation between the two Formation-groups), while the consonant-sutras range to two larger groups.

Obs 4 — On mnemotechnical grounds two vowelsultras (VIII 3 33 and 4 57) are placed among the consonant-sultras (8 5 B, p 24, see further Chap VI)

2 Position groups

No less importance should be attached to the distinction of the stiras according to the position in which the processes take place. In this regard Group II may be subdivided into three parts. The first part (up to VIII 3 54) is, like the whole of Group I governed by Padasia (VIII 1 16) and refers mainly (but not entirely) to the end of the pada (cf \$7 B 2). The following group (VIII 3 55—4 39) on the contrary excludes this position (comp. VIII 3 55, 4 37, see, however, sutras such as VIII 3 to 1 seqq) while the remaining sutras of the third group for the greater part bearing on in as well as on external sandth determines the definite samhita form of the beginning the middle and the end of the pada (Atasana forms are accounted for in proper places, of \$ 14). Thus

A Sutras relating to the auslaut')

VIII 2 108-3 54

B Sutras for the greater part relating to the inlaut

VIII 3 55-4 39

C Sutras not relating to a particular post

tion in the pada VIII 4 40-68

By combining the distinction according to the position with

by combining the distinction according to the position with that according to the formation the following scheme arises Formation-group I

```
(VIII 2 1 (4)-107) Auslaut processes
(The abstract pada)
Formation-group II (A Auslaut processes (VIII 2 108-3 54))
(VIII 2 108-4 68) B Inlaut processes (VIII 355-4 39)
(Samdhi) (C General sandhi processes (VIII 4 40-68)
```

3 Thematical groups

A third requirement of logical planning is finally attended to the bringing together of the sūtras into thematical groups

That the given formulation of the sutras should necessitate part of them to be neutralized is clear from the foregoing sections

Examples — 1 After the syncope of n in *rajanbhis and *rajanbhyas (VIII 2 7) these forms conform to the rules VII 1 9 and 3 103 Lest *rajais and *rajabhyas

¹⁾ By auslaut, here and further on is understood the end of a pada word or s em pada

should arise, VIII 2 7 is made asiddha (by VIII 2 1) in regard to the said sibtras. 2. Similarly the first n of *pañcannām, although being elided by VIII 2 7, is regarded as being still in existence with reference to VI 4 7, so that a upadhā is lengthened by this sitra 3. Praçān (VIII 2 64) retains n and so it had to be made asiddha in regard to VIII 2 7.

Now, when on account of its relation to one or more sutras contained in I—VIII 1, a sutra had to be neutralized, it had to be put after VIII 2 1, 1 e. in the Tripādi. This is, further, the place of any sutra that required asiddhat.a in regard to a Tripādi sutra that was itself already asiddha

According to what has been said above under B 1 and 2, the place of the sutra in the scheme (under 2) is given beforehand. As for the rest, the place of the sutras in their groups would remain rather arbitrary, and as to the order it would suffice if every sutra were placed after the (Tripadi-) sutra in regard to which it is attadha

Obs 5. — No more would IV 12 require a particular mutual arrangement of the sutras whether para be taken in its literal meaning or in the sense of ista (p. 39, footnote 6), since, as far as the technical order of the sitras is concerned, the sequence of the sitras in either case continues to be based on VIII 2 I (see p. 56, Obs I) (phrvaupraticula)

Hence Pānini was enabled to bring together within the main groups (see above under 2) those sutras which materially belong together

Examples — Thus a group is formed. (in I) e g by VIII z 23 and 24 (simplification of final consonant groups), (in II C) by VIII 446—52 (gemination of consonants), etc

Like the single processes, according to which Pānini's system of forms develops (5 3), the groups to which similar processes combine, also get their own characteristic appearance. The division into thematical groups, thus, becomes the outstanding feature of the Tripādi. It is the following groups that develop 1)

f) The demarction of the groups remains naturally somewhat arbitrary. The groups themselves have been termed according to different criteria here (nature of the sthams, the adaps, the process itself, etc.), pranapsha sitras or groups are marked with an anteriak, in group I the numbers of groups which do not or not exclusively refer to the autaliar, are parenthesians.

Formation-group I (The abstract pada)

	rormation-group I (I he abstri	uct paca)	
(1)	Accent of coalescing vowels	VIII 2 4-6	
2	Syncope of n at the end of a pada	7, 8, 16, 17	
(3)	M (of matup) $> \tau$	9-16	
(4)	Alternation r-l (r l)	18-22	
5	Simplification of final consonant-groups	23 24, 29	
(6)	Syncope of medial s	25-29	
(7)	Development of palatals and h	29-36 (37-	
		41,62,63,67)	
(8)	Media at the beginning of a root sylla-		
	ble > media aspirata	37.38	
9	Sonantizing and deaspiration of jhal con-		
	sonants at the end of a fada	39	
(10)	Metaleptical assimilation of voice	40	
(11)	S and dh , before $s > k$	41	
(12)	Alternation ta na in participles and par-		
	ticiple-like formations	4261	
13	Final consonant before krin > guttural	62	
	•Final consonant of V na $c > k$ or t	63	
	*M final in a root > n	64 65	
14	S(sometimes other consonant) at the end		
	of a fada>ru (r, d)	66-75	
(15)	Lengthening of s and se	7679	
	Vowel- and consonant-change in adas	80, 81	
(17)	Pluta lengthening and accentuation of		
	vowels .	82-107	
Formation-group II (Samdhi)			
	Position group A (Auslaut)		
1,	I(u) of according to VIII 2 107 prolated		
	e(0) > y(v)	108	

14	S(sometimes other consonant) at the end	
	of a $fada > ru(r, d)$	66-75
(15)	Lengthening of s and se	76-79
(16)	Vowel- and consonant-change in adas	80, 81
(17)	Pluta lengthening and accentuation of	
• • •	von els ,	82-107
	Formation-group II (Samdhi)	
	Position group A (Auslaut)	
1,	I(u) of according to VIII 2 107 prolated	
	e(0) > y(v)	108
2	Nasal at the end of a pada > ru	1-12
	*Syncope of dh before dh	13
3	Changes of ru and r	14-22
4	Changes of or after final nasals	2332
	Initial s after $d > dhs$	29
	*Nipala un after may consonant before	
	vowel > v	33
5	Development of h	3454

5 A vnrta > a samvrta

Position group B (Inlant)

1	Cerebralization of s	VIII 355-119
	*Idem of dh	78, 79
2	Idem of n	4139
	Position group C (Samdhi in gen	eral)
1 a	Assimilation (of sthana)	40-44
1b	Idem (media > homogeneous nasal)	45
2	Gemination	46 -52
10	Assimilation (of bahya prayatna)	53-55
	*Devoicing of final consonants in pausa	56
	*Nasalization of final vowels in pausa	57
1d	Assimilation (of sthana and abhyantara	
	prayatna)	58-62
	Idem (of abhyantara prayatna)	63
3	Syncope of consonants before homogeneous	-
	consonants	64, 65
4	Svaritating of anudatta vowels after udatta	66, 67

Obs 6 — According to the place where the speech sounds are produced the Hindu grammarians distinguished gutturals, palatals etc. According to the manner of articulation in the cavity of the mouth (abhyantara prayana) they distinguished mutes (including the nasals) liquids, spirants virla-vowels (pronounced with expansion of the throat) and o (a samurta, pronounced with contraction of the throat), according to the articulation in the pharynx and larynx (bahya prayanan) voiced and voiceless, accented and unaccented aspirated and non aspirated, etc. speech

68

Although from a modern standpoint one is inclined to oppose the accent stiras as one group to all others, and modern philology shows that particularly in an earlier period the accent played an all important part in Indian language, differences in tone are, as appears from the above, regarded in exactly the same way as \(\xi \) generated the difference between \(\xi \) and \(\xi \) Hence a distinction \(\xi \) acceptant and like others must not be made in an analysis of the \(Astadhy \) oyi and has, therefore, not been made use of in the above (In \$5 \(2 \) and 4 it has only served to facilitate a provisional symposis)

Obs. 8. — With regard to some phonetical terms about the use of which grammarians disagree, the following will be settled here. Under mutes or explosives, only mediae and tenues (whether aspirated or not) will be comprised, the nazis (m, n, n, n, n) not included, under semi-vowels, according to Indian custom, y, r, l and v. Liquids are l and r, spirants the sibilants and h For the rest pratyāharas will be employed as much as possible

The mutual relation of the groups as attended with their proper development, their contents and final shape will be described in chapter VI. It will be shown there to what extent Panini was enabled to appropriate his mnemotechnics to a treatment of the subject-matter, so as to keep the ariddhatva-principle continually in concord with the logical one.

The possibility of bringing these two principles into line with one another will be pointed out in Chapter V A discussion of the notion asiddhatua and of the means of recognizing it, will be taken up first now (Chap IV)

CHAPTER IV. THE ASIDDHATVA PRINCIPLE

I THE NOTION ASIDDHATVA

\$ 10

A. PRIMARY ASIDDHATVA

A sutra is assidda in regard to another if, with respect to this latter, it is conceived of as not to exist Consequently it adds to (excludes from) this sutra all such cases as would not (would) in a certain stage of development or finally conform to it, if it were to be read together with the sutra that is asiddha, i.e. if this had preceded.

Examples. — I. In the development *aksimat (VI I 197, suttix ksi, regarded as nit, OnS. III 156) > aksanmat (VII I 76, VI I 158) > aksanmat (VI I 176) > aksanmat (VII I 176) > aksanvat (VII 1 176) > aksanvat (16) > aksanvat (VI I 176) is based on actually and tacitly abolished anddhatta of VIII 2 9 and 19 in regard to VII I 176. In all the other transitions the development is merely continued 2. The first change in *aksanah > taksmah (VI

4 134) > taksnah (VIII 4 1) 18 due to the asiddhatva of VIII 4 2 in regard to VI 4 134, whereas the second change is a simple continuation

I. The forms to which a sutra normally applies are such as arise according to sutras which are stddha.

Examples. — I The s of the nom. sing. *devis, constructed according to IV I 2 (stddha) becomes > zero by VI I 68. 2. The n of r d j d n s, which comes at the end by VI I 68 (stddha), is syncopated by VIII 2 7. 3 The \bar{u} being final now, becomes nasalized on the condition stated in VIII 4 57.

II. In a equally normal way those forms which in a former stage of development would fall under a rule, are not affected by it, if in virtue of sūtras which are siddha, they undergo such changes as render them unable to meet all the requirements any longer.

Examples—1. Aduksa+ātām does not become>*1.9 VII 2 81 because α becomes>zero by VII 3 72.2.
The u of vusphurya does not change into π by VIII 2 77, because it has become > o by VII 3 86. 3 ManaRu (VIII 2 66) becomes>manaksu by VIII 3 15, subsequently the application of VIII 3 50 (s>\$) is excluded.

First of all, therefore, the number of forms which fall under a rule depends on the relation to sutras which are siddha. By such sutras cases are added (created) as well as excluded.

The opportunities for application are, however, likewise in a twofold manner, determined by sutras which are asiddha.

I (III). Besides to cases which are afforded by sutras that are stddha, a sutra is also applied to such cases as it would not apply to, if certain sutras which are anddha, were stddha. By the astddhata of the one sutra, therefore, cases are added to the other, which is stddha.

Examples 1. Just as nom. pl. "rājanas becomes" "rājānas by VI $_4$ $_8$, nom sing. "rājān becomes" "rājān by the same sūtra because VIII 2 $_7$, according to which the n is syncopated, is anddha in regard to VI $_4$ $_8$ $_2$. Likewise "laijia becomes" | laijia | lagra| | lagra| | VIII 2 $_8$ $_8$ | Caroring to VIII 2 $_8$ $_9$, because VIII 2 $_8$, according to which 1 (of $_8$) $_8$, is anddha in regard to VIII 2 $_8$ 0.

II (IV) Finally no more than to cases excluded by sutras which are stiddha does a sutra apply to such cases as it would only apply to, if certain sutras which are astiddha, were stiddha By the astiddhatva of the one sutra, therefore, cases are excluded from the other, which is stiddha

Examples — I Rajabhis does not become > rajais by VIII 29 as a although existing from the beginning (suffix kann, Un5) 1 157) only becomes final by VIII 27, which stirts is asiddha in regard to VIII 19 2 Pratan does not become > prata, as the n, although standing at the end of a pratipadika pada (VI 1 67, etc., siddha) originates (from m) by VIII 2 64, which sutra is asiddha in regard to VIII 2 7

In a $(2 \times 2 =)$ fourfold manner, therefore, the number of forms a sutra applies to, is determined

B SECONDARY ASIDDHATVA

Conversely, lest a stira should apply to too many or too few cases, the following conditions are to be fulfilled Obviously the right tracing of the cases that are to conform to a rule, is secured only if, whether by stiras which are siddha (I, II) or by such as are asiddha (III, IV) those substitutions which are desired or even not desired are secured or prevented respectively

Obs 1 — Thus — see example t under l — with regard to the construction *devis > devi IV t 2 had necessarily to be sidhha in regard to VI t 68 So mut mut with reference to the other instances under t—IV, if started from the resulting form

Cases I and II, in which it depends on sutras which are siddha whether or not a sutra takes effect require further investigation

Now, apart from VI 4 22 and partly from VI 1 86 a sutra is always siddha in regard to another, if it occurs in I—VIII 1

If, however, a sutra a which, in accordance with the above statements (I, II) must be siddha in regard to a sutra b, has for some reason or other $(III\ IV)$ been placed under VIII a it must, on account of the said adhikara take precedence of b, is b must follow on a, likewise takes its place in the TripadI, and so automatically (in consequence of VIII a I) becomes aniddha in regard to a

This kind of asiddhatia which is due to the fact that a sutra

that had to be siddha, is itself anidha (in regard to other sutras) can be distinguished as secondary asiddhara (asiddhatia (2)) from the primary asiddhata (asiddhatva (1)) treated of above

Obs 2 — That a sutra possessing asiddhatia (2) is indirectly primarily asiddha (asiddha (1)) with respect to sutras in regard to which the other sutra is (primarily asiddha (asiddha (1)) goes without saying

Besides two kinds of primary asiddhatva (see III, IV above) there are therefore, as many kinds of secondary asiddhatva. So there are altogether $2 \times 2 =$ four forms of asiddhatva

Examples of secondary auddhatta (For primary auddhatta see above III, IV) I^* I Sutra VIII 3 17 had to follow on VIII 2 66 (which itself is placed in the Tripad on account of its relation to eg VII I 9 (bhisch) and thus became secondarily auddha as in the case of inversion of both sutras (by which VIII 2 66 would automatically become auddha with regard to VIII 3 17) none of the rus of 66 would become g etc. 2 VIII g 76 had to follow on 66 in order that the long g of g 10 had to follow on 66 in order that the long g 10 g 11 had to follow on 65 in order that g 11 had g 12 had to follow on 65 in order that g 12 had to follow on 65 in order that g 13 had to follow on 65 in order that g 16 had to follow on 65 in order that g 17 had g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that g 18 had to follow on 65 in order that

II' 1 VIII 3 24 had to follow on VIII 2 7 and thus became assidha (by VIII 2 1) lest rajanblus should become > rajamblus 2 VIII 4 40 had to follow and thus, became astadla in regard to VIII 2 30 (c>k) lest tac+ ti should become 3 care (by VIII 2)

become > taccs (by VIII 4 40)
Obs 3 — Two more kinds of asiddhatia (2) which
would correspond to cases III and IV (above under A)
cannot exist as in both cases the subsequent sutra has in
any case to be placed in the Tripadi (whether the preceding one belongs to it or not)

II THE ASIDDHATVA AS A PRINCIPLE

¢ 11

A THE ASIDDHATIA A METHODICAL PRINCIPLE

The above (§ 3) indicated simplifications consist partly in the levelling of forms which more or less show points of resemblance in development. Especially such processes as are not connected with the phonical value of the forms whole elements therefore, could coveniently be combined in plain, general sutras. Also

however, in the case of substitutions of and for single speechsounds, uniforming could lead to simplification and shortening

Examples -t. Thus IV t 2 provisionally applies to any class of declension, subsequently it is "corrected" by VII 2 9 and the like 2 So also with the general conjugation paradigm III 4 78 3 In heteroclitic declension one stem is provisionally generalized, e g in aksi the one with suffix ksi (lmS III 156, V a) in which subsequently z is replaced by an in certain cases (VII t 75) 4 Vana-, which has been introduced as an a-stem, likewise gets n in the strong cases by VII t 7 2, 4 n so on

The type jhi (a general indication which has been arbitrarily chosen, and which is afterwards replaced throughout by exclusively new forms) is not very frequent (See VII i 1 seqq 350 etc.) As a rule one of the combined forms itself is employed as a norm which is, later on, partly replaced by the forms required

In all these cases the stencilled forms are intended to be combined with reference to as many rules as possible

In the first case (substitution of elements) the grammatical technical peculiarities which have been ascribed to the common sthänm, are sthemwatterna transferred to the substitutions (comp 5 6 A 2) In the case of ekadeça substitutions one may assume that all the qualities that have been ascribed to the sthamm (as a function) before, are retained by the partially changed forms

Thus equal qualities of unequal forms could be accounted for by the same sūtras

Examples — The different terminations instr pl (δhis , δhir , etc., ais, air etc.) figure provisionally as δhis in I i 62, 63, i 47, II i 71, 82, III i 4 etc. the noun stem akit counts in i 1 63, i 417, II i 71 etc., mat in IV 2 85 seqq. V 2 94 seq etc. Had Panini from the beginning specialized all cases, had he i g given bhis, bhir etc. as the terminations instr i, he would have been obliged to to describe all particular cases in which these forms occur, which, in consequence of the samdhi phenomena, would have been highly cumbrous

As soon, however, as further combining is no longer helpful or would even involve such consequences as are not desired, restitution takes place Since there has been only one form before, this restitution may be accomplished by simple formulas Usually as many forms as possible are again combined in one of the particular forms, to this form, whether or not first changed again by common processes, the same treatment is applied, and so on, until finally all particular forms will reappear. Whenever occasion arises or may be created, a combination of norms of different progressions takes place.

Examples. — 1. For the 1 of $ak\mu$ an is substituted to $ak\mu$, from which the new norm $ak\mu$ n separates itself. While $ak\mu$ n may still become $ak\mu$ in (77), $ak\mu$ in (pl. $ak\mu$ n) severs itself from $ak\mu$ n (by VI 4 3). To $ak\mu$ n (arising already in the first instance) first VI 4 134 and 1 161 apply, while $ak\mu$ n' separates itself. 2. The a-stems partly (in the neuter) become an-stems by VII 1 7 22. Together with the one of other n-stems — 1.6. $ak\mu$ n, see first example — the vowel is lengthened by VI 4 8. 3. According to VII 1 9 the provisionally general suffix instr. pl. $bh\nu$ 1 is replaced by $a\nu$ 1 in thematical declension. In combination with other forms $(dn + a) a\nu$ 2 subsequently conforms to VI 1 88. And so on.

In this sense Panini's method may be called a developing one (comp. § 3 A).

In consequence of the samplin-phenomena, which are chiefly dealt with in the Tripadi, it hardly occurs that a form is entirely constructed in I—VIII 1. As a rule the first ramifying process and partly also the further processes are accomplished in I—VIII 1. This holds good for substitutions of whole elements and also for such skladga-substitutions as hardly give rise to an order of transitions which would disturb a normal development.

Examples — t. Ass (in deta + ass, see above) after having taken over the function of bhis, is in internal sainth affected by VI 1.83 again (detail) 2. Altı > Altını (VII 1.75) and afterwards ak_1an as a bha-stem conforms to VI \downarrow 134 (> ak_1n), or becomes > ak_1n (VII 1.73) which VI \downarrow 8 is applicable again in certain forms (VII 1.73).

As far as a development is brought about in I—VIII 1, it is left to the reader, who is led by his acquaintance with the result that is to be arrived at, to decide in which order the substitutions shall take place. It is also left to him to determine which processes shall not intervene in this preliminary development.

Example — Thus he decides that to the instr sing. *asthia (p 52) VI 1 77 shall not be applied first — by which *asthyā would arise, with which form the development would end, as VII 1 75 would cease to be applicable — but directly VII 1 75, which concerns the substitutions of 1 in the very form asthi (not asthy-)

Now in the case of $ek\bar{a}dega$ substitution it may frequently occur that a particular order of ramification, combination, again ramification etc is not quite plausible. Had all those substitutions been placed in 1-VIII z, t e had it been left to the reader to determine the order of substitutions in all such cases, he would have been rather inclined either to frustrate desired processes or to occasion undesired ones by ramifying or combining either too early or too late, etc

Examples - 1. According to VII 1 q ats is substituted for bliss in a simple way (both with s) Afterwards both forms undergo several other (accidentally almost similar) changes In combination with other -s formations blus becomes > bhtR (VIII 2 66) Along with other R formations this blirk is retained or becomes > blir (VIII 3 14, VI 3 111), so, further, bhih, bhis, bhih, bhih, bhis Similarly ais becomes > atR, which remains or > at, ath etc Were VIII 2 66 to take effect before blus > as (by VII I q) so that bhis would become > bhiR first, to (bhiR > bhih (VIII ? 15) >)-a-bhis (VIII 7 34 and further on) VII 1 o would. no doubt, apply, but only in such cases as are described in VIII 3 34 etc (unless VII 1 9 were formulated as follows bhis > ais, bhiR > air, bhih > aih etc, all which cases would, moreover, have to be defined) 2 Were VIII 4 2 to be applied first (before VI 4 134) to alsan-(VII 1 75), VI 4 134 would serve no purpose with regard to aksan- 3 The same would be the case, with regard to the gen pl, if first *akstam should become > *aksinām (by VII 1 54) instead of akşan-am (75)

In order to prevent this, and to secure the possibility of making use of combinations also in these cases Pānini introduces the notion asiddhatia, by which the disturbing processes referred to above, are neutralized.

Obs 1 — As therefore, the transition bhis > bhiR etc. was neutralized, VII 19 could simply run [Ato] bhisa ais, all common sutras retain their value with reference to

both forms, while subsequently all further substitutions that are desired are, nevertheless, carried out successively $(bins > R_1 - h$, etc., $ais > -R_1 - h$, etc.) Owing to the fact that VIII 4 2 is ainddha in regard to VII 4 131, the syncope of a in the bha-stem aksan (by VI 4 131) is secured (Afterwards cerebralization of n takes place according to VIII 4 1) In the same way the differentiation -mat--vat- had to be kept for the $Trip\overline{a}di$ so that all mat-sutras in 1-VIII t also hold good for vat formations, which would not be the case, if VIII 2 9 seqq were applied first Etc

Obs 2 — There exists also a mutual neutralization, according to which either of two processes is considered to take place before the other (p 58, Obs. 3). In this way $V \in as + ht$ may become $\epsilon adht$ (VI ϵ 35, 101), because according to VI ϵ 22 the substitution of 35 (syncope of ϵ before ϵht) (put before 36, with a view to the anworit of ϵ han ϵ) is asiddha in regard to that of 101 (ϵ after consonant ϵ dhi) and the latter itself is, in its turn, again a siddha in regard to the former

By neutralizing the processes referred to above, Panini secures a desired, and as the case may be, common, preliminary development also in the said cases In the gradual development of forms the asiddhafta, thus, becomes an important means of rendering the forms appropriate for being combined, or longer combined than the sthamast sitting alone would admit of

Obs 3 — Combination of a process that is to be neuralized, with other processes (ϵ_S' in VIII z 66, according to which sitra not only bits becomes > bhiR, but any final s > R) presupposes that these other processes share this quality. That this will, indeed, as a rule be the case, follows from the circumstance that, also in Panini's development, each stage represents an intermediate dialect which is, so to say, characterized by its own phonology. Dett. becomes > dett in 1-VIII I (VI 168) before rhotacism shows itself in a later stage (VIII z 60). Were it otherwise, I. should Panini have been obliged to bring the neutralization of certain processes in certain progressions into line with developments in other progressions which would obey other laws, he would have been incapable of performing his task.

Obs 4 — As neutralizing serves a purpose with regard to el Ideça substitutions only, substitutions of elements as

such do not occur in the $Trip\bar{a}di$ It is not the particle, but the speech sound u (which happens to be identical with it) that becomes >v by VIII J 33 — This is well in accordance with the circumstance that, with regard to the elements, the words are already complete in 1-VIII I (p. 55)

Obs 5 — It might be maintained that, with a view to transitions such as bhavat > bhavāt- (by VI 4 14), sitra VII 1 70 (infixing of ni should have been neutralized in regard to VI 4 14 Against this it may be alleged that, if VII 1 70 had been put in the Tripādi, other difficulties would have arisen with reference to other forms (rryānisa, VI 4 10!) In such cases the reader himself is to decide which substitution is meant (cf. also *aksiam, 3rd example p 69)

In the theoretical development in Panini's system, the processes which have been put in the Tripadi, are, as it were, "of later date" than those in regard to which they are saiddue (Obs. 3). Also in such an artificial genesis, however, the different "periods' show different tendencies. It is in consequence of this circumstance that a form which, after having arisen according to a sutra of the Tripādi, — possibly after having been changed again by subsequent sutras — meets again the conditions of a law of an earlier stage, whether or not in the same progression, does, nevertheless, not obey that law.

Example — In I—VIII r (VI r 87 seqq) a vowel is as a rule, contracted with a following one, both in in and external samdhi. In the latter case the rule does not hold good for two vowels the first of which comes to stand at the end of a pada by VIII 3 19 (ka āste (> *kay āste), not *kāste).

Obs 6—It may, however, occur that, as in historical development 1), a former tendency repeats itself also in Panino 1's theoretical system. Thus the rhange of 1 and 11 to semi-vowels by VIII 2 108 does not involve, it is true, yan accent (4) but the change itself entirely corresponds to the "older" process V 1 77

Obs 7 — Peculiar relations are such as between the "older" process VI r 68 (apocope of s after consonant in certain cases) and the "later", more general process VIII 223,

¹⁾ Cf eg Boer, Oudnoorsch Handboek, \$ 83 seq and \$ 88

the former of which had, nevertheless, to be mentioned before, because in the meantime the n of *rajan has been dropped according to a process which does not reoccur after VIII 2 23 has taken effect (bhavan!)

Obs 8 — In a few cases a process in I—VIII r had to be neutralized in regard to a Tripadi process, r e a process which was itself already asiddha in regard to one or more processes of I—VIII r (Ko'stad, cf p 26 and p 57, Obs 3)

Obs 9 — From the nature of the case it is out of the question that in cases in which he applies the an adhativa principle, Pānini should have had in mind a historical development. One may presume intuition, as far as forms are concerned which, in Pānini's time, were not yet so divergent as not to admit of being conceived as etymologically equal. It is, at any rate, in these very cases that Pānini's often arrives at a striking conformity or discrepency, which is the same. In rajan, for instance, he generalizes the n, so that the lengthening of a, which cannot be disconnected from its having formerly been followed by n, is rightly effected by VI 4 8, as VIII 2 7 has been neutralized. On account of the same astadhatia rajabhis (conforms to IV 1 z, bud) is rightly not affected by VII 1 of See further observation on p. 14.

Chance sometimes favoured Panini

Example - Thus puskika could get i, because with regard to VII 3 44 (46) the form was still *quitakā

on the other hand conflicts would not seldom confront him

Example — Thus $e_{\mathcal{G}}$ in cases of analogy In consequence for instance, of the fact that n had been generalized in panean, and VIII z γ had been neutralized (on account of other relations) the gen p1, which borrows the long a from the a stems, did not conform to VI \neq 3, this lengthlening had, therefore to be accounted for by a separate strict (VI \neq 3).

The asiddhara principle serves the reader in that it assists him in determining the order of the substitutions

Above all things, however, it is used for the sake of brevity.

Obs to — Thus — summarizing once more — to bt If s need not be added "ats ats ats ats, ats ats, ats ats, ats ats, ats ats, ats

according to VIII 27", nor to VI 48 "likewise when n is dropped at the end of a stem pada according to VIII 27", nor to VI 158 "except when two accents are required by VIII 24 seqq", etc And so on In the case of mutual siddhat.a all these additions might have seemed desirable

B EXISTENCE OF ASIDDHATVA

It is imperative that the above (§ 10) mentioned requirements as to the mutual relation of two sutras one of which is asiddha in regard to the other, should be met

So the motive for neutralizing a sutra may, as has been shown, be twofold it may require either primary or secondary asiddhatua. Now the asiddhatu of a sutra is manifest from the fact that certain cases for application are either added to or excluded from 1) one or more sutras which precede, whether or not in the Tripādi (in the case of aniddhatua (ii)).

2) the neutralized sūtra itself, if cases are added or excluded by one or more preceding Tripadi sūtras (in the case of asiddhatia (a) From this it, conversely, follows that wherever can be shown that such has been effected, the asiddhatia of the sub sequent sūtra will have been proved, i e the necessity of its being placed in the Tripadi (As (e)) or further back in the Tripādi than another Tripadi sūtra (As (e)) will have been explained For examining this it will suffice that only the phonical value of the sounds in question be considered, for from what has been pointed out above (s 10) it will be clear that the adega a which replaces the sthāmin s² according to the second sūtra (the one which is aniddha) must have the value s² in regard to the first sūtra. See examoles § s. C.

Another means of proving the existence of asiddhatvarelation exists inversion of the two stitras in question If, in consequence of such an inversion wrong developments are brought about, the asiddhatva of the subsequent stitra will be ascertained (Inversion of two stitras both belonging to I—VIII 1 does not influence the result of a development, see § 8 A)

In the following the asiddhatva of some sutras, chosen at random so as to evade hitting upon the most fortunate, will be tested by applying it to an example or two From each of the groups distinguished in § 9 B one sutra will, as a rule, be taken From the nature of the case — as the Tripādī chiefly treats of consonants, whereas such processes or such as are conditioned by the existence of particular consonants hardly occur in I—VIII I—, primary asiddhatta will not always exist. In such cases, and also where secondary asiddhatva is conspicuous, the existence of this secondary asiddhatva will be stated.

Obs 11. — Although a discussion about the asiddhat: a of the stirras, whereby in the case of each stirra the question would have to be posed whether all cases are accounted for by this asiddhatua, and whether this has nowhere been wrongly awarded, is beyond the scope of this analysis, yet some observations of this kind will be made here and there

1 Formation-group I (The abstract pada)

I (1) VIII 2 5 Ekādeça udāttenodāttah A vowel which originates by the coalescing of an udātta- and an anudātta-vowel, is udātta')

Examples — t (With preceding udata) $Agm(Va_S, DhP \ 1 \ 155 + m, UnS \ 1V \ 50)$ gets udata on the suffix (III t 3) Agm + au (cf III t 4) $Agm \ (VI \ t$ 102) with udata of the final syllable. 2. (with following udata) In $(kx_0) \approx 0$ $t^2 \pi h$, t as is anudata according to VIII t 21, but avah (suffix kvan, $UnS \ 1 \ 152$) is adyudata according to VI t 113 and 87 (see under $(Vas) \approx uR$ (before a) > va u > va and va + dyvah becomes va' (va' > vaR (before a) > va = va va' or va' va'

Obs 12 — According to the next sutra (VIII 2 6) the resulting vowel may also bear a rearise in certain cases in the case of abhinhita-sandhi (VI 1 109) this startia is imperative according to the praticality as (Sdhk. on VIII 2 6, Vol III, p. 59, No 3650)

Ariddhatra In sò'dhmah (< 30 adhamáh, cf. Ob. above) the o is (the final speech-sound of so as well as) the initial syllable of adhamah, so that this word gets two accents It is apparently due to the asiddhama of the present sutra in regard to VI 1 158, that neither of the two accents needs to be removed

¹⁾ Bobtlingk readers "Samt dem vorangehenden udstra Vocal" Cf., however, Macdonell, Vedic Grammar, § 103 (so, too, Berguigne and Henri) See the second example

I 2 VIII 2 7 Na-lopah pratipadikāntasya N is dropped at the end of a stem which is a pada

Examples — t Rājā (V rāj, DhP 1874) + kanın (UnS 1 157) + su (IV t 2) > rajans > rajan (VI t 68) > $r\bar{s}j\bar{s}n$ (VI t 8) (or first VI t 8 and afterwards VI t 68) > $r\bar{s}j\bar{s}n$ (VIII 2 7) t 2 Rājyatı ($r\bar{s}jan$ + kyac + thp) > $r\bar{s}janyatt$ > $r\bar{s}jaxyat$ (VIII 2 7, of I 4 15) > $r\bar{s}janyat$ > VIII 4 33) 3 Rajāyate (rajan + kyan + ttp > rajanyate > rajayate (VIII 2 7) > $r\bar{s}janyate$, VII 4 25) 4 V r trahā (han) -han (VII 4 I 3) > $r\bar{s}janyate$ > rajanyate >

Obs 14 — Exceptions such as under VIII 2 8, 16 seq (the latter not being presented as exceptions), 68 (nom, acc sing ahan > ahaR, cf VII 1 23) etc according to the sutras in question!

Obs 15 — Forms such as acc pl vrkān do not conform to VIII 2 7, because n, although being final in a pada (VI 1 103) does not stand at the end of prātīpadīka

As iddhatva exists only with reference to substitutions which bear on a declension, b accentuation, c terminology and d epenthesis according to VI 171 (VIII 22) Examples a Rajabhis with bhis in spite of VII 19 b Rajavani (suffix vat0, V117) $\bar{s}dy$ idiation in spite of VI I220 c Pañca, although it looses its n by VIII 27, is nevertheless named sas by I I24 d Vrirahabhjam (c17r17r18r19r19r10 at I19r19r10 VI I21 I210 I210 VI I31 I310 I31 I310 I

Obs 16 — VIII 27 is, therefore, siddha in the remaining cases Examples (for the 2nd and 3rd example of the same examples above) I Raja(n) + agra rajagva (VI I 101) 2 Rājiyati with 1 according to VII 4 33 3 Rājayati with

a < a according to VII 4 25 4 *Bhrūnahat chaya, *bra-huanat chattram with tak according to VI 1 73 (tth-> dch (VIII 2 39)>-jch-(4 40)>-cch-(55)) (cf MBh on VIII 2 2, Vartt 3) 5 Rajarst (VI 1 87, cf 1 1 51)

I (3) VIII 2 16 Ano nut The suffix vat after stems in an gets the augment n in Vedic

Examples — 1 Astha nvat (*asthimat > asthanmat (VII 1 76) > asthamat (VIII 2 7) > asthavat (9) > asthanvat (16)) 2 *Aksa nvat (> aksanvat, VIII 4 2)

Obs 17 — Had n in the last example not first been syncopated (by VIII 27) in which case it could not have been regarded as a prefixed augment, it would not have been capable of cerebralization (VIII 4 37!)

Asiddhatva in regard to a sutra in I—VIII s cannot exist, because the augment in question is the reoccurrence of a speech sound which has only been elided by VIII 2 7 So it is only the change of function of the n (part of the stem) augment of the suffix) that might be asiddha In the very sutra (VI s 176) in which it should show itself, it happens to be tacitly ignored (see below under C)

itsell, it happens to be tacitly ignored (see below under C) VIII 2 16 is "formally' asiddha in regard to 9, according to which sutra in "aksamat (VIII 2 7) v is substituted for m of mat (not for n of nmat) (cf. 1 1 54)

I (4) VIII 2 18 Krpo rok lak L (1) is substituted for r (r) in formations of V krp

Examples - Klpta, caklpe

Asiddhatva in regard to VII $_4$ 66 appears from the second example ar $(> a, \text{ VII }_4 \text{ 60}) < r, \text{ cf VI } 1 \text{ 8}, \text{ VII }_4 \text{ 60})$

VII 4 62)

Obs 18 — VIII 2 18 1s not asiddha with regard to sutras such as III 1 110, VII 2 60, in which lipia 1s quoted with 1, because Panini might as well have given r

I 5 VIII 2 23 Samyogantasya lopah Of a consonant group at the end of a pada only the first consonant remains

Fxamples - 1 Bhavant (< -ts VI 1 68) > bhatān, 2 Grejānss (sulfix tyasun V 3 57, VI 4 10, VII 1 70) 5 grejans (VII 1 78) 5 grejans 3 Nom sing V fa + dunsun (UnS IV 177) + sn (IV 1 2) > pumss (VI 4 143) > pumass (VII 1 89 | s | suum = as) > pumanss (VII 4 168) > fumans (VII 1 68) > pumān 4 The

2nd and 3rd p s. aor. *abhārs(t) > abhār (VI 1 63) (V bhr, cf. VIII 2 24, so also with āraik (VIII 2 30, VI 4 73)). 5 *Achānds(t) (3rd s. aor V chand) > achān.

Obs. 19.—As the antaranga-paribhāsā does on no account hold good for saitras of the Tripādi, the absence of apocope in formations such as dadhydrah, madhv(atra) cannot, as is done in the Kārka, be explained by means of this paribhāsā!) The MBh once more rejecting this paribhāsā states a special Varitika (Vārit 1: Vanah pratiseāhāh).

Although semi-vowels are treated of only in the immediately preceding sitras, one is bound to assume that such forms as the ones just quoted have been overlooked by Panin.

In view of the great esteem Panini shows for Qakalya, who is often enough payartham mentioned by him, it would a priori not be excluded that this irregularity would have to be explained by VI r 127 (cf 72) If, however, VI r 77 should be discarded in this way, certain sitiras would entirely or partly be deprived of their scope (cf sutras such as VIII 2.4, 4.47) — Sthämvattiva in the sense of I r 57, according to which the semi-vowel would have to be treated as a vowel, does not exist, because the apocope does not take place according to a birran-viah.

Asiddhatva of VIII 2 23 in regard to 7 appears from instances i-3

I (6) VIII 2 28 Ita Its S after it is elided, if it follows

Example — The 3rd sing, aor *alāvisst becomes > alāvit (cf. VI 1 toi) Development *alāst (with ssc according to III 1 44) > alaust (VII 2 t) > alāvist (VII 2 35, VI 1 78) > alāvist (VII 3 96) > alāvit

Asiddhatva of this syncope in regard to the substitution according to VII 235 is conspicuous (zero regarded as 1) The widdh according to VII 21 and the taking of the augment 11 according to VII 396 before and after siz respectively might be attributed to the sthänivativa of the suffix according to I 162. As it is, however, not the pratyaya, but the speech-sound 1 that is elided,

¹⁾ The change i>y (u>v) is based on two speech sounds of two different words, whereas the apocope of y(e) (VIII z 23) concerns the dhy (dh) of dathy (madhv), so the first substitution would be bahrashga and therefore suddha in regard to the apocope (PAI, tr, p 269, Note by Kielhorn)

asiddhatva of VIII 2 28 in regard to the sutras in question must be accepted here also

Obs 20 — VIII 2 28 is apparently siddha in regard to the contraction i+i>i by VI I 101.

I (6) (7) VIII 2 29 Skoh samyogadyor ante ca Initial s or k of a consonant group is elided if the group is followed by a thal consonant or stands at the end of a pada

Examples — 1 Babhrasy + tha (VII 262) > babhrastha (> -stha, (VIII 236) > stha (441) 2 Kütatat, kästhatat (V taks, apavada to VIII 225, c MBh. on VIII 21, Vartt 1 and 2) 3 Gorat (by the side of gorak) (< ks)

Obs 21 - For forms such as kaky artham, vasy artham comp p 37, Obs 20

Asiddhatva is proved, for instance, by the relation to VI t 68 with reference to aorists of VV in a vowel such as a prays, a pars etc. in which t has been prava a_t -praisedhena dropped (Forms like abhār (<-rst) do not belong here because s is not initial in the group, in these forms there is a twofold apocope according to VI t 68 and VIII t 24)

I (7) VIII 2 31 Ho dhah Dh 18 substituted for h before a jhalconsonant or at the end of a pada

Examples \sim 1 Loc pl lsh+su>lshsu>lshsu>lshsus <math>lshsus (statsus (VIII 2 39) which becomes > lstsu (q 55) or > lstatsus (2 29) (which is not affected by VIII 4 41 (cf 42') but becomes lstsu (q 55) > lstsus (statsus) 2, lshsus (statsus) 2, lshsus (statsus) 2, lshsus (statsus) 2, lshsus (statsus) statsus (statsus) 2, lshsus (statsus) 3, lshsus (statsus) 3, lshsus (statsus) 4, lshsus 4, lshsus (statsus) 4, lshsus 4

Assidhatva 'Gudaludhuāu without change of m to v according to VIII 2 10, in regard to which sutra the present one, therefore proves to be asiddua Development gudaluhuan > gudaludhuan (31) > gudaluduan (39) (optionally > gudaluhuan (45))

Obs 22 — The explanation of the aorist forms aujadhat and aujidhat is remarkable as an example of ingeneous — or subtle — commentators' interpretation

The root of these aorists is formed according to the DhP-sutta X 368 Pratipadikad dhāti-arthebahulamisthavae ca By the addition of this fine, which is entirely treated as the taddhita-suffix istha, VI 4 154 seqq) to the krf-formations Adda (> tah + ta, VI r 15, 108, VIII 2 31,

40, 441, 3131), VI 31111)2)) and ūdhi- (suffix kim of VII 29) the VV ūdha: and ūdhi-i arise The reduplicated aorists of these roots are composed of the following elements

1 Ūdha

 \overline{At} (VI 4 72) + abhyasa (VI 1 11) + \overline{u} dha + nic + can (III 1 43, 48) + lun.

The Kaçıka remarks (on VIII 2 1) Caniti dvir-vacane kartavve dhati adhati a stutva-dha-lobanam asiddhatvan nau ca yas ti-lopas tasya sthannad bhavad ühta4) ity etad di ir ucyate, 1, e as regards the reduplication which takes place before can, in consequence of the asiddhatva of the different substitutions which lead to the transition ht > dh(VIII 2 31, 40, 4 41, 3 13), and of the sthanwattva of the zero which is substituted for to (t e a) before no according to VI 4 155 (cf DhP X 368) (not the form \$\bar{u}dha(i)\$, but) \$\bar{u}hta(i)\$ (\$\mu\$e.hta, VI \$\mu\$ 2) is reduplicated (>\bar{u}jadha) The abhyasa 1a results according to VI 1 2, VII 4 60, 62, I 1 50, VIII 4 54 On the said asiddhair a the 1, on the sthunsvattra the a of the reduplication is based (N B The a in the last syllable of aujadhat (aujidhat) is not this a, but the one of can, it is the a of the stem which, though having been dropped according to VI 4 155, is reduplicated as a (I 1 50) The syncope of t takes place before can Sutra VII 4 93, which would give 2 (cf 79), does not come into account in consequence of this syncope The whole development (for the sake of perspicuity arranged in a somewhat changed order) becomes as follows

 \bar{a} + u_jadha (VIII z 31, and so on) + i + a + t > aujadha (VI i 90) + i + a + t > aujadh (VI i 155) + i + a + t > aujadh (VI i 4 151) = i aujadha (VI i 51) > i aujadha (VI i 51) > aujadhat

2 Ūdhı

An indhat evolves in a similar way ($< \bar{u}dh$). The changes h > dh etc are regarded as an iddha and, thus, the original form in hit (not dhi) is reduplicated, which yields ji as the abhyāsa. The vowel of the stem being i, which regularly reduplicates as i according to i1 59, the second i1 moreover having been syncopated as i1 the case of an inthe case of an inthe case of the control of the stem being i2 of an inthe case of an inthe case of the second i3 of the second i4 of the second i5 of the second i6 of the second i6 of the second i7 of the second i8 of the s

¹⁾ See under C 2) D, not 2) σ according to VI J 112! 3) Cf K3ς on VI J 112! A carnatyci kind D ddhA and MBA thid, Vol III, p 175, L 17 Athavarna grahanam kin-arikam? Ha na bhui Whhh) 6 Hills is misprint

Note. Besides VI 4 155 suras VI 4 48 and 51 may be quoted for the syncope of the first a and the 1 in augadhat en augudhat respectively. (Nic is ārdhadhātukā and the 1-roots in question are formed of stems)

I (8) VIII 2 37 Ekāco baţo bhas Jhas-antasya s-dhvoh. For a media (except j) at the beginning of a root-syllable, the corresponding media aspirata is substituted, provided the following vowel is followed by a media aspirata which stands at the end of a pada or which is followed by a suffix beginning with s or dhv.

Obs 23 - Böhtlingk's rendering ("Im Anlaut einer einsilbigen Wurzel etc") may be objected to, with regard to formations such as gardhab(-p) (denom. gardabhayati 1)) Examples - 1 Nom sing *-dugh (VI 1 68, VIII 2 32) > -dhugh (> -dhug or -dhuk, VIII 2 39, 4 56) 2 The 3rd sing desid. pighrksati is derived from the V grahsa (III 1 7, without 1, VII 2 12) > grhsa (VI 1 16, 108, san is kit, I 2 8), the reduplication evolves according to VI 1 1, VII 4 60, 62, 66 (60), 79 (> jigrhsa- > jigrdhsa-, VIII 2 31), the form pardhsa- becomes > nghrdhsa- according to the present sutra (>-ks (VIII 2 41) >-ks-(3 59)) Assiddhatva Secondary asiddhatva appears from the relation to e g. VIII 2 31, which sutra is continued i a. by 37 In regard to 32 there exists is at the same time primary asiddhatia, because with reference to this sutra any dh arising according to 37, is regarded as d (32. aduhdhvam > adughdhvam) (> adhughdhvam (37) > adhugdhram (VIII 4 53))

I 9 VIII 2 39 Jhalām jaço 'nte. A jhal-consonant at the end of a pada is replaced by the corresponding media.

Examples. — I (Luh + kvip + su >) Indhsu (31) > Indis (33) (> lusus, VIII 4 55 or > Institu VIII 3 29, 4 55) 2 (Nom sing vip + kvip + su >)vis (VI 1 67, 68, VIII 2 36) > vid (39, vit VIII 4 56) So 3 *vichin > vishin (VIII 4 36) > vidid (39) > vid (39) > vidid (39) > vidsu (40) + vishin > vissin (VII 1 26) > vidid (40) > vidid (40) + vidid (40) > vidid (40) + vidid (40) > vidid (40) + vidid (40) > vidid (4

¹⁾ SIAK on VIII x 37, Vol. I, p 187

Asiddhatva is shown by the relation to 37, according to which stitra godugh (not godug) > godhugh (> godhug, godhuk, VIII 2 39, 4 56). It is, however, mainly the secondary asiddhatia which manifests itself with reference to all the auslaut-processes of the seventh group (*-pak (30) > -pag, *-vādh (31) > -vād) (etc VIII 4 56)

I (10) VIII 2 40 Thasas tathor dho'dhah Dh is substituted for t and th at the beginning of a suffix, if a media aspirata precedes, except after (dh of) dadh (V dhā)

Examples — 1. Adugdha (-ht->-ght-(32)> ghdh-(40) (>-gdh- (VIII 4 53)) 2. Adugdhās (with dh < th) 3 Buddhi(dh+t)

Obs 24 - In order to derive ragdha from V ad, Panini gives the whole form tagdh as a substitute for ad (II 4 36) Afterwards 1agdh + ta becomes > 1agdhdha > 1agdha, VIII 4 53, 65

Asiddhatva The development of some of the forms which pass through the present process, is at variance with the literal conception of VIII 2 1. For, when lih + ta > lidhta (VIII 2 31) > hdhdha (40) > hdhdha (4 41) > hdha (VIII 3 13') > lidha (VI 3 111'), processes in regard to which the previous ones are asiddha are twice resorted to. See on this subject below under C

Actual asiddhatva appears from the relation to VII 2 48 (vibhāsa), with reference to which sūtra forms such as lobdha are apparently treated as formations with a suffix beginning with t(*lobhta) Secondary asiddhatva is frequent (e g in the first two examples in regard to VIII 2 32)

I (11) VIII 2 41 Sadhoh kah st K is substituted for s and dh before s.

> Examples - 1 V vis + syati > veksyati (> veksyati, VIII 3 59, also = future of V vic, VIII 2 36) 2. Leh + s1 > ledhs1 (VIII 2 31) > leks1 (41) (> leks1 VIII 3 59)

> Asiddhatva With regard to the construction of forms such as loc pl. lidh + su (< lihsu, VIII 2 31) sūtra 41 is ourva-moratisedhena superseded by 30 Consequently -dis-> ds- 630, ts VIII 4 55 or tts, 3 20 and 4 55 twice), not *ks (41) So also with dvitsu (dvittsu) and the like At the end of a pada, therefore, a substitution according to 41 practically does not occur

Primary asiddhatva is further apparent from such forms BUISECOL, Purvetrasiddham

as jighrksati in which gh is accounted for only if k is regarded as dh with respect to VIII 2 37 (cf p 80)

I (12) VIII 2 45 Oditac ca N is substituted for t of ta in past participles of odit roots

Examples — 1 Sphürgna-1) (V sphürj + ta > gt (VIII 2 30) > gn (45) (> -gn- VIII 4 2)) 2. *Bhujta > -gt (30) > -gn (45) VV tuosphürja and bhujb, DhP

I 254 and VI 124 respectively

Assiddhatva in regard to VIII z 30 appears from both examples (t > g), in regard to 30 and 29 from participles such as magna, lagna, in which s is elided on the ground of a supposed suffix ta

Obs 25 — With reference to magna, however, VIII 2 45 must be regarded as siddha in regard to VII 1 60, otherwise num would have to be infixed according to

this sūtra

I 13 VIII 2 62 Kvin pratyayasya kuh At the end of a pada for the final speech sound of a stem in kvin the corresponding guttural is substituted

Examples — I -spr $_{\ell}$ (III $_{2}$ 58) > spr $_{k}$ $_{2}$ Dadhrs (III $_{2}$ 59) > dathr $_{3}$ Di $_{\ell}$ (III $_{2}$ 59) > di $_{k}$ $_{4}$ Pra + ac (>anc, VII $_{1}$ 70) + kvin (III $_{2}$ 59) + su > pranc (VI $_{1}$ 68) > pran (VIII $_{2}$ 23) $_{5}$ Nom sing srak (to $_{7}$ 5ra, III $_{2}$ 59)

Assiddhatva in regard to VIII 2 23 clearly shows itself in nom sing yun (In case of inversion yun would have resulted (< yunj v-s, III 2 59, VII 1 71, VI 1 67, 68))

In spate of Kaiyata, Haradatta and others?), the present stara must not be regarded as an exception to VIII 2 36 The satwa and the kutwa stara (VIII 3 36 and 62 respectively) are entirely equivalent The jatita statia (39) again is equivalent to both of them This being an established fact, farva intertistable is observed twice in the development of nom sing dig First die (+ v + s) becomes die by VIII 2 36 (cf. VI 167, 68) (in spite of VIII 2 39 and 63), afterwards die becomes > die db 19 (in spite of 62) and finally die becomes > die by 62 If the stage did (39) had not been passed through, 62 would have given die (cf. I 1 50) e e forms such as digidhibhih (employed also by Pānini, IV 354) would not be accoun-

¹⁾ Moster Williams, Grammar, § 541, of Whitney, Roots, p 198, where only playma 12 aid to be on record 2) Cf SJAK on VI 2 91, ho 430, Vol 1, p. 244

ted for, as a rule according to which a tenuis before a vowel is sonorized does not occur in Panini's system.

Solta VIII 2 63 however, is an apavada to 30 (r is a phal consonant, and the conditions on which the substitution according to 63 takes place, are still more restricted than those of 39) Strictly speaking, from pianaq only the nom sing pianat or pivanak may be constructed, and the media might occur (along with the tenus) in pausa only Maitr S I 4 13 gives pianad (abuth) which does not agree with any sutra of Pānini's, while forms with a guittural are not recorded at all

*VIII 2 64 Mo no dhatoh At the end of a pada n is substituted for m final in a root

Examples — Praçan (V çam with \bar{a} before kvip, VI 4 15), praçanbhih '')

Asiddhatva in regard to VIII 2 7 prevents syncope of n according to that sutra

I 14 VIII 2 66 Sa sayuso ruh Ru is substituted for s final in a pada and for the s of sayus

Example - Devas > detaR, açisbhis > açirbhih

Assidihativa In the only preceding status in which the adepa (ru) occurs (VI 113, 114), the assidhativa is ignored (see under C) Consequently assidhativa only manifests itself in those sūtras in which ru is still treated as ν Part of these sutras are, however, to be regarded as exceptions to VIII ν 66 (ν III ν 98 seq.) The sūtra sa actually assidhate in regard to VI ν 14 (supayak ν -as) VII ν 9 (ν 13 and 14 in the like, according to which sutras those sthaumah are changed which do not (yet) show rhotacism of ν according to VIII ν 66

In the Tripadi itself VIII 219 likewise supposes anddhatua of the present sütra nir ayanam (< nis ayanam, cf VIII 3 102 nisa) without change of r to 1) (according to the commentators 2) to be distinguished from nil ayanam < nirayanam, with original r, cf. VII 246), so also with durayanam (r < 1) beside dul ayate (l < original r)

¹⁾ Cf Monfer Williams, Grammar, § 179 and Renou, Grammaire, § 28 7) Cf SSAK on VIII 2-19 Vol. II, p. 147 — Owing to the fact, that 2 and r at the end of declinables after 1 and u are difficult to distinguish nur and dur (sandsh forms for nii and dui) are conceived as separate words. In the GP nur and dur are quoted (under group 154, Nos 8 and 10 respectively) and they are said to be lacking in the Pandit edition of the Kayka.

VIII 2 72 Vasu sramsu-dhamsu anaduham dah At the end of a pada d is substituted for s at the end of formations in vas, for s of srams and dhvams, and for the h of anaduh

Examples — 1 *Vidathhyam > vidvashhyam (VII 136) > vidvadhhyam 2 Nom sing (parna)dhvat (without num VII 170) 3 Anadui iihva (name of a plant)

Asiddhatva in regard to VI 2 117 appears from forms such as sustat, sudhvat (suffix kup, III 2 76), which, being regarded as formations in as, are antodatta according to the sütra just mentioned 1)

I (15) VIII 2 77 Halt ca I and u upadha are lengthened in roots ending in r and v followed by a consonant

Examples — I (Sifta > stirta (VII I 100) >) stirna (VIII 2 42) > stirna(77) 2 Pratidivnah (divanah > divnah (VII 4 134) > divnah) Prafirsain (F> ur (VII 1 102) &) A siddhatva In part necessitatis such as visphorya guna has been substituted according to VII 3 86 (pūrta-vibratizedha)

Obs 26 — The sthanwattva of the a vanishes according to the dirgha vidi (I 1 57, sub 7)

I (16) VIII 2 80 Adaso ser dadu do mah. In the casus of the pronomnal stem adas which do not end in s (i.e. in all casus except in the nom acc sing n) m is substituted for d, and u or u [for the vowel] after d Cf 81

Obs 27 — Aser = a sakarantasya, dad = after d_1 insertion "for the vowel" e contextu, the nom sing m and f do not come into account in virtue of VII 2 106

Examples — I Nom dual m n adas + au > ada + a+ au (VII z 102) > ada + au (VI r 97) > adau (VI r 88) > ama (VIII z 80, I r 50) z Nom dual f the stem adas becomes > ada + a > ada as in the first example, subsequently ada > ada (IV r 4), ad1 + au > ada + t (VII r 18) > ade (VI r 87) > amn

Assidhative of this sitte with regard to VII I 9 appears from the apavada sitra VII I II, with supposes that, with reference to 9, the stem is regarded as still ending in a The present sitte is also assidan in egal to VI J 91, where ambare etc are appraently still regarded as adular; etc (In the case of inversion of the sitras "amadar; would have arisen)

¹⁾ Cf SAAK on 11 a 17, tol. 111 p 175

The instr amunā, however, is accounted for only on the assumption of VIII 2 80 being siddha in regard to VIII 3 120 This siddhatva is actually stated in VIII 2 3

I (17) VIII 2 82 seqq Vakyasya teh pluta udattah The last vowel of a sentence becomes pluta (up to and including 105) and udatta (up to and including 99) in the following cases

Assiddhatva in regard to VI 1 158 (according to which sutra all accents but one are to be removed), if read with other stitras (such as VI 1 198) by which the accent would fall on another syllable than the final one, is proved by the double accent of vocatives in such cases as Am pacasi Devadatth (VIII 155)—With second accent on the prolated diphthong in the loc. yaṇāḍantāy th ?)

VIII 2 107 Eco 'pragrhyasyaduraddhütt pürvasyardhasyad ultarasyad utau IIa diphthong which has been prolated according to VIII 2 83 seqq (except 84) is apragrhya, a3 and 1 (u) are substituted for the first aud last part of it respectively

Obs 28 — The a_3 is accented according to the sutras in question, the i (u) gets $udatta^3$)

Obs 29 — According to the commentators 4), the said manner of plutation is brought about according to VIII z 83, 90, 97 and 100 only, and these stitus should, therefore, have been enumerated, all the more so as (cf Ka_{ℓ} on the stira) in that way the restriction aduraddhute would have become superfluous

Obs 30 — If, in conformity with the commentators, one renders akhyana 105 by "narration", the final vowel of nearly all the words in a sentence might be drawn out This cannot possibly be right

The above observations show sufficiently that a closer investigation as to whether the usual interpretation of the commentators be the correct one (by applying this, for instance, to the recreation of the Suma Vida's needle seem desirable Cf also Böhtlingk, KiV, p. 103 (on p. 51)

⁹⁾ The first accent of Drevolatify, (VIII v 55, aparoda to 19) is due to VI s 198 (nom Drevolatioth, VI 248) 3/ T 5 6, 6, 2, 3 see Wackernagel, Alinder Grammatik 3 259 b 3/ Xag on VIII 2 107 Ss yom a hard plaie yathar toyam uddite mudatich twertie vedicayah Idutau punar udatific esa bhavatah 9/ SAR on VIII 2 107, Vol III, p 82

Examples — Ayusmān edhi Agni-bhūtā3i (patā3u) (VIII 2 83)

Assiddhatva. See above (VIII 2 82) and comp. the following sittra. This sittra is apparently assiddha in regard to sitras VI 1 101 (no coalescing of 1 and 19) and (cf VII 2 108) 127. See further above (VIII 2 82) and comp. the following sitra. On the relation to VI 1 125 see below under C

2. Formation-group II (Samdhi)

Position group A (Auslant)

II A 1 VIII 2 108 Tayor 3 $v\bar{a}v$ ace samhttājām Y(v) 18 substituted for the 1 (n) of VIII 1 107, if a vowel follows.

Examples. — Agnāzy Indram, patāzv udakam etc. But Pada-pātha Agnāz i Indram, patāz u udakam.

Obs 31 — Y before is usually omitted in the MSS (Sampūryatā 3 iti, BAU, 6, 1, 2)

Assidhatva The assidhatva of VIII 2 107 in regard to VI 1 77 (on the strength of VIII 2 1), is actually refuted by Pānini's enouncing the present sūtra, which repeats the former process As this repetition is itself asiddha in regard to VIII 2 4, the shifting of the jaraccent according to this sūtra is prevented in Pānini's system of accentuation ')

Obs 32 — For the rest, this sutra and the next few will chiefly show secondary asiddhatan in regard to sutras of the First Formation-group, because the latter, in referring to the word per ie, in a closer alliance (VIII 1 16) prepare for the former, which bear on the word in samdhi (for which reason, for instance, VIII 2 108 had to be preceded by 107) Accordingly secondary asiddhata will mostly be indicated in the sequel, at first in regard to sutras of the First Formation-group, henceforth gradually more in regard to (preceding) sutras of the Second Formation-group

II A 2 VIII 3 1. Matu-vaso ru sambuddhan chandast, Ru 15 substituted for the final consonant of the Vedic vocatives of stems in mat and zat

¹⁾ Cf MB4 on VI 1 77, Varit 2, Vol III, p 53

Obs. 33 - The addition "in samdhi" is omitted here and henceforth

Examples — I. (Matup) Marutuants (v according to VIII 2 10, num by VII 170, preserved short a (anuvrits of asambudihau in VI 4 14 from 3)) > marutuant (VI 163) > marutuant (VII 23) > marutuant (VIII 23) > marutuant (VIII 31). 2. (Kvasu) Mathwar (ci. VI 112, V mih)

Asiddhatva (2) (cf. observation above) in regard to VIII 2 23 appears from both examples

VIII 3 9 Dirghād ati samāna-pāde In the Rgvede ru is substituted for u at the end of a pada, if a long vowel precedes and the following word of the same pāda begins with a vowel, diphthong, y, r, v or h (Nasalizing according to VIII 3 2-4)

Example. — *Mahānts (Indro ya ojasā, RV. 8, 6, 1) (ā according to VI 4 10, n by VII 1 70, suffix att, UnS. II 84, is treated as ugit) > mahānt (VI 1 68) > mahān (VIII 2 23) > mahānīk (> mahāņi, VIII 3 17, 10 1)

Obs 34 — RV 9, 107, 19 paridhimR (att) according to VIII 3 4 also -dhimR

Asiddhatva also of this sutra in regard to VIII 2 23 is conspicuous.

*VIII 3 13. Dho dhe lopah. Dh before dh is syncopated

Example. — Lth + ta > hdhta (VIII 2 31) > hdhdha (40) > hdhdha (VIII 4 41), and this (does not become > $^{\circ}$ hddha, $_{4}$ 53, but) > hdha (VIII 3 13) (> hdha, VI 3 111)

Asiadhatva. Examples of primary auddhatva cannot be given, because the second dh is only constructed later on (VIII 4 41). Asiadhatva(2) in regard to VIII 2 31 (hadi) appears from the above example. For the lengthening of the vowel see under C.

Obs 35.—VIII 3 13 cannot be an apavāda to the jastvasura (VIII 2 39), as cvaldh dhaukate (2 31) yields -d/dh (according to VIII 2 39). Therefore VIII 3 13 cannot refer to the end of a pada II, further, VIII 2 40, 4 41 were not supposed to be siddhn, VIII 3 13 wood have no scope at all (S-tutvayatra siddhatvam āṣrayād drastavyam, Kāṣ on VIII 3 13).

¹⁾ Nearly always in the RV text (Oldenberg, Prolegomena, p 428, on the relation of G Kalya to the RV of ibid, p 490 seqq)

II A 3 VIII 3 14 Ro rs R before r is syncopated

Examples — 1 AgniR rathah > Agni rathah (> Agni rathah, VI 3 III) 2 Punar raktah > puna raktah (> puna raktah)

A stddhatva(2) in regard to VIII 2 66 is supposed by the first example For the stddhatva in regard to VI 3 111, see the preceding stata

II A 4 VIII 3 23 Mo 'nusvarah At the end of a pada m 15 substituted for m before a consonant

Example - Vanam hasatı

Asiddhatva It is clear that the m of pracamhad to be changed to n (VIII 2 64, First Formation group) lest it should be changed to m by VIII 3 23 (pārva vi pratisēdia)

*VIII 3 29 Dah si dhut After a pada in d initial s may take the augment dh

Examples — 1. (Ntf + su> nussu (VIII 2 36) >) nutuu gether becomes > -ts- (VIII 4 55) or > -d]dhis (VIII 3 29) (either > t'ts (VIII 4 55) (vintona cerebraization of t and s, VIII 4 42) or > -dd]dhs (VIII 4 47) (> tt|ts-(VIII 4 55)) z So also coulut saye (VIII 2 31, 39) either > toulut taye or pulnt taye

Obs 36 — If this dh were not tit, but kit (cf 1 1 46), initisu and evalute says would have arisen (VIII 4 41, 55) (or tit|s- in either case (4 47, cf 65)

Asiddhatva (2) in regard to VIII 2 39 appears from both examples

*VIII 3 33 Maya uño vo vã After a word which ends in a consonant (except semi vowel, sibilant, h or n) v may be substituted for the particle u, provided a vowel follows

Obs 37 — At the end sibilants and h no longer occur at this stage (cf VIII 2 36, 39, 66 and 31 seqq)

Example - Kim u uktam (remains, as u is pragrhya, I r 14, or) > kim v uktam

Assiddhatva That m does not become > m is appa sently due to the asiddhatva of the present sutra in regard to VIII ? 24

II A 5 VIII 3 37 Ku proh likh pau ca The h before a voiceless guttural or labial mute remains unchanged or becomes > h (pthramilitya) or h (upadhmanija) respectively.

Examples Vrksah or vrksah karoti, vrksah or vrksah patati

Assadhatva Sutra 37 well combines with 35 (by ca (= 15 1)) and is primarily asiddha in regard to this sutra, according to which [khari] carpare h is pūrva-vipratisedhena retained (vasah ksaumam, adbish psātam) Asiddhatia (2) appears from the relation to VIII 3 15 etc.

Positon group B (Inlant)

II B 1 VIII 3 55 Apadantasya murdhanyah For s, except at the end of a pada, s is substituted in the following cases (56-end of the pada)

Example — The V as + suffix sara (UnS III 70) > assara (VIII 2 36) > aksara (41) > aksara (VIII 3 57, 59) Assadahatva The assiddhatva of this sutra becomes manifest in regard to all those sutras in which is still treated as 5 Such sutras are, for instance, I 4 10 (wides in vidusimat (VII I 36, VI 4 131, I 108) is bha), VI I 8 (siseva and sixedha (VIII 3 59), VI 1 64), VII 2 49 and the like In the Tripdid VIII 2 41 (vessiant, with 5 in spite of VIII 3 59), 37 (*dhoksyate in spite of VIII 3 59), theoretically also VIII 2 25 seq, as in alavidhvam, akria etc., the s as a dental has been syncopated according to 25 and 27 respectively (for 28 see p 77) For the relation to VI I 8 5 seqq, cf p 57, Obs 3

*VIII 3 78 Inah şıdhvam lun lıtam dho 'ngat After one of the speech sounds belonging to the pralyahara in, the dh of sudhvam, dhvam (aorist) and dhve (perfect) is cerebralized, if these endings follow immediately on the stem

Examples — Cyosadwan, starsadram (by the side of starsadwam or (79)-stadwam (VII 2 42, with va from 41) (cf VII 1 too, VIII 2 77), so also with parisahvam (parisadwam, stadwam) (cf VII 1 to2) acyodwam, astardwam (by the side of astaradwam or (79) dwam (VII 2 42) and astardwam (VII 2 38, with drightah from 57)), so also with apurdwam (aparidwam, -dwam, aparidwam), cakrdwe (VII 2 13).

*VIII 3 79 Vibhasetah The substitution according to 78 is optional, if the part of the word which precedes the suffix ends in it

¹⁾ Gelpke, Anantabhatta p 30 Cf Kaf VIII 3 37 Ca karad visarjaniyas ca

Examples — Lavisidhvam (or -sidhvam), alavidhvam (or dhvam), ajanidhvam (or dhvam) (for these aorists see below, Obs. 41). Iuhwidhve (or dhve)

Assiddhatva The s of -sidhvam is due to the substitution according to VIII 357, in regard to which sitts 78 (79) is, therefore, secondarily asiddha The same asiddhatva appears from the relation to VIII 225 The s in the aorists had to be elided first according to the sutra just mentioned, before the s could get the required position (immediately before the ending)

Obs 38 — According to the Kapika Pāṇini has added angat (in 78) in order to account for such forma mations as parivevisialiteam (intens optative of V vis) It must be acknowledged that the word-part sidiviam does not stand after the stem, but, on the contrary, consists of a part of it (i) + the ending (augmented by siyut according to III 4 102) (If one considers the ending ((I)diviam) only, neither 78 (the stem ends in s) nor 79 (no t) applies to this form) Instances like this one are rare, as the end of the stem should be identical with the beginning of the ending A form like updadsyndhre (dhret) (for which see Obs 43) is an isolated case So it is far from probable that with a view to such formations Pāṇini should have added angă!

The following conception seems more rational

In the given stage of Pannini's developments the suf fixes in question run -sidhvam and isidhram, -dhram, dhve and idhve (VII 2 35, of III 4 115, 116) For the precative Panini explicitly quotes sidhvam, it may, therefore, be assumed that, as regards the perfect, only the (original) ending dive is meant. The endings in question are therefore sidhvam, dhyam and dhie In consequence of the addition angat then, the precatives with isidhiam and the perfects with idiae, in which i (it) belongs to the ending (I 1 46) (so that the word part which precedes sidhram (dhre) is not a stem) are excluded from 78 The is agrists (type alaridhiam), however, still conform to 78, as a (sf) is the augment of sic (VII 2 35, cf III 4 114), and becomes the final speech-sound of the stem, on which dhe am follows after the s has been elided by VIII 2 25 Now Panini admits also the dental in these agrists en the other hand, also the cerebra'

perfects just mentioned. He could express the one as well as the other by the formula Vibhāsetah (79)

With regard to lun this sutra (79) is, therefore, a restriction, whereas in respect to lin aciss and lit it is an extension

Since in the precatives and perfects no stem precedes, but stem + augment of the suffix, angāt is not understood in 79.

Obs 39 — As, therefore, the process (79) is confined to endings preceded by the very speech sound tt, mah cannot be valid either (in 79) in spite of the assertions of Indian and Western grammarians Anurriti of inah would, moreover, lead to a climay construction, because itah (in 79) would mean mah parasmād itah ('after it which follows on m') 1.e would be supposed to qualify sidhvam etc and would itself be qualified by mah, which qualifies stdhram etc in 78

Besides, ajamahi am and a few other examples given by Whitney') contradict such an anuvrtu

Obs 40 — Also if seen from a phonetical point of view, aniwriti of inah is anything but probable For most likely sidhvan has onginated from sizdhvan and the latter from sizdhvan m Thus it may be conceived that sidhvan sizdhvan sidhvan sizdhvan sidhvan

The question is, however, whether a has been inserted in all cases (cf Whitney, \$ 924)

In the agrists, as a rule, sz must be assumed (perhaps?) with a few exceptions) The sound law s > s after s is of later date, and has obviously operated less intensively than that of s > s after s etc., but it can hardly be accepted that the process was favoured by a preceding m-sound, so that uncertainty remains also here?)

In the perfects, in which the cerebralization has no phonetical base at all, as the r, being a reduction of the thematical vowel?), was never followed by s, the substitution is exclusively due to the influence of the analogy of the agrist- and precative-forms, which may have been carried out more or less consistently?)

⁹⁾ Whitney, Grammar, § 901 2) Brugmann, Vergl Gr II 3, 1, P 411 3) For i tastead of expected s see Brugmann loc cit, p 414 4) Bott Sp For i tastead of expected s see Brugmann loc cit, p 414 4) Bott Gegramanach Handbock, § 217 5) Kielhorn gives optional zérok, if the s is preceded by a semi word or λ (= P a nin t VIII 2 79) (§ 313), he admust of optional z before dêrie efter all roots except those which are mentioned by Pfinian in VII 2 13 (§ 304, 305) According to Thumb (p 356) the cerebril is regular ammediately after s and root!

Obviously "handelt es sich um einen Sprachprozes, den Panini bemerkt und dessen Grenzen er festzustellen versucht hat".) In none of the cases the cerebralization has been effected by the speech-sound which precedes the above it (79) and so it seems hardly plausible that Panini should have observed that this cerebralization would be more distinct after in

If so, the precative $\bar{a}sixidhvom$ ($V\bar{a}s$, double augment before the ending (1914, and before this t, III $_{4}$ 102, VII $_{2}$ 35), handed down with dh exclusively), on the ground of which the $K\bar{a}xik\bar{a}$ argues that mah is valid (in 79) (the root, which is identical with the stem here, does not end in a speech sound of in) need not be wondered at The ending dhvam may never have been preceded by an inserted s.

Obs 41 — If it is accepted that u (in 79) means u of the personal ending only, all us aonists (with item in u) fall under 78 and dh would not occur here The exclusive cerebral in the older language?) points indeed to this conception, though Brugmann may think that ajanudhwan etc *genetisch ebensoweng zum sigmatischen Aotist gehören wie die von Indischen Grammatikern diesem zugerechneten ahraa, ahatta und derg! *)

Obs 42 — With regard to -stdhvam, in which s has been cerebrailized on the conditions mentioned in VIII 357, mkoh (57) is confined to m in 78 (cf vakstdhvam) 1). This m must be the second m of the CS. (cabrdhven), and cannot have a wider meaning than it has in 57 (where it means = any vowel, except a, ā and r), because hefore s has been changed according to VIII 2 31 seqq. y and w have been dropped by VI x 66, and l does not occur Stems in r are rare, as all the roots from which they could be derived (those in r and r) are set. The commentators do not give examples Their occurrence may, however, he inferred from the employment of inah (instead of itah), which would, otherwise, serve no useful purpose Some examples have been constructed above

The agrists and perfects, too, are only such as are formed of stems in vowels or r, because, as appears from

i) Liebich, Papini, p. 58

i) Whitney, loc cit

j) MBh Varit 1 on VIII. j. 78, Vol. III. p. 444. In grahanam fhaite
ke varga nivetiy-artham Kaf. on VIII. j. 78. In her sit varifomine funar in grahane
la varga nivity artham

an investigation of the anti-roots, stems in y, l or v are not constructed before the endings in question and h is changed according to VIII 2 31 seqq (y and v would, indeed, be dropped according to VI t 66)

Obs. 43 — In the perfect-form upadidiyidhte (dhve)!) in consequence of the augment jut being prefixed (VI 4 63), it no longer follows immediately on the stem On this ground some grammarians maintain that dh is not allowed here Others assume that in 1s, but angāt is not valid in 79, so that i stands after y (one of the speech-sounds of in!), and that the cerebral is required?

Obs 44 — Böhtlingk and Bhattoji exclude h and the semi-vowels from 78 and 79. This hardly presents difficulties as far as 78 is concerned inasmuch as examples in r scarcely occur. Not so in 79. In cakrdive and the like, the stem to which the ending (dhve) has been added, ends, indeed, in a vowel In such examples as lulivouldive, however, (quoted by Böhtlingk himself) it belongs to the ārdhadhātuka suffix (VII 2 35), whereas the stem (before the following vowel) ends in v 1) in the same way as that of acyodham (which Böhtlingk rightly quotes under 78) in o and e.g. that of adugdhvam 1) (III r 45) in g. Otherwise dh would be "regular" in the last example (78) (Apandhvam, too, conforms to 78, if the supposition in Obs 41 be right.)

II B 2 VIII \neq 1 Ra-sābhyām no nah samāna-pade The n immediately after r [r, short and long] or s in the same pada, is celebralized

Examples. Varna-, usna-, tısrnām, mātrnām.

Obs 45 — That Panini also includes r totalis (short and long) (in which r is contained!) under r⁶), appears from several examples One may *hineininterpretieren*

¹⁾ Xir on VIII 2 79, MBh ibid on Varit 4, Vol III p 445, 1 2 3) Xir on VIII 2 79, Xirod labh i man@agd uttorays its Boundaryen yad governeym yad governeham it na bhavitayam dharouth Aparida dorganan angal it narylam na siy amwaratat, tata ica ya khrad ras nah pare 'nantaram if it phile bhavitayam manang gwe rules by which the lingual di sopticual only, and that after 1, preceded by 7, r, l, p, h, and Renou, Grammare, § 10 La cértifornistation [ets] facultative sil sagit dan aor en sjet que no utre la consonne précédaire et une semiroyelle ou un h Eufochily both accept the complete in in bolh stirrat, 91 s 75 does not apply here! 5) Aonstit 5) Cf Xir on the stirra, MBh ibida, Yarit 1, vol III, p 452

this vowel on the ground of a comparison with VIII 2 18, in which the gentitive ro comprises r as well as 7. From this it follows that VIII 4 26 (nr-manāh) is an extension of VIII 4 3 (samjhā), but that VIII 4 39 must be regarded as an exception partly to VIII 4 I seed (proposit), partly to VIII 4 26 (nr manaa)

Astadhatva This substitution is asiddha in regard to any shita in which n is regarded as n (comp VIII 3 55 above). Thus from V itrini, (DhP II 30 wrongly gives n) *ūrnunāva (> ūrnunāva) is constructed according to VI 1 3, in kurvamit m is pūrva-upratisedhena substituted (> n, VIII 4 58) according to VIII 3 24, not n according to VIII 4 2, in the same way aksamat gets zero according to VIII 4 2, not n according to VIII 4 2 (afterwards aksamat) aksamat, VIII 2 9, 16, and this finally becomes > aksamat, VIII 4 2) (This aksanvat (having eyes) must not be confounded with aksanvat (not injuring) from V ksan (DhP VIII 3, with cerebal n)

Secondary asiddhativa appears from the relation to eg VIII 3 99 (harisenah and the like with s on account of which n passes to n, VIII 4 2)

Positian-group C (General samdhi)

II C ia VIII ¢ 40 S-toh ç cuna ç cuh S, dental mute and nasal are palatalized by a contiguous ¢, palatal mute or nasal

Obs. 46 — Although by its form the sutra is like a yatha samkhya construction (I 3 10), it is evidently not conceived of as such

Examples — I Vṛkṣaṭ ṭete (s>R>h>s>i), bhraṭ + ṭap (III + 68) + ts > birṛṭatı, > bhrṛṭatı, > III + 53) = Tad qruvā > tag rṛuvā, ata rṛuvā, VIII + 53) (optionally tac chrava, 63), gamatı > gachatı (VII + 77) > gachatı (VIII + 40) = Bharān ette either remains and becomes > -h{ (VIII + 40), or becomes > -h{c} (VIII + 40), or becomes > h{c} (VIII

Assidhatva. Gacchatt and the like (VIII 4 40) are not further affected by VIII 2 30 (asaddhatra 11). According to the same sutta (VIII 2 30), and to 36 yuj + ta and rāj + tra become > "yugta and ratta respectively, not

-jc according to the present sutra (pūrva vipratisedha in in either case)

VIII 4 40 is secondarily asiddha in regard to all such surras as according to which s or tu consonants arise which are palatalized by the present sutra (VIII 3 34 and the like)

Obs 47 — It is clear that in consequence of the said auddhatva of VIII \neq 40 in regard to 2 30, in the position after palatals only n is palatalized, not also s or dental mute (= phal consonants!)

Obs 48 — V nch gets tuk according to VI r 73 (>ntch) The root of the desiderative consists of ntch + tt + ta After the reduplication according to VI r 2 (cf VII 4 60) the form utitchisa would arise, which would become>utitchisa according to VIII 3 59 and 4 40 The right form, however, is netchisa 1) Obviously the redu plication takes place after t has become>c according to VIII 4 0 and this sutra must, therefore be regarded as stadtha in regard to VII t 2

II C 1b VIII 4 45 Yaro 'nunasike 'nunasiko va For a media at the end of a pada the corresponding nasal may be substituted, if a nasal follows

Obs 49 — Yar instead of jac (cf VIII 2 39) with a view to the following sutras

Examples - 1 Sasnam (VII 1 55) > sadnam (VIII 2 39) > sadnam (VIII 4 41, 42) (optionally) > sannam 2 Agnicid (or -n) nayati

Obs 50 — At the end of a stem pada this assimilation is imperative in the speech of everyday life ³) (tan matra I \neq 17, V 2 37) It seems also usual in sas (sad) as the first component of a compound ³)

Asiddhatva in regard to VIII 3 23 appears from constructions such as tristhum nayati (not m or n according to the sutra mentioned and VIII 4 59)

Obs 51 — In formations such as nusanna (* according to VIII 3 66) trnna (V trd) and the like, in which first the first n has been cerebralized according to VIII 4 2 (1), and afterwards the second according to 41, the assimilation is due to VIII 2 42 (indust')

¹⁾ SdhK on VII 274, Vol II, Part I, p 535 No 2626 2) MBh on VIII 445, Vartt 1, Vol III p 464 3) Renou, Grammane, \$33

II C 2 VIII 4 46 Acora habbyam dve Any consonant (except h) after a postvocalic r or h may be geninated

Examples - Urkk (V ūrj), brahmman

Asiddhatva in regard to VIII 2 30 appears from the first example

II C in VIII \neq 53 Thalam jaş jhaşı For mutes, sıbılants and h the corresponding mediae are substituted, if a media or media aspirata follows

Obs 52 — As to the media, the sutra, of course, only denotes that it is preserved *apphile (suffix kin), UnS II 58) > atbhile (VII 4 48) > adbhile (VIII 2 39) which remains

Assidhatva appears, for instance, from the relation to VIII z 37, with reference to which stira adhugdhvan is regarded as "adughdvan The same example shows secondary asiddhatva in regard to VIII z 32, uddhi (V vai), dididdhi, (V di) etc show the same relation to VIII z 36 etc

Obs 53 — On the relation to VIII 4 46 comp § 14, group II C ic

*VIII 4 56 Vavasāne In pausa for a media at the end of a pada the corresponding tenus may be substituted

Obs 54 — Although *Jhalam* is valid from 53, the present sutra cannot refer to changes of sibilants (cf I 1 50, VIII 2 39, 66 seqq), k (cf VIII 2 31 seqq), aspirates (VIII 2 39) and tenues (VIII 2 39)

Examples — 1 Nom sing vac (VI 1 67, 68) > vak (VIII 2 30) > vag (39) optionally > vak 2 Cvalih > cvalidh (VIII 2 31) > cvalid (39) or cvalit

Asiddhatva with regard to 39 is conspicuous In the case of inversion of the sutras such forms as nom sing dust could not exist in pausa

*VIII 4 57 Ano 'pragrhyasyanunasikah In pausa a, 1 and u, short, long or pluta (1 and 2 only provided they are not pragrhya) may be nasalized

Examples - The examples handed down, chiefly concern plutavowels manuam (TS 7, 4, 20), vindafizm RV 10, 146, 1), but also (RV) ghanenam ekas, sacam udyán, vipanyám/rtasva 1)2)

Secondary asiddhaiva with regard to VIII 3 19 implies that the vowels which come to stand at the end according to this sutra may be affected by the nasalization according to the present sutra

II C 1d VIII 4 58 Anusvarasya yayı para savarnalı For medial anusvara before a mute (cf 59), the nasal which is homogeneous with the following consonant is substituted

Examples *Bodhamii (VIII 3 24) > bodhanii çrămța (VI 4 15, VIII 3 24)> granta

Asiddhatva With reference to VIII & 2 such form ations as kurvanti, karsanti granta are regarded as kurvamte etc (VIII 3 24) In regard to this sutra VIII 4 58 is, therefore, asiddha.

II C 1e VIII 4 63 Gue cho 'te Che may be substituted for e following on a tenuis, provided a vowel, y, z or r follows

Obs 55 - In virtue of VIII 4 55 thay (62) is confined to cav

Example Cvalit cete or chete (VIII 2 31, 39 4 55, 63) Asiddhatva (2) appears from the relation to such sutras as VIII 3 28 (prank cete or chete) and 31 (bhavanc sete or chete, cf VIII 4 40 above, p 94 3rd example)

H C 3 VIII 4 65 Fhare than savarne Postconsonantal mute or sibilant before homogeneous thar consonant may be syncopated

Examples -1 Ad + ta > jagdh + ta (II 4 36) > jagdhdha (VIII 2 40) > jagddha (4 53) optionally > jagdha (65) 2 Loc pl cikirs + su > cikirssu, optionally cikirsu But priya pañenah, as the second n, although homogeneous with c, does not belong to the ther consonants

⁷⁾ Nasalization 1) Or vepanyam/rideya? Cf Macdonell, \$ 70, 15, Note 7 after Macdonejl 5 66 a) 1 and 2 Wackernagel givet m in all examples (\$ 259 b, a and β)

BUISCOOL Pürvatras deham

Assiddhatva (2) with regard to 61 appears from the (optional) syncope of t in formations such as ut-thātum (< ut tthātum)

II C 4 VIII 4 65 (67) Udāttād anudāttasya svarītah. Nodāttasvarītodayam a-Gārgya-Kāṣyapa-Gālavānām. After an udātta a svarīta is substituted for an anudātta, provided no udātta or svarīta follows (According to Gārgya, Kāṣyapa and Gālava also in the latter cases)

Examples — 1 Gårgyak (ādyudātta according to VI 1 197, suffix yah, IV 1105) > Gårgyah, 2. In agnim *ilė the verb loses its accent according to VIII 128 (> agnim *ilė), after the udātta of the end-syllable of agnim (III 13, suffix ni UnS IV 50, or according to PhS I 21i) the 1 of ile gets svarita (with eka-fruit of eaccording to I 230)

Asiddhatva Primary asiddhatva with regard to VI I 158 appears from constructions such as \(\text{tdm} \) \(\text{7} \) \(\text{tm} \) \(\text{1} \) \(\text{2} \) \(\text{1} \) \(\text{1} \) \(\text{2} \) \(\text{1} \) \(\text{1} \) \(\text{2} \) \(\text{2} \) \(\text{1} \) \(\text{2} \) \(\text{2} \) \(\text{1} \) \(\text{2} \) \(\

The sūtra is, further, secondary asiddha with regard to VIII 2 82 segg

II C 5 VIII 4 68 A a A samerta is substituted for a vierta Example — Bodhanti > bodhonti

Asiddhatva The last sutra is primarily asiddha with regard to any sutra according to which a becomes long or phita (VII 3 111, 115 seqq, VIII 3 101 seq and the like, VIII 2 82 seqq), as ρ is regarded as a (vivita like a) in these sutras. Were it otherwise, sutras such als VIII 3 17, 4 57 (with supposed open a) would, as far as a is concerned, be meaningless

C ASIDDHATVA TACITLY IGNORED

If, in consequence of a substitution taught in the Tripādi, an opportunity should arise for application of a previous sultra, this sutra, as has been established under B, will, as a rule, not take effect.

Example — The vowel which becomes final in a pada according to VIII 3 19 is not contracted with the following

one (VI 1 87 seqq) The hiatus, therefore, is rightly secured by VIII 2 1.

It is, however, not absolutely impossible that certain processes should repeat themselves in the successive stages of Panin's developments, as well as in the historical periods of dialects. To such possibilities these developments had to be adapted (cf p 71, Obs. 6)

This might be done by mentioning such processes anew in the Tripādi

Examples.—See example in the Obs. just referred to N.B. Relations like those of VI t 66 (syncope of v and y before a consonant except y) to VIII g 22 (syncope of y as far as this has sprung from R (17) in external sampth) are of a somewhat different nature.

The asiddhatia is explicitly restricted or denied by VIII 2 and 3

Examples — I For the 1 of ball, which becomes final according to VIII 2 7, the same holds good as for the 1 referred to in VI I 77. 2 The rule for the instr. sing. of ghi-stems (I 4 7) in I—VIII I (VII J 120) as applies to such ghi stems as originate according to a stira of the $Tr+p\bar{a}di$ (VIII I 80).

As a rule, however, Panini, in ignoring the assiddhatiaprinciple, combines such processes with similar processes from I-VIII 1.

Examples — Thus the u < R (VIII z 66) is affected by the contraction-rule VI z 87 (cf 113, 114), the ru of the Tripādi is, before r, syncopated in the same way as the r of I-VIII z (agni-ratha-like puna rakta (VI 3111) (punar staradim, no 4)), etc.

This method is likewise not unfrequently applied if a substitution in the *Trifadi* gives rise to developments which unite themselves with surray from I—VIII I

Examples — For this reason site as such as the following take their places in $1-VIII \ r \ VI \ r \ 176$ (accentuation of mat (tai) on account of an augment which is taught in the Tripādt $(VIII \ 2 \ 16), VI \ 3 \ 111$ (cowell-engthening in consequence of the syncope of dh $(VIII \ 3 \ 13)), VI \ 3 \ 112$ (vowel alternation in consequence of the same syncope), $VI \ r \ 125, \ 129, \ 130$ (contraction of plutavowels), and so on

Obs 56 — That processes from a later stage are referred to, is sometimes necessitated by the fact that Pānini's developments do not correspond with the historical growth of the forms Thus as before a becomes > o' already in I—VIII 1 (VI I 113, 87, 109) At first, however, not o, but a arose, the o occurs later analogically, along with abhinihita-samdhi'), evidently wherever the preceding a did not become pluta. Since in Pānini's developments pluta-lenghthening only appears at a later period (VIII 2 82 seq) he was obliged to anticipate it in VI I 113.

In all these cases a substitution of the Tripadi is, in spite of VIII 2 1, referred to beforehand.

Such an anticipation is likewise met with in the Tripadi itself

Example — The process of VIII 3 13, for instance, presupposes the operations according to VIII (2 40 and) 4 41, which cannot cause misunderstanding, as other formations than those which arise according to the sulras just mentioned, which could conform to VIII 3 13, do not occur (neither in external sandhi (VIII 2 39) nor in internal sandhi (suffixes which begin with dk do not exist))

Obs 57 — It also occurs that a sutra, so to say, anticipates itself, when, namely, successive substitutions are performed in the same word Thus $khalapvi + \bar{a}ta > khalapvi + \bar{a}ta$ according to VIII 24, although in order that khalapvi and arise, the same sutra must already have been applied In $bhava\bar{n}e$ eee the t (from VIII 331) becomes > c according to VIII 440, and subsequently n becomes > b likewise by VIII 440,

Now, the reader knows that all these anticipatory ādeças must needs have been taken from the Tripādi

Obs 58 — For e g. the ru of VI I 113, 114 — as appears already from the name — must be the one from VIII z 66 seqq, because it cannot poss bly have another origin f), the n (nut) in connexion with vat (mut) is nowhere dealt with except in VIII z 16, the dh which is referred to in VI g 111 cannot be different from the one in VIII g 13, g/ula-vowels are constructed in the Tripad only, the

¹⁾ Wackernagel, Allundische Grammatik, § 285, b 2) Cf. KZc on VI J 113 Rubum ayagrayanal, püryaträniddam ity anidibam na bhavati, Bhattoji Vol I, p 82, no 163 because this sutra specifically mentions the word ru and then ordains s in its stead.

startta of the i of loc sing khalapvi as well as the one of \tilde{a} in $\tilde{a}_t \hat{a}_t$ must evolve according to VIII 2 4 (khalapti (antodatta acc to VI 2 139) + t > khalapvi VI \neq 83) 1) And so on (cf p 99)

Since the substitutions referred to cannot possibly be others than those taught in the Tripādi, i e since the ignoring of VIII 2 1 is evident, there was nothing that should prevent Pānini from putting such sūtras in a place they were logically entitled to He was allowed, nay, induced to sacrifice the asiddhata principle to the logical one

Obs 59 — For the process according to which $u(\langle R)$ coalesces with the preceding vowel, fits in best in the vowel contraction prakarana, the lengthening of vowels on account of such syncope phenomena as are taught by VIII J_1 13, 14, bears on the same matter as is treated of in VI 13 11 sequ $(dtrehat^h)$, etc.

Obs 60 — Some cases which might show an apparent neglect of the assidihatia, are readily put up with Thus the reader himself decides—the simultaneous mentioning of dh and r (ru) in both passages already points to it—that r in VI 3 III also = ru of the Tripādi, whether consistently or inconsistently he shall apply the optional rule VIII 2 33 to such constructions as "drohia" drohia "drohia" (VIII r4) — Some exceptional cases, which might perhaps be attributed to less great carefulness, are mentioned under B (see er C Obs. 10 sea)

Obs 61 — For the same purpose as certain operations are performed beforehand some others are, theoretically wrongly, only ordained in the Tripād? Thus the lengthening of s and u before an "original" r belongs, as regards the subject matter, to $I \rightarrow VIII \ s$ (under $VI \ 3$ 111 (dirpāds)) As, however, practically, no difficulties would arise, this lengthening could be combined with the same lengthening of the same wowels before R < s. In "after (with R < s, VIII s 260) and "gr (s 71, VI 763) therefore, the s is equally lengthened according to VIII s 276

Obs 62 — Not only logical considerations — the desire of putting together what belongs together substantially — need have led Pānini to account in advance

for such formations as referred to above By comprising such more or less similar processes under one sturta, an opportunity was, moreover, afforded for sparing a stura (mnemotechnical principle) Thus a stura according to which AgniR rathah would become > Agni rathah became superfluous by tacitly comprehending the syncope of R (VIII 3 14) already in VI 3 111 Instead of two entirely analogous sturas with reference to the hiatus in the case of phiativewise on the one side and prographa vowels on the one side and prographa vowels on the other, the first of which should have been reserved for the Tripadi, one sutra VI I 125 sufficed Accordingly the common sentence-parts are and nutyam were spared

CHAPTER V. PARALLELISM OF THE LOGICAL AND THE ASIDDHATVA PRINCIPLE

L PARALLELISM OF THE LOGICAL AND THE ASIDDHATVA PRINCIPLE IN THE PROGRESSIONS

\$ 12.

For reasons stated above (§ 11A) several substitution-sutras were neutralized. The forms arising by such sutras subsequently develop in the same way as those in I—VIII 1 (see 5 9A). Unless a development be concluded as in VIII 2 18 (*krf.1) > kft.1, after which I remains). Pantin makes each of the processes by which the particular forms arise, a new mani-process, where upon, by continually applying the same method, he successively derives from the forms in question, as from new norms, all the other forms.

Example — The s of the ending instr. pl. hhu(au) becomes first universally $> R_i v u$. This ru is either preserved or it universally becomes > h. The tuarga remains or becomes > s, and so on

Accordingly, either one single substitution (klfta) or — usually — the first of a progressive sense of sitras refers directly to one or more sitras of 1—VIII 1. The number of sitras which, in the way just discussed, are destined for the Triplit need not be left to chance, but could be extended pretty arbitrarily by the manner of unifying, transferring and combining of forms. Papins (in imitating Katyayana's fraitfalkhai) has utilized these means to such an extent as would, in his opinion, enable him to simplify his chitra.

The sutras which for reasons indicated above (with a view to diminishing the undesired and increasing the desired agreements) or disagreements) were neutralized, form the starting-point of the Trivial. They might be called "opening-sutras".

Obs. t. — Since Panini intends to construct first a set of ideal pada-forms (Formation-group I, see \$ 9lb), it is clear that especially such processes as bear on speech-sounds at the end of a pada, should be put in VIII 2-4 As the sangth-forms (VIII 3-4) are derived from the

forms of Formation-group I and those of I—VIII I, it also follows that several sutras which deal with internal samdhi, too, range themselves in the Tripādi, and especially in VIII 3—4

On account of their direct relation to sutras from I—VIII 1, first of all, therefore, such important sitras as VIII 2, 23, 37 and, among the accent sutras, VIII 2,4—6 of different progressions beginning in I—VIII 1 had to be put under VIII 2 1

Obs 2 — Still other circumstances may lead to a sutra being put in the *Tripādi*, thus the desirability of distinguishing vat which, as matup, is combined with mat, from the homonymous vat which occurs in I—VIII 1

Except a progression be concluded by a substitution which is $and dha_i$ as after the change of r into l (VIII z 18 seq.), each of the opening substitutions is continued by further substitutions in the Tripādi, possibly in joining other progressions with which it comes to share a stage All these subsequent substitutions, therefore, are continuations either of an opening suita (which refers to a suita in 1-V(III) or of a continuation thereof

Example — The "opening-sutra" VIII 2 23, for instance, which itself is a continuation of e.g. VI 1 68, is continued by e.g. VIII 37 (in samdhi), which itself, as an opening-sutra, continues sutras such as VI 1 103 and is again continued by VIII 3 15, etc

Each sūtra which is a continuation of a *Tripādi*-sūtra (whether of an opening or of a continuation sūtra) must, on account of VIII 2 1, follow on the sutra it continues (secondary asiddhatva, § 10B)

Examples — Sutra VIII 266, for instance, must (for samdhi-processes) be followed by VIII 3 15, this sūtra by 3 34 seqq et e, sūtra VIII 3 31 must follow on 2 23 (which happens to be partly debarred by it) (bhawān cete) bhawān (etc), sūtra VIII 4 40 again on 3 31 (> ne-t) the optional sūtra 4 63 on 40 (> ne-ch) etc

Obs 3 — Forms which are to be excluded from a stirta have to arise by later stirtas in another progression, and become primarily asiddha (comp e g the relation between VIII 2 a and 108)

Since these continuation sutras must follow on sutras which fall under VIII 2 I themselves, they, too, take their places in the Tribadi, which they gradually fill up

It needs hardly to be observed that this mutual order of the sources of one and the same progression, which is required by the said secondary ariddhatia agrees entirely with the one suggested by the logical principle (continuation)

The different forms or groups of forms which arise after each substitution, go their own ways. In thus ramifying, each progression brings forth several offshoots. New sets of rules (progressions) arise if such sub progressions get a stage in common with other progressions or offshoots thereof

Obs 4. — Where such a coincidence is attended with a local succession, a concatenation will be formed

Thereupon new ramifications take place, etc

Examples - r With regard to the development of the part perf pass of V trd VIII 2 42 (*trdta > *trnna) is continued in two progressions. In VIII 4 I the cerebralization falls together with that of any other n which is preceded by r, in VIII 4 41 the cerebralization of the second n with that of any other n in inlaut position after a cerebral 2 Sutra VIII 2 66 is a continuation of eg IV 1 2 (cf (nom sing in) su, in so far as this suffix has not been apocopated by VI 1 68, 69, jas ças, in so far as they have not been changed by VII 1 20 and the like, bhis (also where it has been replaced by ais according to VII r 9)), further also of III 4 78, 82 (forms in s, in so far as s has not been dropped by III 4 08 seq. VI s 68 and the like Several progressions appear to come together here (in VIII 2 66), and give rise to a new progression which begins with forms in R and which, in combination with forms in r (in so far as these remain after the substitution according to VIII 3 14), is divided (by VIII 3 15) in a progression with retained R(r), and another with h This h-progression is resolved into different sub progressions by VIII ? 34 seqq, and so on.

Now, the order of the places (the sutras) in which the progressions begin or end, or sub progressions detach diemselves or join other progressions or sub progressions, or end, has to meet all the requirements of the primary and secondary auditatus mentioned above.

Obs. 5 — Thus in the progression a^1, a^2 . . the intervening spaces have to be chosen in such a way as to admit of the progression b^1 , b^2 being put in its proper

sequence, while, moreover, $e.g.b^3$, which has to be neutralized in regard to $e.g.a^3$, has to be ranged after a^4 .

Obs 6—It will be clear now that the opening-sutras of the different progressions could not occupy in succession the first places in the Tripādi, and that their number would be rather limited, lest not all the local relations to other sutras should be accounted for The principal thing, therefore, was to choose these opening-sutras to best advantage, so that their number and consequently the benefit, as stated in § 11 A might increase as much as possible

Thus the further external form of the *Tripādi*, even more than on the initial sūtras, depends on the manner in which the progressions of continuation sūtras are interwoven

In Formation-group 1, containing chiefly such opening sutras as continue stages of the most different progressions from 1-WII 1, a rational arrangement of the sutras will not forthwith result, particularly not so, as primary astadhata as not at all excluded here

In the complex of progressions of substitutions in Formationgroup II, however, according to which the final forms evolve, the secondary anddhatia principle, which prevails in the Tripādi, runs parallel with the logical one within each separate progression, as has been stated above. This parallelism remains when progressions which show points of contact, meet, for the common phase in each particular progression is logically the continuation of the preceding stage.

Obs 7 — Thus by VIII 3 15 h is substituted for r which becomes final according to VIII 2 23 (24) (rhtr), as well as for final R of VIII 2 66 (agntR) In accordance with the said double principle, VIII 3 15 follows on the two other staras — The preceding phase may also arise according to a stara from 1—VIII 1 thus ("abhinads (2nd sing imperf V bhid) >) abhinad (VI 1 68) (optionally) becomes > abhinaR (VIII 2 75), tras (1 1 37, II 4 82) becomes > traR (VIII 2 66), etc.

Owing to this circumstance the sutras could chiefly be arranged logically.

How far, in further agreement with the logical principle, the asiddhatia principle also allows of a logical order of the groups, will be examined in the following section

I PARALLELISM OF THE LOGICAL AND THE ASIDDHATVA PRINCIPLE IN THE ARRANGING OF THE GROUPS

\$ 13

Astidihatia within the boundaries of the groups indicated above (50) does occasionally occur

Example — In the matup group (I (3)) VIII 2 16 is anddha in regard to 9 owing to which asiddhatva akusmat (VIII 2 7) becomes (not "aksa cmat (VIII 2 9) of I 1 54) but) > "aksa vat according to the first men toned sutras

As a rule however two sutras one of which is astadha in regard to the other belong to different groups. Lest the astadhatia counteract a rational grouping (main groups and thematical sub groups) is lest either the astadhatia or the possibility of proper grouping be disturbed each particular group should follow on another group even if only a single sultra of the latter on account of VIII 2 i must precede a single sutra of the former Hence it may occur that other sutras of the one and other sutras of the other group are not constructively connected at all

Example — Thus group VIII 3 55 end of the fuda (cerebralization of s) should precede group VIII 4 1—39 (cerebralization of n) on account of e, the relation between VIII 3 59 and VIII 4 1 (the s arising from the first sutra has to produce effect in the second) but e g VIII 3 59 has nothing to do with e g VIII 4 14

That the said requirements of the asiddhatia principle do not exclude the logical building up of the *Tripadi* but rather that they are attended with it may be proved as follows

The progressions of which the *Tripadi* is composed (§ 12) either develop side by side (or partly overlap one another) in beginning and ending in different places or they show points of contact in which they are entirely or partly combined to new progressions which may in their turn become connected with other progressions

Example — The *group (VIII 3 55-419) treats of the change of *s to *s under certain circumstances After wards these new forms are partly *t *s of ar as *n follows (directly or indirectly VIII 4 t-3), combined with forms in which under the same circumstances *n follows on *r

regard to the sequence of the groups had therefore to be met fairly often here, was the less inconvenient as a logical arrangement of such divergent groups would, in itself, hardly be possible

Obs. 1. — Group VIII 2 23 seq, for instance, might precede as well as follow VIII 2 7 seq. From a rational point of view, neither of the two arrangements is preferable to the other The asiddhatva of VIII 2 23 in regard to 7, however, requires 23 to be preceded by 7 (which moreover, consequently approaches 2)

The arrangement of the following (samhitā-) groups, however, (in Formation group II) mainly shows the aspect which has been described above logical continuation is regularly attended with secondary and dhatwa

Obs. 2. — Agnis > -R (VIII 2 66) > -h (3 15) > -s, (4 41), herewith the order of the groups of which these substitutions form a part, has been given.

In the same way as sutras arrange themselves to groups, the groups again aggregate to higher groups

Obs 3. — The final speech-sounds of Formatton-group I are, as it were, the common $sh\bar{s}am$ of the substitutions in Formation-group II, Position group A In the system of forms, thus arising, all medial consonants are submitted to the processes of group B, and finally, all the sounds then extant, to the general samble rules of group C

The three Position-groups, however, combine to one whole in distinction from Formation-group I. Whereas in the latter group a provisionally universal final speech-sound is constructed irrespective of the initial sound of the following word (which has, nevertheless, been taken into account beforehand), in the three Position-groups the following speech sound, sometimes the preceding one, is constantly kept in view In Position-group IIA these forms (from Formation group I and I—VIII 1) are transformed accordingly. Afterwards in IIA the medial constonants are replaced by others in such a way as to admit of common general samidhi-rules being formulated (in IIC) for them as well as for the changed finals

Here, too, continuation is apparent That it is attended with secondary asiddhatva needs no further demonstration

Obs 4. — That primary asiddhatia is by no means excluded here, has been stated above (Obs 1) The

remaining liberty allows of the mnemotechnical principle being applied fairly frequently. Where this principle comes into conflict with the logical one, either a compromise is made or the first principle, in breaking up the logical grouping, gains the victory. A clear example of the latter offers the treatment of the samasis (in I—VIII 1, II 15—2 29) whereby certain taipurusas as bahuvrīhis are included in the pārva class (see Liebich, Zwei Kap, p IX and cf also \$5)

The groups are the logical units from which, rather than from the single surfas, the Tripadi is finally built up As appears from the foregoing, a logically arranged system of progressions must reflect itself in a system of logically arranged thematical head and sub groups

Obs 5 — It goes without saying, in elaborating his system Pānini did not, for the sake of brevity, have in view a continual combining and dividing of progressions, which happily resulted in the said grouping, on the constructed, by continually substituting, his progressions in such a way as to arrive at the desired grouping. In this way — given the pārvatraisidham — a secondary ariddhata could not but arise, it is true, but issuing from the progressive method of substitution it could not collide with it. The different phases of the different progressions are now brought in such a mutual relation that, under the regime of VIII 2 1, the groups develop in the desired way.

That intricate situations would occasionally arise, has been sufficiently proved by the above calculations, which do not in the least lay claim to answer to actual considerations of Pannin's, but only draw the attention to consequences he was faced with

CHAPTER VI SYSTEMATICAL UPBUILDING OF THE

The Tripadi as a whole General view

An introductory survey of the *Tripādi* as a whole, may be of use in endeayouring to "follow" Panini in the following pages A short recapitulation of chapter III can serve as such

a) Contents of the Tripadi (restoration of vowels and consonants) (§ 8, B)

Vocalism

The vowel-samdhi could almost entirely be achieved in I—VIII r and so the remaining cases occupy a subordinate place in the Tripadi.

Consonantism

Owing to the fact that by the normalization of the flexion one consonant has been levelled out (\$ 3.A) which, notably in auslaut-position differs considerably from the one the external and, often enough, the internal samdin points to, the consonant-samdin, however, did scarcely allow of being treated in I—VIII t

Example — For an example see p 55, Obs 4 According as the forms pass the successive intermediate stages, 1.6, according as Pānini approaches the end of his work, his formulas will become less divergent from those constructed by modern linguistics!

The consonant-samdhi, therefore, was for the greater part reserved for the Tripadi, and these consonant-satras prevail in it

b) Grouping of the subject matter (§ 9 B)

Formation groups As the external samdhi of consonants has hardly been dealt with in I—VIII 1, first a group of processes (Formation group I) is taken up according to which the padas at the end undergo a transformation before they are adapted to serve as standard-forms from which finally (Formation group II) the definite samphi (and pausa) forms are derived

Obs 1. — Pānini opposes the samhită (:= samdhi) form to the avasāna-form The distinction in- and external samdhi is not made by him

Obs. z. — In Formation-group I the new illusory form may also be the padapātha-form, yet it needs not necessarily to be so. The idea is to construct (in I) a set of padas from which by simple sütras IIa the ataāha- and IIs the samdhi-forms can be derived. The possibility of deriving both forms from a common ground-form, was afforded by the circumstance that the auslaut forms are, indeed, very often based on pausa forms 1)

Position groups In § 9 it has been stated that within Formationgroup II three Position-groups must be distinguished the processes of which bear, on the whole, on the auslaut only, on the (an- and) inlaut only, and on any position in a pada respectively Sub-groups In the same section the thematical sub-groups

of the said higher groups have been indicated

The growth of some of the first sub groups of the Tripādi as summed up in § 9 B, cannot be accounted for here since they arise by the coming together of such progressions as are rooted in I—VIII, I Hence it remains to be examined in what way the surras of those progressions which have their origin or are continued in the Tripādi, group together to sub and main-groups the arrangment of which remains consistent with VIII 2 1, and what their mutual relation is

According as Panini appears to succeed in rationally grouping and arranging the matter of the Tripadi in observing the asiddhaira and the mnemotechnical principle, the system of the Tripadi should be judged

Obs 3 —It needs hardly to be observed again (cf S13, Obs 5, end) that the investigations which have to be taken up now, cannot have more pretension than to show how the development of the *Tripādi* may be conceived.

I SUB-GROUPS

5 14

A FORMATION-GROUP I THE ABSTRACT PADA (VIII 2 4-107)

In the preparatory Formation group I sutras VIII 2 7-75 bear on consonants (hal-division), 112. 7-22 on yam-, 23-75 on jhal consonants

¹⁾ Cf., for instance, Wackernagel, Altendische Grammatik, §§ 263 and 275

The anlaut is only once referred to (gr (8)), hence the sub stitutions concern the in and the auslaut, in the majority of cases the latter Wherever this is possible, or rather desirable, both positions are combined (comp VIII 2 29 seqq and the like) A few processes bear upon the inlaut only (VIII 2 42 seqq)

Obs 1—In consequence of the same fact as by which IIa and IIs did (to some extent) agree (p 112, Obs 2), in and auslaut do not The medial consonants, therefore, had to be prepared more on the 'regular' samdhi, the final consonants on their 'anomalies' The latter was the more difficult as these consonants had in a high degree preserved their stencilled ground form (5 3 A) The position at the end of a word had, moreover, to be placed on a level with that at the end of certain word stems with a view to which the term pada is introduced (5 7 B)

Of the vowel sutras (ac-division) which are in a minority, three groups are put together at the end, whereas one group, for logical purposes, precedes the consonant-sutras

As regards the nature of the speech sounds, Formation group I may, therefore, be devided into the following parts

1. Ac-division (VIII 2 4-6

2 Haldivision

a Yam groups
b thal-groups

7—22 23—75

3 Ac-division 2

76-107

Formation group I develops as follows 1)

1 - Ac division 1 (VIII 2 4-6)

Group 1 Accent of coalescing vowels (VIII 2 4-6)

(i) (VIII 2 4—6) According to the nature of the subject matter (accentuation) the processes of group 1 associate themselves with those of the preceding adhyaya The formations in which the operations are performed, have already arisen by the samdhi rules in I—VIII I, and it is clear, therefore, that, as regards the accentuation, these formations are dealt with first

Obs 2 — Of the two other accent sutra groups the sutras of the first group (VIII 282—107) mainly bear on

The bold type numbers of the groups and at the head of the separate divisions refer to the groups in \$6, p. 61 seq. (those in parentheses designate groups that either bear on the inlast as well, or concern exclusively this post ton)

the accentuation of such vowels as are construed by the same stras, those of the latter (VIII $_4$ 67, 68) on the svantating of vowels on such conditions as are partly determined by the processes of the two groups just mentioned

Contents and mnemotechnics All three sütras treat of the accentuation as a result of the meeting of vowels So far they belong together According to the first sütra, the former of two vowels is reduced to a semi vowel, according to the two others, the two vowels construction, sütras 5 and 6 are, therefore, independent of 4, and an inverted order (5-6 before 4) would not be incompatible with the auddhatva principle Likewise 5 and 6 might be interchanged, as their mutual relation (ultiarga (optional) afatada) does not require a particular order Apparently the arrangement of the three sütras is entirely determined by mnemotechnical considerations Aniatriti (in 5) of anudatiasja (from 4), of the same term and also of khadra valutena (in 6) (from 5)

Sutras 4 are 5 are universally valid, 6 (with the loc abs. anudatte padadau) refers to external sandhi only

Asiddhatia On the motive for putting VIII 2 5, and therefore (§ 13) the whole group 1, in the Tripad1 see p 34 on VIII 2 5

a - Yam groups (VIII 2 7-22)

Gr 2 Syncopation of n at the end of a fada (VIII 27, 8, 16, 17)

Gr (3) M (of matup) becomes u (VIII 2 9-16)

Gr (4) Alternation r-l (r-l) (VIII 2 18-22)

2 (VIII 2 7, 8, 16, 17) It must have struck Pānini that, also in his system, consonants which, by the loss of one or more consonants following them come to stand at the end of a pada, are generally treated in the same way as those consonants which occupy this place from the outset

Example — Thus the s of dreas (IV 1 2) and that of 2nd sing acadās (VI 1 68) are submitted to the same processes (VIII 2 66, 3 15 and so on (cf however 2 74)

That n behaves differently he could not fail to observe.

Example — In *rājanbhih the n is syncopated (VIII 27), in bhavān (VIII 223) it is not

He therefore rightly assigns the syncope of n in *rayanbhih, and the like, to an earlier stage by awarding the first place in the hal group to the apocope of n at the end of a *pratipadika* which is likewise *pada*

Contents Sutra 7 is the main rule, the three others are aparādas, 16 and 17 only so far as some n's which had been dropped according to 7, are restored again

Asiddhatva The asiddhatva of VIII 2 7 has already often been discussed (see, for instance, \$ 11, p 75)

Obs 3 — Assiddhatia of VIII 2 7 also in regard to 4 would involve that after such forms as n s balf (t according to VI 4 13) a vowel could not take ksaipra-svarita See VIII 2 2

(3) and (4) (VIII 2 9-16, 18-22) With the processes of the preceding group the substitutions by which the uniformity of the other nasals and liquids ceases, associate themselves Thus groups (3) and (4) arise

Contents and mnemotechnics The matup group (group (3)) has been incorporated in group 2 It takes its place before the exceptions (16, 17), the bridge is formed by 16, which belongs to both groups (anworts of chandas: in 16 and 17 (taken from 15) and of nut in 17 (from 16)) That 17 could not but follow on 16, to which it is quite analogous, goes without saying

The changes in group (4) regard both consonants (r, l) and vowels (r, l), for the greater part the former, and these sutras could, therefore, best be embodied in the hal group Sutras 22 and 19 thematically belong together, but are separated with a view to the aniverth of without in 22 fixon 21.

Note The remaining nasals are, along with some other speech sounds as a *prasangika* group, appended to group 13 (see p 126)

Asiddhatva As the substitution according to VIII 2 16 is asiddha in regard to that of 9 (see p 76) and as, further, sūtra 16 belongs (to the syncope group 2 as well as) to the matur group, the latter could not but follow

The fourth group seems not to be bound to a fixed place among the yam groups

b. - Fhal-groups (VIII 2 23-75)

- Gr. 5. Simplification of final consonant-groups (VIII 2 23, 24, 29).
- Gr (6) Syncopation of medial s (VIII 2 25-29).
- Gr. (7) Development of palatals and h (VIII 2 29-36 (37-41, 62, 63, 67).
- Gr. (8). Media at the beginning of a root-syllable becomes media aspirata (VIII 2 37, 38).
- Gr. 9. Sonantizing and deaspiration of jhal-consonants at the end of a pada (VIII 2 39).
- Gr. (10). Metaleptical assimilation of voice (VIII 2 40).
- Gr. (n). S and dh, before s become k (VIII 2 41).
- Gr. (12) Alternation ta-na in participles and participle-like formations (VIII 2 42-61).
- Gr. 13 Final consonant before kvin becomes guttural (VIII 2 62)
- Final consonant of nar becomes k or f (VIII 2 63).
 M final in a root becomes n (VIII 2 64, 65)
- Gr. 14 S (sometimes other consonant) at the end of a pada becomes ru (r, d) (VIII 2 66-75).

5 an (6) (VIII 2 23, 24, 29, 25—28, 29) In consequence of VIII 2 23 seq and 29 (group 5), henceforth all those consonants which have come to stand at the end of a pada by the loss of one or more consonants behind them, are put on a level with the final consonants which originate from I—VIII 1.

Obs. 4 — A few final consonant-groups have already been simplified before (VI 1 68, 4 21 *rājān, mūr etc.).

With the last sutra of this group, which ordains the universal apocope of s after a consonant, the processes bearing on the syncope of medial s (gr. (6)) associate themselves,

Contents and mnemotechnies. The principal rule for the shortening of final consonant-groups is given in 23, "bhatān! > hhatān; γ^a s. aor achānds(\prime) (V chand) > achān. It is followed by a restriction (24 (catur $V \neq 18$, but) πk ($\pi k k$) (III \neq 40](III \geq 177, $VI \neq 67$, 68, $VIII \geq 29$ (\leq 56)) and an exception (29: kūļalat, kāļļhatat, (V takļ) and the like (VIII \geq 29, 9, 4 56)). As to the junction of groups 5 and (6) the same method has been followed as in the case of 2 and (3). Osing to the rules for syncope of s in the given order being put after 24, and the groups 5 and (6) falling together in 29, anut titl in ot only of sasya (kopah) in

25 (from 24), but also of jhaly ante ca (26 and 29) in the following group, became possible

Besides according to the position, the s-syncope- and the following group (7) overlap one another in 29, because by this stirt along with s the same speech-sound (8) is elided that, along with other speech-sounds, occurs as ādeṭa in part of the sūtras of group (7) So far 29 forms a concatenation between groups 5 and (6) as well as between both these groups and group (7)

Assidhatva. Assidhatva of group 5 in regard to group 1 (which had to be followed by groups 2 and 3), appears from numerous examples 1 Bhavān (<-nt<-nts, VI 1 68) does not become>*bhavā (VIII 2 7). 2. Thus tādr-vs (<tad + dr+y khun (III 2 60) + ux) > tadre, (VI 1 67, 68, 3 91)> (Ved) tādrnę (VII 1 83)> tadrn (VIII 2 23) without further chson of n by VIII 2 7 (Afterwards n, VIII 2 62) 3 Yunj-v-x (III 2 59, VII 171)>yn (VIII 2 23), but this becomes (not>*yn (by VIII 2 7), but)>yn (VIII 2 23), but this becomes (not>*yn (by VIII 2 7), but)>yn (VIII 2 62)

Group (6) (inlaut!) might as well have preceded group 5 Its place immediately after the latter group is due to mnemotechnical considerations (anuvriti of sasya from 24)

(7) (VIII 2 (29), 30—36) Most of the consonants (of two kinds, see under 2) at the end of a pada show the tendency of becoming or remaining) voiced in samdh (except before unvoiced consonants) and optionally also in pausa, and of losing aspiration To this principal rule (the paptwa-sitra VIII 2 39) all other processes are directly or indirectly conformed

Difficulties arose in the case of the palatals and h, these consonants being very frequent in Pānini's system, but in reality unknown in the said position

For the palatal mutes (which have been settled as norms) Pānini might have substituted partly velar mutes, partly cerebral mutes (spirants), whether before or after the principal rule

However, instead of cerebral mute (spirant), he regularly gives the spirant (j) (36), whereas for h — with a view to the following group and to group g — cerebral (guttural) media aspirata is substituted (31 seqq).

Obs 5 — It is conspicuous that these aspirates represent indeur palatals and velars respectively!

Thus he was enabled to combine all his remaining palatals and to comprehend their development in one general rule (Coh kuh VIII 2 30) which would hold for any position before consonants (except nasals and semi-vowels) both in in- and auslaut (the latter by further intervention of the principal rule)

Obs 6 — Such forms as $v\bar{a}kya$ (with k which is not followed by a jhal-consonant) are accounted for elsewhere (VII 3 52 and the like) — Group (12) being neutralized, i.e. being put after group 7, lagna (V lasj, g < j < ij 30, 29), magna (V matj) etc are explained by 29, bhagna (V bia) etc by 30

Obs 7 — By the restriction *jhali* (VIII 2 30), not *hali*, the palatal that has developed analogically before personal endings beginning with m (vacm, e < k), and that of type ueyate, is prevented from changing according to VIII 2 30.

Contents and mnemotechnics The $sth\bar{a}mnah$ in sutras 30-36 are c, ch, f, and h, standing at the end of a f and or before a f hal consonant

In these positions c and j alternate with k sounds, the palatal spirant, ck, and sometimes j, once also c with a cerebral, k with both of them, and so there was occasion for combining the sūtras in question into one group

Principal rules I(C(j)) > k(g) (30), 2. k > dh (31), 3 ch and s > s (36) Exceptions I(C) and J(s) > s (36) Exceptions I(C) and J(s) > s (36) As V(C) in J(s) > s are wanting, and those in J(s) > s (36) As V(S) > s (4h) are wanting, and those in J(s) > s (4h) practically under 30, this sutra simply runs S(s) > s (4h) S(s) > s (4h), and S(s) > s (5h), S(s) > s (4h), and S(s) > s (5h), and S(s) > s (5h), and S(s) > s (5h), and S(s) > s (5h) are restriction on 32, which would, thus, generally run S(s) > s (5h) are S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) are restriction S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) are restriction S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) are restriction S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) are restriction S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) are restriction S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) are restriction S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) are restriction S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) are restriction S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) are restriction S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) are restriction S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) are restriction S(s) > s (5h) and S(s) > s (5h) are restriction S(s) > s (5h) are rest

Astadhatva with regard to both the preceding groups appears, for instance, from the 3rd p s of aorists of VV in c By interchanging 29 (which, besides belonging to group 5, also forms part of group (6), see supra) with 30, the auslaut of the forms in question would become > s instead of k (*araicst (V ric), would become > araist (VI t 68) > arais (VIII 2 30, 29) instead of araik (23, 30), apral (V rric) would become > aprals, amb (V rmic) > amoust (c)

Obs 8 - The palatals of 30 and the h of 32 represent

indeur. k, k, k, k, k, k, and k, k respectively. As before back vowels, these consonants had remained velars in the positions mentioned. In $P\bar{a}ninis$ system, however, here, too, are the palatals resp. h, i. e, the consonants which have been levelled out in paradigms in which original velar alternated with secondary palatal at the end of the verbal root before a vowel, the "regular" ones. (According to $P\bar{a}nin$, pacam not on ving to, but as pacam from V pactor, vacah not owing to, but as vacasah, etc.) So there existed the alternation palatal (k)—velar. In order to restore the real state of things, $P\bar{a}nin$ had, therefore, to formulate a process according to which palatal (k) reverted to velar. Hence valk arises (not from "nok"s by apocope of s, but) from "nācs by the same apocope and change of c to k afterwards (VII r 68, VIII 2 s).

Obs 9.— Cases in which, whether regularly (as in $\rho \bar{\rho} k a k l$, $N \rho d c l$) or — as in the case of original palatals — on the analogy of velars (as in $\delta h r g a$, J g h u a l, L l) of velars (as in $\delta h r g a$, J g h u a l, L l) L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L l L

Obs 10. — The aspirate of 32 (33) appears nowhere in the positions mentioned Aspiration and voice are lost according to VIII z 39, 4 53, 55, 56 in the cases concerned. The provisional substitution serves to submit h, as a gh, to the processes of 37, 40 and, theoretically, also to VIII 4 46, 47. Resulting forms according to VIII 2 39, 4 53, 55, 56

Obs 11. — The palatals of 36 (for ch = palatal, see Wackernagel 1)), and the h of 31 are indeur. £ £ and £h. In Skr. in most of the forms ending in an original palatal (where only the guttural was regular before 1) as in those in s (as dvis, nom sing, dvis, owing to dvisdbish) the cerebral mute of the bh-casus had been generalized. Likewise before

¹⁾ Wackernagel, Altendisch Grammatik, § 131 seqq

t this palatal had become cerebral. Hence Panini could not fail to observe a frequent interchange palatal (h) cerebral in his system As he starts from the palatal (h), he had to introduce the reversion palatal (h) > cerebral Thus according to Panini, *mariti (< -kt-) becomes > -st (VIII 2 36) (> st, 4 41), 3rd s aor. anat (V nac, t < $st < \hat{k}(s)t^{1}$)) arises from *anasst (VI 1 68, VIII 2 23, 36 etc), the same form as 2nd s (which should "regularly" have $k \ (< ks < \hat{k}s)$, but borrows the t from the 3rd s) arises from *anaess according to the same sutras - Vrace (in VIII 2 36) = vrase (DhP, VI 11), cf mulavrt (VIII 2 29, २6 etc)

Obs 12 - On the aspirate dh (31) comp Obs 10 (to the sutras mentioned there, VIII 3 13 is to be added) -According to VIII 2 39 s < palatal is, together with original s, converted into d (t, 4 56), te to the consonant which penetrated from the oblique casus into the nominative (unless it be accepted that the cerebral is the regular development (Wackernagel \$ 149, c) (before that con sonant >d or t (VIII 4 53 55)) For the position before s see following Obs. group (11)

Obs 13 - Inasmuch as part of the sthamnah of the groups (6), (8)-14 are indeur, gutturals, these groups are connected with group (2)

Group (6), sutra VIII 2 20 The historical development of some of the processes referred to, recalls 36. Thus in forms such as *ataksta, s after original palatal had dis appeared before the mute already in the parent language, kt became > ct in prime Aryan and ct > st in Skr (by assimilatio reciproqua) The t of the nominative -tat (< kss) is due to the analogy of forms in which no sibilant follows or followed In Panini's system & is dropped by VIII 2 29 in either case and subsequently (30) 3 is converted into d in the latter case (s had been dropped before by VI 1 681

The VV bhras; and mas; (DhP VI 4 and 122 respectively) also in the Astadhyays rightly end in 57 (bhradga, madgu2) (with g < J, VII 3 53), cf VIII 4 53) The geminates in bhrjjati, mamajja etc and those in formations of V lasj (DhP VI 10) arise according to VIII 4 40, 53, sadhulaj falls under 30 etc , *dhanabhr; under 36 etc

¹⁾ Ibid., § 149a) s)

²⁾ Cf Liebich, Zur Einführung III, p 33 UnS I 7

In eakse (V eaks + se) Panini starts (not from a pal atal, but) from k (V eaks, DhP. II 7) According to VIII 2 29 the k of the root is elided and subsequently s + s > ks (a1) (> k5, VIII 3 50)

Groups (8)-(10) See Obss 10 and 12

Group (ii) As before s every guttural regularly becomes > k in Skr, the dh and s which have been substituted in part of the cases (31 and 36, group (7)) required a reversion to k (VIII z 41) Before s therefore, this s (< palatal) falls together with original s (dvekp, V dvip) (On the same combination also at the end, see Obs 12) According to VIII s s r s also arises from yelar k $(k^n) + s$

Group (12) Part of the finals of the roots after which to the participal to becomes > n, are guturals. The provisional treatment of such participles as to formations has served, therefore, to account for the guttural according to such universal rules as 29 and 30 are (lagna, bhugna). Likewise, therefore, those sutras of group 12 by which the said restoration is effected, are closely connected with group ((6) and) (7)

Group 13 Cases in which, as an exception to the rule according to which the cerebral from the bk cases has been carried through — often 1) under the influence of a preceding r — the regular guttural of the nominative sing and the locative pl has been generalized, are accounted for by VIII 2 62 (didbhil > digbhil owing to dikin etc.) — The words in question are kept apart from the other

— The words in question are kept apart root the owned keu formations by their being marked off as kin, instead of keup formations, which was possible because nittua and pittua of the suffix do not involve discrepancies in other cases

13* In the case of V na₁ (63) also t nom s. finanat (with kvtp) (by the side of nak (not on record) — Cf Ved aor (111) nak^2) and (a)nat (VI 4 73) (Obs. 11)

Group 14 To the sutras treating of palatals (h) finally belong VIII 2 of according to which Panini derives h from j h and f, and 72 (anaduh) In some of these words the consonant perhaps represents an original palatal 3)

(8) (VIII z 37, 38) One more process the transposition of aspir ation (according to VIII z 37) in the case of palatals and h (r ϵ

¹⁾ Wackernagel, Altind sche Grammatik I § 149a)a) 2) Whitney, Roots, p 89 3) Wackernagel loc cit § 261 c and however, ibid., III §§ 136 b, 139a@ax 166a and 139a@ax 346B

in forms in dh, gh now (31 seqq.)) as well as other speech-sounds had to precede the principal rule, otherwise 37 would be deprived of some cases (by 39). Roots that begin and end with an aspirate mute, do not occur in Pānini's system, and Grassmanns's law, therefore, could not be formulated in its usual form. From the occurrence of forms such as hostyati side by side with bodhati (V bhudh, Paninean form: budh!) Pānini could, however, conclude that the aspiration had been transferred from the auslaut to the anlaut.

Contents. Thus 37 becomes the principal rule which accounts for the cases in which, contrary to the above the loss of the aspiration of the auslaut is attended with its being retained in the anlaut (Gr. 9/18). Sutra 38 extends the said process to some forms that do not fall under 37 (dhat(h)ah). (For th(h) see gr. (to) and VIII 4 55)

Obs. 14. — Forms such as dhaddhve become "regular" formations which show the following development: dadh + dhee (37) > dhaddhve (VIII 4 53) — Grassmann's law is also met with in VIII 4 54.

The anurtti in 38 is conspicuous.

9 (VIII 2 39) Only now the principal rule could be given, according to which, except for nasals and semi-vowels, for any consonant at the end of a fada the corresponding media is substituted.

Obs. 15. — In pausa as well as in sampthi the tenuis, too, occurs. The partine-rule is, therefore, calculated upon the position before a word that begins with a vowel or voiced consonant. (Consequently the rules for consonants at the end of a pada yet to come, will have to bear on the position before voiceless consonants.) In this respect, therefore, VIII 2 37 shows the same tendency as is generally observed in 1—VIII 1 (cf. p. 55 Obs. 4).

Contents, Explosives and spirants at the end of a fada become > corresponding mediae.

Obs 16. — In positions where this media is not followed by a vowel or voiced consonant, it is submitted to further changes (VIII 4 55, 56) The tenues (k, t, t) that are ordained by the subsequent rules VIII 3 28, 31 before ear (c) are naturally not affected by VIII 2 10

Asiddhatva. The preparatory character of the preceding groups in regard to the principal rule involves that this rule itself becomes secondarily asiddha In the case of inversion, the media in *godugh* would remain, etc

(to) (VIII 2 40) As far as they are followed by jhal-consonants, the aspirates which have been constructed by VIII 2 31 seqq (those in the position ante lose the aspiration according to 39!), could now be comprehended in the aspiration-rule VIII 2 40, which logically follows on the deaspiration of 39 Along with the other mediae aspirate they occasion the general process Thasas tathor 4ho (Tdhah)

Contents According to this sutra media aspirata + t or th become for the present > two mediae aspiratae, the second con sonant becoming voiced and, as regards t also aspirated (The former aspirate is deaspirated again by VIII 4 53)

Obs 17 — Later analogy formations, as deviations from "Bartholomae's law" (dhatte, adhattam, etc, V dhā, pres "dhāth) appear as exceptions also in Panini's system Hence the addition adhah — Where there is an indeur, aspirated palatal at the base, the process of 40 is continued by VIII 3 13 (and VI 3 111, 112) See also the following observation

Assidhatva As the process (39) also holds for aspirates at the end of a stem pada, 40 had asiddhatvāt to follow on the deaspiration sitra 39 Thus "duhha" algupha (33) becomes > "duphaha (without intervenience of VIII 2 39), but "dharna budh tara (V 3 57, cf I 4 17) becomes > dt- (39) (> -tt. , 4 55) (dharmabhutara)

(11) (VIII 2 41) After this, the changes which the palatals and h had undergone in the preparatory groups could be corrected again. Hence the dh which by VIII 2 31, and the s which by 36 have been substituted for h and palatal respectively, as far as they are followed by s, are reverted to gutturals again (41) (Cf p 121, group (11)). This transition is combined with that of guttural < *original" s (viviksati, V 11)

Contents Thus for any gand dh which have been constructed

Astadhatva Netther with any of the preceding groups ((to), (ii)), nor with the principal rule, which bears on the auslant only, is group (i2) constructively connected As regards the relation between groups (to) and (i2) puria vipratisedha might have existed, yet it does nor really occur, as participles in na of VV in med asp do not exist Groups 5-(7) at least could not but precede, as appears from participles like magna (V masj) in which the syncope of s (29), and bhugna (V bhuj) (ny)akna (V añe), in which the transition (f(c) > g(k) (30) is based on the t of a still supposed ta

With a view to the nature of the process (the partial removal of the conditions on which the substitutions for palatals have been dependent (30)), group (12) logically follows on group (11) (further development of these palatals themselves (and of other consonants))

For the rest, this group lays no particular claim to an earlier treatment

13 (VIII 2 62) Now that all formations with palatals have, along with others, reassumed their proper forms (assimilations and geminations are reserved for III) group 13 follows as an exception to the principal rules for palatals (30, 36) and h (31) According to sutra 62 a palatal at the end of a kern stem and also h of usnih, become > guttural The s of dadhrs (from another progres sion) and some other forms in n, also belong here

Contents At the end of a *pada* a guttural is substituted for the final consonant of the stems which have been built up by the help of kvin (according to III 2 58—60)

Obs 21 — In Paninis system these forms end in 1, c, h, f or s. Except in cases where n preceded (VIII 2 23) 62 is an exception to some of the rules under group (7) as far as the position ante is concerned (*dikbhyah, V dif, with further change of k to g, not by VIII 2 39 but by 4 53)

In the cases where an indeur palatal is at the base, k ($\langle \hat{k}s \rangle$) of the nom mase and fem and loc pl has been generalized (0 121)

Obs 22 — Nominatives such as yun arise as follows in Panini's system The analyzed form is V yu + kvin + m (III 2 59 IV 1 2) As a strong casus, this nom 'yuyı; gets num by VII 1 7 1 (> yunyı:) The infix becomes the final consonant by VIII 2 2; and for this auslaut n

before s hitherto (by preceding rules or in the Dhatu or Gana- $p\bar{a}tha$) k is now substituted.

Obs 18 — $Dh + s = \text{indeur } \hat{g}h + s$ here (avest \hat{g}), $\hat{g}h$ which had been introduced as h, had been replaced by dh (by 31) Indeur gh or $g^*h + s$ (avest $r + \hat{r}$) via VIII 2 32, 359 and 455 becomes > ks in Pānini's system

Other ks according to VIII 3 59, some examples of ks already in the DkP and GP, reduction of ks according

to VIII 2 29 (p 120, group (6))

Asidhatva As far as it refers to the auslaut, the process is ptirva-upratisedhena set aside by the principal rule Thus loc pl dvis+su becomes dvidsu (39), not dviksu (41) The process of the preceding sura having nothing in common with the present one, the mutual order of the two sutras was quite arbitrary, it is the same as that of the sutras in regard to which they possess secondary asidhatva (31 seqq, and 31 and 36 respectively)

(12) (VIII 2 42-61) By means of artificial conditions Pānini was enabled to formulate the preparatory changes of the palatals as generally as possible (29, 30, group (7)) and yet, with regard to the position ante, to adapt them to the patha stira Having served their turn, the said methodical means could be dispensed with now Hence, after roots ending in the palatals (las) bhuj, etc.) t of participial ta, again along with other speech sounds, becomes > n

Obs 19 — Group (10) (save for such forms as dharma-bhuttara) actually, group (11) by implicit restriction, and group (12) naturally refers to inlaut-processes only. So far these groups belong together.

Contents This group contains the rules for the restoration of participia perfecti passivi and participle like formations

I. Positive rules

a (42-50, 60) T (of * ta) > n

b (51-55) Construction of ka, va, ma and similar participlelike formations (54 optional),

2 Optional rule (56) T (of -ta) remains or becomes > n,

3 Negative rules (57-59, 61) Restrictions on the rules of group 1 (61 Vedic)

Obs 20 — As regards the result of the substitution (na) 60 belongs to 1, on account of its being a restriction, it has been put in group 3

Asidhatva Neither with any of the preceding groups ((10), (11)), nor with the principal rule, which bears on the auslant only, is group (12) constructively connected As regards the relation between groups (10) and (12), $p\bar{u}ra$ vipratisedha might have existed, yet it does nor really occur, as participles in na of VV in med asp do not exist Groups 5-(7) at least could not but precede, as appears from participles like magna (V masj) in which the syncope of s (20), and bhugna (V bhuj) (ny)akna (V ahc), in which the transition (s)(s) s)(30) is based on the s0 of a still supposed s

With a view to the nature of the process (the partial removal of the conditions on which the substitutions for palatals have been dependent (30)) group (12) logically follows on group (11) (further development of these palatals themselves (and of other consonants))

For the rest, this group lays no particular claim to an earlier treatment

13 (VIII z 62) Now that all formations with palatals have, along with others, reassumed their proper forms (assimilations and geminations are reserved for II') group 13 follows as an exception to the principal rules for palatals (30 36) and h (31) According to sutra 62 a palatal at the end of a kein stem, and also h of unth, become > guttural The s of dadhrs (from another progression) and some other forms in n, also belong here

Contents At the end of a pada a guttural is substituted for the final consonant of the stems which have been built up by the help of kvin (according to III 2 58—60)

Obs 21 — In Panini's system these forms end in J_1 , i_1 , i_2 , or i_3 . Except in cases where i_4 preceded (VIII i_2 , i_3) i_4 is an exception to some of the rules under group (7), as far as the position ante is concerned (*dikbhyah, V die, with further change of i_4 to i_5 , not by VIII i_4 39 but by i_5 453)

In the cases where an indeur palatal is at the base, $k < (\hat{k}s)$ of the nom mase and fem, and loc pl has been generalized (p 121)

Obs 22 — Nominatives such as your arise as follows in Panini's system. The analyzed form is V you + kvin + so (III 2 59 IV r 2) As a strong casus, this nom you're gets num by VII r 71 C younyo! The infix becomes the final consonant by VIII 2 23, and for this sushaut n

is substituted by 62. In compounds nom, s. -yuj (with kvip, III 2 61) becomes -yug (VIII 2 30) \bigcirc -yuk, 4 56)

Asiddhatva In regard to the principal rule (39), sutra 62 is asiddha, and its place, therefore, was after this rule (before a vowel or voiced consonant V de (with kvm according to III 2 59) could not become > did (besides did), unless it should pass through VIII 2 39 (dix) dix) did > dis, see p 82).

*63. K or t is substituted for the g of V nag (with kvip) in the same position Anuvitii'

*64, *65 The last transitions of yam consonants that still remained to be accounted for (cf. p. 115) constitute the second pratangukargroup to group 13 The place of this group is naturally determined by the circumstance that both groups nearly entirely treat of consonants at the end of a root, and would seem the more desirable as, thus, the connexion of the preceding groups needs not to be disturbed Sutra 65 (inlaut) conveniently associates itself with 6a.

Contents and mnemotechnics M at the end of a root which is likewise a pada, becomes > n. According to 65 medial m at the end of a root before a suffix beginning with m or v, likewise becomes > n. Amounts of mo no dhatoh!

Obs. 23 — Although, as a matter of fact, the process of 64 is a proleptical assimilation of m to the following (dropped) dental consonant, with analogical generalization, Panini could, after all, not formulate it as such, as his dentals had been dropped before by VI r 68 or VIII 2 23 (r.g. 2nd, 3nd s aor. agan)

Assidinativa. The process is assidina in regard to VIII 27. Thus *pratām (with ā before kvip according to VII 415) — whether ado, or inded (ravarād) — becomes > pratām, but this does not become > pratā (which would have been the case, if 64 had not been assidina, as after the syncope according to VI 1 67 (prajām is (a root as well as) a stem) (Henceforth such remote relations, excitating no influence on the order of the sutras or groups, will not be pointed out any more)

14 (VIII 2 66—75). The group which accounts for the only important exception to the principal rule (39), concludes the halfgroups Not d, but R(ru) is substitted for any s at the end of a pada.

Obs 24 — It deserves notice that this substitution, too, is calculated upon the position before vowel or voiced consonant (cf p 122 Obs 15)

Group 14 comprehends, moreover, the last exceptions to VIII 2 30 31 and 36 VIIII 26 (asyapa Retc) and 72 (anadua!) In regard to 14 itself—as to 9—VIII 2 23 (group 5) proves an exception with reference to formations like reyan (< *rryans (p 76)) (not *rryana, *rryanR puracopratisedha) A few imperative and optional substitutions are appended

Contents and mnemotechnics By the principal rule ru is substituted for any s at the end of a pada and for the s of squt?) To these rus are further added those < j, h < s in the nominatives of 67, and the ru in ahaR as a stem pada (68)

Either ru or r according to 70, 71

No ru, but r, d respectively for the n of ahan and the s of var etc according to 69 and 72 73 respectively (according to 72 also for the final of anaduh²))

Ru or d < s and d at the end of roots by 74 75 respectively. The composition of this group has been amply discussed on p 24 seq.

Obs 25 — This R (< s(n) without preceding nasal), if preceded and followed by a which is aphita becomes > n according to VI r 113 seq , the R (< n) from VIII g 1 belongs likewise here (p 133 Obs 29)

Asiddhatva The place also of this group (exception!), is rather arbitrary It is due to the relation to the first group of the following ac divivision (q v)

3 - Ac division 2 (VIII 2 76-107)

Gr (15) Lengthening of 1 and 11 (VIII 2 76-79)

Gr (16) Vowel and consonant-change in adas (VIII 2 80, 81)
Gr (17) Pluta lengthening and accentuation of vowels
(VIII 2 82—107)

The ac sutras one group of which (group (1)) has already come up for discussion, are continued and concluded now by groups (15)—(17)

((15)—(17)) (VIII 2 76—108) It is only natural and quite in agreement with the logical principle that the vowel sūtras should be separated from the consonant sutras. In accordance with the

i) On rayus see Wackernagel Altindische Grammat k 1 p 42, § 38 and III p 248, § 136b)β 2) On anaduh see ibid I, § 156a) § 285b)β, III, § 139a)βββ

same principle, however, one would expect the former to have been placed together at the beginning; so as (apart from a few vowel stitras) to be followed further on by the whole bulk of consonant-stitras The latter would, indeed, be fairly connected with the former by means of the transition-stitra VIII 2 108 (vowel > consonant)

The chosen order is apparently due to the asiadhatva principle, according to which (15) required to be preceded by 14 (acth) (66, 76) (and also, for instance, by group (3) (yegily ate with short 2) (20, 76))

(15) (VIII 2 76-79) — First with the ru of 14, original r (and also v) is combined on account of the same behaviour (lengthening) of preceding vowels \(\bar{a}\text{cir} > a\text{cir} > a\text{cir} > as \text{cir} > \text{gir}\)

Contents This group treats of formations in which there is so called combined sound change between Ir(ur), and Ir(ur), and analogical forms Pānini's rules come to run as follows In roots in r and v, t and u upadha are lengthened, provided r(v) stands at the end of a pada or before a consonant, in the latter case not in roots which are followed by a bha-suffix, nor in the VV kur and chur either Likewise (78) u before r+ consonant at the end of a root (hurch and the like)

Asiddhatva The asiddhatva of this group in regard to the preceding one has been indicated above

(16), (17) Group (15), which, as pointed out above, itself method ically associates itself with the last hal group, is followed by two similar groups the vowel- (and consonant) change in the pronomen adas (group (16)) and the protraction (along with a particular accentuation) of the last vowel in a sentence, and of some other vowels (group (17))

(16) (VIII 2 80, 81) Contents and mnemotechnics Group (16) gives, in a highly artificial way, the declension of adas See § 11 D 84

17 (VIII 2 82—107) The sūtras of the last vowel group (17) treat of the protraction (pluta-lengthening) of vowels in the last syllable of a sentence, and of a few others. In most cases (83—99) the pluta vowel becomes udatta, sometimes it is anudatta (100—102) or svartia (103—105). Protraction of the diphthongs at and au consists in the protraction of their last element (i, u) (106). Of final diphthongs which are not pragrhya, the first element is protracted in certain cases (83, 90, 97, 100) while (821) the following: (in) gets udatta

So far as group (16) also bears on consonants, it forms, together with the preceding group, the substitutions of which are conditioned by certain consonants a kind of concatenation between the kat and the ac division (Group (17) bears on vowels only!)

Assiddhatva Inversion of (15) and (16) (which would be possible constructively) would only disturb the natural or der since (15) had to join (14) (see above) Both (15) and (16), however, could not but precede (17) because they add new examples to the natural long vowels of VIII 2 86 (secondary astidhatva) Group (17) had therefore also astidhatvat to conclude the series

Recapitulation Formation-group I

In summarizing the results of the above inquiry, we arrive at the conclusion that as regards the First Formation group which, at first sight, looks like a conglomerate of most divergent suitras, a certain systematical composition cannot be denied

I Consonants

The plan will best be seen if the auslaut alone be considered (with which an and inlaut are combined when occasion arises)

See p 61

a Yam-consonants

- 2 First those cases are treated separately in which a final consonant that, from the beginning has stood at the end, shows another development than the one through which it passes, if it reaches the said position at a later stage
- (3 4) Inlaut With this group two kinds of inlaut processes conveniently associate themselves (groups (3) and (4)) (The remaining yam consonant group is, as a prasangulagroup placed after groups 3 Of a few separate nasals the development is accounted for by 62 68 69) (groups 13, 14))

b Fhal consonants

5 Hereafter all those jhal consonants which from the outset have stood at the end as well as (5) those which come to stand there in consequence of the simplification of final consonant groups, fall together

- (16) In the following vowel group ((16)) consonants and vowels
- (17) are changed in the last vowel group (17) vowels only mainly such as stand at the end of a sentence but also a few others

Of all these vowels (17) the accent is taught by the same sūtras

Of those which are directly afforded by I—VIII 1 it

(i) has been accounted for in group (i) (which associates itself with VIII 1)

Summary

In consequence of the above operations the state of things as compared with the one from which Pāṇini has started (p 54 seq) is the following

Y Vocalism The construction of the whole vocalism is finished. Obs. 26— I Certain svarita vowels are however still anudata 2 No final vowel has become masalized as yet 3 A samurta is still vivrta. Comp. also VIII 3.2 scga. 3.

II Consonantism For the greater part the consonants are either still in their analysis form or they have assumed a transitory form (mostly the one occurring before vowels)

Obs 27 — It deserves notice that the changes of speech sounds here as well as further on are presented as heing dependent on particular immediately following speech sounds which shows that the "processes in question are conceived as a kind of regressive assimilation (in its widest meaning)

B FORMATION GROUP II SAMDHI (VIII 2108 -4 68)

From the previous forms IIa the avasana and IIs the sam hita forms are derived

IIa Avasana forms

The speech sounds which in consequence of the substitutions in I—VIII r and in Formation group I have come to stand at the end mostly show the form they assume in pausa However I all that consonants are still mediac

2 ru of I (group 14) is still everywhere R (preceded by an oral vowel)

3 original r is still everywhere r

- 4 short, long and protracted a, s and u(apragrhya) are nowhere nasalized
- 5 a samvrta is still everywhere a vivrta

The tracing of the definitive pause forms is provided for by the following sutras

- I Tenus may be substituted for any media according to VIII 4
 56 (dhrug dhrud (2 33, 37, 39) (optionally) > dhruk, -dhrut')
 (dvus >) dvud (39) (optionally) > dvul)
- 2 and 3 Ru and r become > h according to VIII 3 15 seq (devah, antah (scaradi, I 1 37), manahsu)
- 4 VIII 4 57 admits of optional corresponding nasal
- 5 A becomes > q according to VIII 4 68

All these sutras have been inserted in IIs in places where they fit in best whether in falling together with samhita sutras (VIII 3 15, 4 68), or by way of prasanga (VIII 4 56, 57, see under IIs)

Asiddhatva The mutual arrangement of the sutras mentioned above was as far as VIII 2 I is concerned next to arbitrary, as the processes are not connected constructively The last sutra (Aa) had certainly to be preceded by VIII 4 57 (see IIsC on group 5 p 150)

IIs Samheta forms

Three groups of sutras must be distinguished (cf. p. 59) Position-group A (VIII $_2$ 108–3 54) in being governed by VIII $_3$ 16 on the whole concerns the auslaut and thus joins I. Position group B (VIII $_3$ 55–4 39) chiefly refers to the inlaut (cf. VIII $_3$ 55) whereas Position group C treats of both the internal and the external samdhi By way of preparation the forms undergo such changes in A and B as render a simple for mulating of the rules under C nossible

Obs 28 — A few samdhi rules occur already in I—VIII 1 (e.g. VI 1 73 seqq 3 114 seqq)

Position-group A (Auslant) (VIII 2 108-3 54)

Gr 1 I(u) of according to VIII 2 107 protracted c, a1 (o, au) becomes y (1) (VIII 2 108) (P 61 wrongly c(o))

Gr 2 Nasal at the end of a fadi becomes ru (VIII 3

^{*}Syncopation of dh before dh (VIII 3 13)

¹⁾ Cf Renou Grammaire I & 48b

Gr. 3 Changes of ru and r (VIII 3 14-22).

Gr 4. Changes of or after final nasals (VIII 3 23-32)

*Initial s after d becomes dhs (VIII 3 29).

*Nitāta un after may-consonant before yowel becomes

v (VIII 3 33)

Gr. 5 Development of 4 (VIII 3 34-54)

1 (VIII 2 108) Position-group IIA is opened by a stira which continues the last group of the preceding Formation-group (I (17)) by extending the process of VI 1 77 to the formations that have not been constructed until in the said group.

Contents Thus the t(u) which, from an element of a diphthong, has developed into an independent yowel according to the preceding sutra, becomes > semi-vowel.

Note According to Çākalya this y(v) is dropped afterwards (VIII 3 19)

Asiddhatva This process is apparently secondarily asiddha in regard to the processes of the preceding group.

2 (VIII 3 1-12) Group 2 is the first of a series of groups (2-5), each of which is the continuation of the preceding one or of one of the preceding ones As to the resulting form (ru), group 2 belongs to the last consonant-group of the preceding Formation group (I 14), from which, as a whole, it separates itself only in that it bears on sandhi.

Obs 29 — Two kinds of ru must be distinguished here The one constructed by VIII g 1 in the vocatives of the Vedic adjectives in matup and kvasu is, owing to its historical origin (< s preceded by an oral vowel), not preceded by an oral vowel, not preceded by an oral vowel, and the variety of c 1 in the variety c 2 in which is substituted for m or n (by 5—6 and 7—12 respectively) is a historical sibilant, which followed the nasal, or developed analogically after it

In all suras (1—12) the ru, whether etymological or analogical, is formally derived by Panini from m or n It is clear, however, that, with regard to the processes VI r 113 seq, the ru of VIII g 1, owing to its origin (see Obs 29) goes with the rus of the preceding Formation group (VIII g 66 seqq), whereas (cf tu in VIII g 2) the ru of VIII g 5—12 is either preceded by an $anun\bar{a}sika$ (VIII g 3) or by either an $anusv\bar{a}ra$ or an

Obs 32. — In the vocatives of the adjectives in mat and tat n(num) has been infixed according to VII 1 70, it is thus n that becomes > ru by VIII 3 1

Astiddhatva As the processes have nothing in common, group 2 is constructively not connected with group 1 Both groups had, however, to precede group 3 (see below) Group 3 being the logical continuation of group 2, the chosen order is the most natural one

Obs 33 — By the suddhatva of the last group of Formation group I in regard to the present one, substitutions according to VIII β 3 and 9 likewise after fluta vowels which do not stand at the end of a verse, are excluded!

Obs 34 — It deserves notice that in ar (VIII 30) h is likewise comprehended (which is overlooked by Bohtlingk) Thus partink hatam (RV 1, 184, 2) like dasytink yonau (RV 1, 63, 4, cf Macdonell, Ved Gr, p 62)

3 (VIII 3 14-22) Partly in combination with r, ru is variously changed now As the last substitution of ru has taken place in group 2, group 3 is rightly placed immediately after this group

Contents (1. Ru > u, VI 1 113 seq (exception to 4'), see \$ 11 C)

SIIC)

2. Ru (cf 9) (and likewise r) > zero (VIII 3 14) 3 Ru (and, except before su, also r) > h (VIII 3 15, 16)

4 Ru > y or (like other y, and v >) zero (VIII 3 17-22)

Assidhatra Besides in regard to the preceding group, group 3 is secondarily assidha in regard to group 1, as the y also of this group is changed in VIII 3 17 (4g/nd 3 ity TS 6, 5, 8, 4) The most natural order of these three groups is, therefore, the existing one

4 (VIII 323-32) To the anusyaras which have likewise been constructed in group 2 (VIII 34) those which arise from final m before consonants, are added now (23-27)

With these processes a few sutras according to which by continued articulation a paragogical occlusive develops after a final nasal, conveniently associate themselves (28-42)

Obs 35 — With a view to further substitutions the secon dary consonant is sometimes presented as an augment to the following speech sound So also in the case of genination of final n n and n after a short vowel In this way, for instance, the stem n of kurvan(n)aste (32) is prevented from being cerebralized according to VIII 4 2 (cf. VIII 4 37)

Obs 32. — In the vocatives of the adjectives in mat and vat n(num) has been infixed according to VII 1 70, it is this n that becomes > ru by VIII 3 1.

Assiddhatva As the processes have nothing in common, group 2 is constructively not connected with group 1 Both groups had, however, to precede group 3 (see below) Group 3 being the logical continuation of group 2, the chosen order is the most natural one

Obs 33 — By the siddhatva of the last group of Formation-group I in regard to the present one, substitutions according to VIII 3 3 and 9 likewise after pluta vowels which do not stand at the end of a verse, are excluded!

Obs 34 — It deserves notice that in at (VIII 39) h is likewise comprehended (which is overlooked by Bôht-lingk) Thus panink hatam (RV 1, 184, 2) like dasylink yonau (RV, 1, 63, 4, cf Macdonell, Ved, Gr., p 62)

3 (VIII 3 14-22) Partly in combination with r, ru is variously changed now. As the last substitution of ru has taken place in

group 2, group 3 is rightly placed immediately after this group Contents. (1. Ru > u, VI 1 113 seq (exception to 4'), see \$ 11 C.)

2. Ru (cf 9) (and likewise r) > zero (VIII 3 14)

3 Ru (and, except before su, also r) > k (VIII 3 15, 16)

4 Rn > y or (like other y, and v >) zero (VIII 3 15, 10)

Asiddhatva Besides in regard to the preceding group, group 3 is secondarily asiddha in regard to group 1, as the y also of this group is changed in VIII 3 17 (Igna) ity TS 6, 5, 8,4) The most natural order of these three groups is, therefore, the existing one

4 (VIII 323-32) To the anusvāras which have likewise been constructed in group 2 (VIII 34) those which arise from final m before consonants, are added now (23-27)

With these processes a few stitras according to which by continued articulation a paragogical occlusive develops after a final nasal, conveniently associate themselves (28-32)

Obs 35.— With a view to further substitutions the secondary consonant is sometimes presented as an augment to the following speech-sound So also in the case of genination of final n, n and n after a short vowel. In this way, for instance, the stem n of $kurvan(n) \tilde{a}_s tr$ (32) is prevented from being cerebralized according to VIII 4 2 (cf. VIII 4 37)

If dh (29) were not tit, * ddh s would become > * ddh s (by VIII \neq 41) > *-tt s (55) (cf VIII \neq 42)

The substitute of group 2 (5-12) is a ru preceded by a nasal sound As regards the ru, this group belongs to the large ru group VIII 2 66-3 12, with the exception of the ac groups), with regard to the nasal it joins the nasal group 4 With a view to the latter circumstance 4 should have followed immediately on 2. In this case, however, the treatment of the ru, which is continued in group 3, would have been interrupted Practically only one of the two advantages could be gained.

Contents and mnemotechnics According to the main rule, final m before consonant becomes > m Anuvrti of halt in 23 from 22 Exception samrat (by the side of Ved samrajium')) Restrictions according to 26. 27

In inlant position m < m or n before jhal consonant

Asiddhasva The processes of the two preceding groups (substitutions of, and for ru) belong together Group 4 had to follow on 2-3 e g on account of the asiddhasta of VIII 3 30 in regard to 7 (bhavan (tsaye), VIII 3 30, 4 55, without substitution according to VIII 3 7 (ef 2.4))

5 (VIII 3 34-54) Hereafter the substitution of h for ru, which has taken place in too large a measure in group 3 is confined to the position before voiceless consonant + sibilant (VIII 3 35) and, optionally, to a few other positions In most other cases s is substituted, se restored

Obs 36 — All these rules — and so likewise VIII 3 10 seqq (in B), part of which have wrongly been put under VIII 3 55 (57) — prepare for the general samphirules VIII 4 40 seqq, the new dentals figure as sthammah, the cerebrals as conditions (Part of the substitutes (of group 5) will become conditions even in the next group)

The processes of this group continue substitutions of group 3 (ru > h, VIII 3 15 16) By conversion of 5 and 4, the distance between 4 and 2 (see under group 4) would, however, have become greater still The anutriti of halt (22 23), moreover, would have been impossible

Contents and mnemotechnics

I Principal rule VIII 3 34) h [khari, cf 15] > s
2. Negative rule (35) h [khari] çarpare remains

^{&#}x27;) AJr on VIII 3 25

3 Optional rules a) (36) h gars > s or remains, b) (37) h hupvoh > h (\bar{h}) or remains

Sutras 38-54 (with alternating anuvriti of sah and sah) are restrictions on 3b) (hiptoh (37), therefore, remains valid) Sutras 8-48 hold for classical Sanskirt According to these sutras h is in the given position regularly replaced by s (according to t), but by s in cases (39, 41, 43-45) in which s after s and u would not or would not universally become > s by 59 seqq. The h may remain in the positions described in 42-44. This is, on the whole, also the case in Vedic (49-54) Before particular words (50), and sometimes also in case endings (51, 53, being itself restricted by 52 (54)) s is imperative

Obs 37 — Before kip, s (after 1 and 11 s) appears at the end

- a) of stem-padas (see the suffixes of III 1 9, V 3 47, 67, 70) according to VIII 3 38, 39 (cf I 4 17)
- b) of word padas in the following cases
- I according to 40-43 (indeclinables) (42, 43 also h),
- 2 according to 44-48 (44 optionally, 45-48 (compounds) exclusively)
- (3) In Vedic formations s is substituted according to 49-54
 (49, 54 optionally, 52 predominantly, 50, 51, 53 exclusively)

Anartic of visarjani) asya from 34 in 35-37(-54), of visarjaniyah from 35 in 36, 37 (and, "by frog leap", in 42-44, 49, 52, 54)

Asiddhatva Being a continuation of group 3 group 5 had to follow on it On the relation to 4, see above

(VIII 3 13, 29 33) Prasangika sūtras

VIII 3 13 (syncope of medial dh before dh) precedes the analogous sutra 14 (anuvriti of lopal) Likewise VIII 3 29 (protenses of dh before a after final dp precedes sutra 30 (with anuvriti of si dhut) Finally VIII 3 33 (si optionally > v) which, being the third transition u > v, could have followed immediately on VIII 2 108, is placed after 32, considering (the anuvriti of aci (32) as well as) the necessity of may in 33, from which pratyahara the nam nasals had to be excluded (after these nasals u ceases to exist and becomes > nu, nu, nu according to 32)

Note According to 33 Kim u ukiam or kim v ukiam (u is pragrhya, I i 14), not kim v ukiam (33 asiddha in regard to 23 on which it had, therefore, to follow)

Position-group B (Inlant) (VIII 3 55-4 39

Gr I Cerebralization of s (VIII 3 55—119)
*Idem of dh (VIII 3 78, 79)

Gr 2 Idem of n (VIII 4 1-39)

The changes under A (partly definitive) were mainly substitutions for speech sounds, chiefly s and n, at the end of a pada Before the formulation of the general laws of C is embraced, first medial s and n, and likewise dh are adapted to this by VIII $_{3}$ 55 $_{-4}$ 39. The position at the end is excluded by VIII $_{3}$ 55 and $_{4}$ 37

1 (VIII 3 55-119) (Although most sutras of this group refer to initial s, this group, with a view to the chief sutra (VIII 3 59, 6 111), has been put under the head Inlault' here) Now that the syncope of medial s has been treated in VIII 2 25 seqq (cf also VI 4 35 and the like), and the change of final s — as far as it has not been dropped by III 4 98 seq, VI 1 68 etc — to s has been effected in the preceding Position group (A 5) all such changes of medial (final and initial) s to s as are not comprised under the general cerebralization law (C 1a), are accounted for in group B 1

Contents and mnemotechnics It should be noted first that, whereas the s of sak (sad, sat) becomes > s after any speech sound (by 56) in all other cases cerebralization takes place only when the requirements of 55, 57, 58 (63, 64) are met These cases are carefully subdivided

1 (59-62) Cerebralization of s at the beginning of a root, of suffixal s and of final s of some roots according to 59 and 60 respectively, with restrictions (on 59) for the position behind reduplication syllables with s and w (61, 62)

Obs 38 — Apart from a few exceptions 1) of the roots referred to in VI t 64 those with initial s followed by a vowel or a dental are, together with a few others, quoted with s in the DhP According to 64 s is restored, for which, to some extent (VIII 3 59, first part, read with III) s is substituted — On the reason for applying this method, which practically comes to this that only those roots with initial s shall conform to VIII t 59 (first part) which under particular conditions become > s in samdhi see Liebich 21

¹⁾ MBh , VI s 64 on Vartt r, Vol III p 43, 1 9 seq

²⁾ Liebich Zur Einführung III, § 15

- 2 (63—100, partly further restrictions) Cerebralization of s in abhyasas (64) at the beginning in finite verbs after upasarga and in corresponding nominal derivatives and in samatas (nominal compounds) With egression group 78,79 (cerebralization of dh)
- 3 (101, 102) Cerebralization of final s (in spite of VIII 3 55)
 4 (103-109) Vedic rules Sutras 103 and 104 (auslaut')
 link 4 with 3 (concatenation)
- 5 (110 end of the pada) Negative rules (119 optional and only Vedic)

Prasanga

*(VIII 3 78, 79) The change of dh > dh, which is quite similar to that of s > s, has been inserted (78, 79)

Obs 39 — In formations in which s is not taught by any of Pānini's sutras it is directly introduced as s (thus for instance. DhP I 643 V bhās (cf. lit. balsas))

Obs 40 — In conceiving suffixal s as s at the beginning of a suffix only, Bohtlingk contradicts himself by explaining forms like arpfinis by VIII 358 (59) The example however, is obviously right (only it should be noted that num in 58 also means = anuscara, because n has become > m before by VIII 3 24) Under pratigapyoh (59) indeed, any s that forms part of a suffix is comprised Thus in this way only the cerebralization of s in such forms as haits (suffix isi, UnS II 108) jajuse (suffix isi, UnS II 117) and the like, i e of the s in the stem suffix of the is- and is-stems in the casus in which s does not stand at the end, may be accounted for In spite of the circumstance that is and us are Unnads suffixes the cere brailization of this s can only be explained by to

Assiddhatva in regard to sutras of the preceding group is very frequent. Thus VIII 3 59 (3 tot) is primarily (secondarily) assidiha in regard to 16 (su') (34 ("sarpsitara > sarpsitara (> sarpsitara, 4 41, 42 has (or ")))

The inserted group (78, 79) required to be placed after 59 (cosidhiam > cosidhiam)

2 (VIII $_{\pi}$ t=39) Now that the apocope of final n has been treated in Iz the transition to rn in the same position in IIs Az and that of medial n to anusiāra etc (cf VIII $_{\pi}$ 58) has been dealt with by VIII $_{\pi}$ 224, all such changes of medial resp initial n, and once (20) also final n as are not comprehended in the

general cerebralization-law (Cia) are accounted for in group B2, sūtras VIII 4 1-30

Contents and mnemotechnics Subdivision.

- I (I(4)—I4). The r(s) which causes the cerebralization, occurs in the nomen (simplex or compositum) which contains the n
- 2 (14-25) Cerebralization of n in verba finita after on upasarga and in corresponding nominal derivations, likewise in the prefix ni
- 3 (26-28) Vedic rules
- 4 (29-35) After upasarga in krt suffixes and in some roots (With upasargād (from 28) as a concatenation)
- 5 (35-39) Negative rules (combined with the preceding group by na (taken from 34, being a restriction on 29)

Obs 41 — In formations in which n is not taught by any of Pānini's sūtras, it is from the first introduced as n (thus, for instance, GP 16, 3 gana ($\langle grna, cf dyelpa \rangle$) Cf Obs 30

As 1ddhatva The s which is one of the conditions for the substitutions, is partly due to substitutions of the preceding group, which is, therefore, followed by the present one on account of secondary as 1dhatva (of 2) Examples pari sunot: (VIII 3 65, 4 2), nskena (ni + V sad + kan (regarded as di, UnS III 45), VIII 3 66, 4 2, a and d of the root are elided, VI 4 143)

Position group C (General Samdhi) (VIII 4 40-68)

- Gr 1a Assimilation (of sthana) (VIII 4 40-44)
- Gr 1b. Idem (media becomes > homogeneous nasal) (VIII
 - Gr 2 Gemination (VIII 4 46-52)
 - Gr 1c. Assimilation (of bahya-prayatna) (VIII 4 53-55)

¹⁾ Cf Liebich, loc cit, § 14

*Devoicing of final consonants in pausa (VIII 4 56)
*Nasalization of final vowels in pausa (VIII 4 57)

Gr id Assimilation (of sthana and abhyantara prayatna) (VIII 4 58-62)

Gr 1e Idem (of abhyantara prayatna) (VIII 4 63)

Gr 3 Syncopation of consonants before homogeneous consonants (VIII 4 64 65)

Gr 4 Svaritating of anudatta vowels after udatta (VIII 4 66, 67)

Gr 5 A vivrta becomes > a samvrta (VIII 4 68)

Unless it appear otherwise from the context, for instance from VIII $_4$ 41, $_4$ 29, 45, 55 (56) 58 (59) the following rules, mainly bearing on assimilation, gemination and syncope, apply to any position of the words in their present condition

As in the first Formation group, 1 a hal and 2 an ac division must be distinguished

1 Hal division (VIII 4 40-65, Groups 1-3)

1a (VIII $_4$ 40—44) Assimilation of sthana In paradigms in which palatals alternate with cerebrals or velars, these last consonants have been restored (mainly in I (7)) wherever the palatal had been generalized by Panini (in the analysis) On the other hand, new palatals have been added according to stitras such as VII $_4$ 62, and the like Those palatals, however, which had been normalized to dentals by Panini (in the analysis) had hitherto preserved their methodical form Restoration also of these palatals, in all positions at a time, takes place according to 40 $_5$ 16 $_7$ cuma $_6$ cum (frestricted by 4 $_8$)

In the same way, the preparatory operations being performed in B, the cerebrals that were still lacking could now be accounted for

The assimilations are progressive as well as regressive rajnah, vrksaç (cete), prsta, agnicia (dhaukate, V dhauk, I, 98 cf VIII 4 53)

Obs 43 — Direct assimilation resprequa does not occur in the Astadhyayi In all cases of juxtapositional assimilation one of speech sounds is changed first, and afterwards the other is assimilated to it (prch + ta > prcta(VI 4 19) > prsta(VII 2 30) > prsta(4 41)) Dissimilation is sometimes met with eg in VII 4 49 (iss > ts)

Obs. 44 — In forms like sarpsis, pajusis the second s is not first cerebralized (according to VIII 3 58 (59)) and, subsequently, the first by the second (according to 44) (43 refers to the tw varga only 1), but both s's become > s according to 2 50 (cf Obs. 40).

Contents and mnemotechnics. Two processes, palatalization and cerebralization, which logically belong together, the skidaninah of both of them being the same (dental'), are closely interwoven here Sutra 44 (which, as regards the subject-matter, should follow 40) being put after 43, not only na (42) became valid in 43 and 44 (the three negative rules, of p. 22), but likewise the two chief rules (40 and 41) could come together.

Obs 45 — Thus the one process-group (principal rule 41 S-tu> s-tu, with 42 and 43 as restrictions) is inserted in the other (principal rule 40 S-tu> s-tu, with 44 as a restriction), in the same way as the (whole) group I (3) in the whole group I2, and as I (6) in I 5 (pp. 115 and 116 respectively)

Assidhatva. One of the speech-sounds which cause cerebralization of dentals, is the n which has been constructed in the preceding group. Besides from methodical motives (C after the preparatory group E) C1 had, therefore, to be placed after B2 on account of its secondary asiddhatva as well

Obs 46 — As e g, also the s < h (from position-group A) belongs to the dentals that are changed, and also the s from position-group B to those which cause change, these two position-groups had to precede The latter relation is of importance in that this relation, too, explains the order $B2 \cdot C1$. For since $B2 \cdot B2$ had to follow $B1 \cdot nn$ group B (see above) and, as appears from exta (VIII 3 60) and the like, $B1 \cdot nn$ do to precede C1, $B2 \cdot nn$ do to be placed between $B1 \cdot nn$ dC1, $Ln \cdot Ln$ that do to be followed by $C1 \cdot nn$

1b (VIII 4 45) Assimilation: oral consonant > homorganic nasal While by VIII 4 42 medial d before participal na becomes n, those cases are described now in which a final media changes into the corresponding nasal by regressive assimilation. In the present state of development this will be the case wherever a media has come to stand at the end of a pada (by VIII 2 30) before a word that begins with a nasal (Cualin nayati, h > dh (VIII 2 31)>d (33)>n (before n)) — For the auddhatva see p 95.

2 (VIII 4 46-52) The only consonants which are still absent, are the long ones to which under certain circumstances short consonants are lengthened.

Obs. 47 — As a long sibilant does not occur between r and a vowel, and both VIII 4 46 and 65 are optional, 49 had to be inserted as an imperative restriction on 46 (catursu, not also *catursu)

Obs 48 — As, judging from the spelling in the MSS, we must assume that, in contrast with the treatment of etymologically double consonants (which before and after consonant had been shortened to and written as single consonants, even in an early period) a long consonant was pronounced in the present case!), it deserves notice that Pānini makes the present lengthening optional See further group 3

Contents Any consonant after post-vocalic r or h, or between a vowel and a consonant may be lengthened (46, 47) There is no lengthening

- I of h,
- 2 of ante-vocalic sibilant after r.
- 3 of the t in putra in putrādin, when used as an abusive term (Putrādinī (tvam asī, papē)),
- 4 after a long vowel Further.
- 5. (according to Çakatāyana) in groups of more than two consonants

Note According to Çākalya there is no lengthening at all

Assiddhatva In evalud nayatt d|n| < dh/n (VIII 2 39) < h|n (VIII 2 31)) > n|n (VIII 4 45), and this may become > nn (47) If group 2 preceded 1b, dn would (optionally) become > ddn, which might yet become > dm by 45, but this could not change to nnn any more, because d would have ceased to stand at the end (observe fadants in 45, from 42), cf vedm?

1c (VIII 4 53-55). The whole consonantism has been framed now, so that there remain only some distinctions of prayatina, and, in certain cases (group 1d), also of sthana, and some optional phenonema of syncope to be accounted for in the following groups

Group 1 c concerns the assimilation (and dissimilation) of bahya-prayatna (cf p 62) According to the well known rules,

f) Cf Wackernagel, Altend sche Grammatik, I, § 98

any (jhal-) consonant before a trilya or caturtha of any varga becomes > media, hence aspirates are deaspirated, voiceless consonants become voiced, in reduplication-syllables only the aspiration ist lost, before tenus, tenus aspirata and sibilant also the stem. (Apart from a few exceptions (VIII 3 28, 31) any final jhal-consonant had become a media (according to VIII 2 39)

Contents and mnemotechnics The composition of this group, including the connexion with the following präsangika-sutra, represents a technical masterpiece The sutras (read with I I 50) run as follows

- 53 Thalam jag jhaqi. Muta and sibilant before voiced explosive > media (See Obs 49)
- 54 Abhyāse car ca Media aspirata and tenuis aspirata reduplicate
 as media and tenuis respectively, the other explosives and
 the spirants as such
- 55 Khari ca Muta before voiceless consonant > tenuis, spirant remains

Obs 49 — Although h is practically excluded in 53 (cf VIII 3 31 seqq) yet sutra 53 has not jharām (instead of jhalam) on account of 54

We observe

in 54 anuvrth of jhalam and anukarsa (see Obs below) of jac, in 55 likewise anuvrth of jhalām (from 53/54), and also of car (from 54)

Hereupon follows as a prāsangika sūtra

56 Vavasāne (In pausa both media and tenuis are allowed at the end of a pada) with anuvrti of jhalam (53/55), and car (54/55) and with anukarsa of jac from 53/54 (in skipping 55)

Obs 50 — In virtue of (car)ca in 54, jag becomes valid from 53 Such an anuvriti which is effected by means of a relative word (here ca) is conceived as anukarsa In this way jag is likewise read into 56 by (car)vā (>jag car va) Comp VIII 3 37 with anukarsa (by ca vā, see p 89) of visarjanīyah (from 35) (but with anuvriti of visarjanīyasa (from 34—36))

*(VIII 4 56, 57) Sūtra 56, which in consequence of the chosen order has been separated from 53, which it would have conveniently joined, is placed before the prāsangska sūtra 57 now so as to render the anuvrits of vāvasane in this sūtra possible

By sura 57 other anunāsikas are added to those of II A (The reverse is seen in the two following suras with reference to the anuscaras, which had likewise been constructed in II A (group 4))

Asiddhatva As far as the final result is concerned, group 10 might follow as well as precede group 2 In the first - given - case krsna + rdh + ti > krsnardhdhi (VIII 2 40) (optionally) > dhdhdh (4 46) > -dddh- (53) (optionally > -ddh-, 65) is constructed, in the other -dhdh > -ddh > dddh > ddh 1) Yet there are cases in which, by conversion of ic and 2, the application of paribh CXVII 2) according to which - despite of VIII 2 1-a Tripādī sūtra is not asiddha in regard to (preceding) gem ination-sutras, would theoretically lead to wrong consequences If the aspirate not standing at the end of a pada (451) is followed by a nasal, as in badhnami, dhn optionally becomes > dhdhn (47) by the given order, and this will become > ddhn (52) whereas the inverted order would admit of gemination first (> dhdhn), but would exclude the deaspiration of the first dh (in consequence of the then existing asiddhatva of the gemination in regard to the said simplification) Consequently group 1 c had to follow group 2

1d (VIII 4 58-62) Assimilation of sthana and abhyantaraprayata The processes of this group bear on changes of speech sounds to others which are homogeneous (axiarna) with the following or preceding sound, 1.c. (cf I 1 9 10) which agree with them as regards the manner as well as the place of articulation in the cavity of the mouth.

Contents and mnemotechnics According to the first two sutras, part of the anusvāras which have been constructed in too large a measure by VIII 35-12, 23, 24, are replaced by other consonants The two principal sutras VIII 458 and 59, account for the partial assimilation of m to a following jar-(as far as VIII 324 is concerned jhay-)consonant, which in the inlaut, takes place imperatively, and, in the auslaut, optionally (hanti, but sandhi along with sandhi')

Obs 51 — The anusvara in forms like *kurtumiti. *karsamis (VIII 3 24) has served to avoid cerebrilishi ill according to VIII 4 2 (pūrva-vipratisedha')

¹⁾ Cf Phf on parish CXVII 2) Told Purretranddhram adiring

Obs 52 — As appears from I 1 8, an anunāsska is a nasalized speech-sound, whether vowel or consonant. The meaning of anusvāra might be inferred from the sūtras in question. 1)

The substitutes by which the amusvāra is replaced by VIII 4 58 seqq, are very dissimilar. All of them, however, are consonants, and we may, therefore, assume that the amusvāra itself is also a consonant (A preceding short vowel is indeed, made long by position)

N or m (cf VIII 3 4-12, 23, 24) preceded by an oral vowel (cf VIII 3 4) underlies this consonant

The anusvāra finally results

I (medially as well as finally, VIII 4 58, 59) before consonants which do not belong to the pratyāhāra yay, to before c. s. s. h. h. h. and h.

2 ((optionally) finally only, VIII 4 50) before a yay-con sonant, t e before semi-vowels, nasals and mutes

All these positions have in common that an oral vowel precedes, while a consonant, as a rule a spirant, follows. We may, therefore, assume that after this vowel, and when an open consonant follows, the closure which is required for the articulation of the n(m), is obtained in a dissatisfactory way (comp the numerous phenonema of this kind in the language of the uneducated)

The place of the narrowing, thus caused, varies according to the place of articulation of the following consonant The fact, however, that a "spirantical to vowel-like n(m)" is produced in all these cases, is sufficient to conceive all these kindred nasals as one sound, and to designate them as such flust as, for instance, the k-sounds before palatal and velar vowels respectively) (Only final # before I acquires a colour of its own (= nasalized /, see below)) Medially before an occlusive - yay, which is employed in 58 with a view to 59 must "by implied restriction" be understood as that, because medial n and m before semivowels and nasals remain unchanged (VIII 3 24) - this more or less "careless' articulation is little likely to occur-While conforming to it by partial assimilation of place, the closure required for the n(m) is, on the contrary, secured by the one for the following occlusive This is apparently always the case in Panini's dialect, and thus

¹⁾ Comp also Suryakanta Shastri, Rhintram, VI, Notes, p 4 seq and p 13 seq

CHAP. VI. SYSTEMATICAL UPSUILDING OF THE TRIPADI 147

nassIs are the result which are homogeneous with the following consonant.

In external samilés the consonants are less closely connected. This also holds good for the position before a nasal and, a fertien, for the position before semi-vowels: the awardra is optional here.

The above supposition as to the articulation of the articulation is, on the whole, confirmed by what is said about it in the praincakhes (which, for the rest, admit the articular to a less extent than Pānini does).

It remains to be noted that also before this 'spirantical nasal", the last part of the preceding vowel will be attended with nasalization, however, is left out of account before any nasal by Pānini Only when the whole vowel is nasalized, he speaks of attarāsīk (see above). This is never followed by a nasal consonant, the nasalization has been brought about at the cot of it.

N before l, in which position it had remained intact, also in Vedic (VIII 3 9 has at) up to now, is, likewise by partial assimilation, changed to masalized l ($\hat{m}l$ or ml) by VIII $_l$ 60. further, dental mute before l becomes > l (l/at $a\hat{n}l$ (or -ml) limits, lal-lara).

Stiras 61-63 formulate changes at the beginning of a fals. As a counter-part to VIII 2 23, VIII 4 61 (combined with 63) gives the simplification of consonant-groups at the beginning, its of sth and st (after ud (ut 1)) in stha and stambh respectively.

Obs. 53. — Thus Panini describes this aphaeresis as a change of s to a speech-sound that is homogeneous with the preceding one, s c (cf VIII 4 53) to t, with subsequent syncope of this t according to 65

According to VIII 4 62 initial h optionally becomes > media aspirata that corresponds with the preceding media

Para-satarna is valid in 58-60, anutetti of fire asya [satarnah] in 62 (taken from 61)

Asiddhaiva. This group cannot be connected constructively with the preceding fraingtha-group (pauseforms). Nor needs it necessarily to be placed after 1c, which bears on bahya-frayatma-distinctions only Like the latter group, the present group 1d, had, however, to follow on group 2. Otherwise lantram might yet become >lanntram (58, 47)

1e (VIII 4 63) Assimilation of abhyantara-fragatra The process

of this sutra represents the only case of optional progressive (metaleptical) assimilation of manner of articulation in the cavity of the mouth

Contents and mnemotechnics Initial c followed by a vowel, y, v or r after any tenus may become > ch

Thayah which, in virtue of 55 (ε -1) can only mean cayah here, as well as anyatarasyam, are valid from 62

Astddhatva The place of the sutra is sufficiently explained by the said anuvriti Constructively there exists no connexion with the preceding group

3 (VIII 4 64, 65) Syncope of consonants The substitutions of this group first of all concern such formations as, for instance, Adityya (with nya, IV 1 85), in which, in consequence of the syncope according to VI 4 148, two identical consonants have come to follow one another With them those forms are combined which contain consonants that have been lengthened in group 2

Contents and mnemotechnics The etymologically double consonants, which were probably pronounced as single ones (see Obs 48), may be simplified, if they are preceded by a consonant Under the same conditions lengthened consonants (group 2) may be shortened again Postconsonantal mutes and sibilants may, further, be elided, if a homogeneous consonant follows (65) (Not so the yam-consonants! Cf the yathā samkhya sūtra 1 3 10)

Obs 54 — The shortening had to be described as optional, as the lengthening (group 2) was likewise optional

Obs 55 — Simplification of double consonants after vowels is not very frequent (e g VII 450 asi) The (post-vocalic!) "etymological" ceh < teh (VI 773) remains likewise

The two sutras are mutually, as well as with the preceding group connected by anyatarasyām, mutually also by lopak

Asiddhatva Group 3 must also on account of its secondary asiddhatva follow on the savarna-group (1d), as the required homogeneity is partly based on the substitutions of this group (Thus sinddhi (cf VI 4 101) had to pass through 58 before it could conform to 65, utithātum through 61, all those formations in which h follows on a consonant-group, through 62 (type amārd, cf VIII 2 24, 39), etc)

2 Ac-division (VIII 4 66-68, Groups 4, 5)

4 (VIII 4 66, 67) The last change of bahya prayatna concerns the accent of anudatta syllables which are preceded by an udatta Contents Such syllables take a svarita, provided no udatta

Contents Such syllables take a svarita, provided no udatta or svarita follows

Assiddhatva The accent not being dealt with in Formation-group II, the sutras of group 4 cannot be anddha in regard to any process of this group. On account of their general scope, if 68 is really an interpolation, sutras 67 and 68 rightly stand at the end, t e in the same place that is occupied by the previous accent group in Formation-group I The accents of the latter group being postulated with reference to the substitutions of the present group, group IIs C 4 is secondarily asiddha in regard to I (τ)

5 (VIII 4 68) According to the last ac sutra (Aa), being at the same time the last process of the whole development, a vu.rta, which throughout the book has been regarded as being homogeneous with a, is replaced by a sampria

Obs 56 — If it be rightly assumed (MBh, Varit 3, of Vay Prat I 72) that both times the short vowel is meant, the peculiar form of the sitra remains after all somewhat suspicious Not only would Panini have departed from his usual way of expressing himself according to which one would have expected Atah instead of the first A (I 170, cf IV 183) and the like), and at, at best ah, instead of the second a (cf VII 2 102 and the like) and, therefore, Ato 't (cf VII 186) (or possibly Ato 'A) for the whole sitra, it would, moreover, be the only case in which Panini would have employed a mark (that for the second a) for a sound value different from the one which in the same sutra would be indicated by the same mark, and which is not accounted for anywhere (the CS knows a virta only)

Moreover, the mangala word udaya (67) should this really be meant as such would not stand at the end of the work Arista'l), which does not stand in the middle either, can hardly be alleged as an argument. In view of the twofold concession made by Panin with respect of the putting of rrddh at the very beginning (cf p 17) one would expect udaya at the very end

¹⁾ Liebich, honkordant, p 4

Although both the Vaj Prat. (I 72) and the Ath Pr (I 35) quote this sura, under these circumstances, and on the grounds pointed out by Sköld, it would seem more plausible to assume it to be an interpolation. It remains only to be added, which indeed, Skold does, that in order to make the work conclude with a word of a faustal character, it must at the same time be assumed that the proper-names of 67, too, are due to interpolation. All the arguments which Liebich adduces against Skold are taken from the MBh. This is, however, exactly what Skold disputes!

Assiddhatva Whether interpolated or not, the sutra stands in the right place. Not only is it asiddha in regard to all sutras in which short a (univita) plays a part (e.g. VIII 4 57, but also 63 (att) and the like), on which it, consequently, had to follow, it could, theoretically speaking, not even change places with the immediately preceding stitra. If it had preceded the last accent stitras (66, 67), the udātta (svarita, anudatta) of these stitras would not have comprised also the $\varrho(q,q)$, as udatta etc are awarded to the vowels of ac, to which ϱ (sanurta), being not homogeneous with any of the vowels of the CS, does not belong

From the fact that the two accent sutras precede, the a (vivita) of I z 20 seqq is still referred to in them as to whether anudatta or svarita accent is required or not By 68 another vowel is put under the accent that had regularly been ascribed to a (vivita) according to 66, 67

This is the last restoration. The progressions are concluded

٠.

Recapitulation Position group II

IIa Avasāna-forms

The pause forms are constructed by means of operations which are constantly joined to those which bear on samdhi, either by being attached to them or by being comprehended in rules which hold good for both positions (see p 132, IIa)

¹⁾ Skold, Papers, p 8

IIs Samhitā forms

According to the position of the speech sounds which undergo changes, three groups of substitutions (A,B,C) could be distinguished, which on the whole bear on the auslaut, the inlaut and on any position in the pada respectively. In the preparatory groups A and B the final and medial consonants undergo such changes as to adapt them to conform to the general sampth rules of group C (VIII A 40-end of the pada)

Obs 57 — Among the sutras of A the important in laut process VIII 3 24 occurs owing to its belonging together with 23. The substitutions according to VIII 3 29 30, 32 (33) fit in well with this group (A, external samdhi) and, moreover, associate themselves with 28 and 31 (continued articulation)

To B such auslaut- and aniautprocesses are added (VIII $_J$ 101 seqq. 105 seqq and passim) as do not conform to the general samdin rule VIII $_J$ 41 (Position-group C) As they concern changes of $_J$ which are entirely equal to the preceding ones ($_J$) and partly also dependent on the same conditions they are best suited to $_J$

Certain surray of C refer to a particular position (VIII ϕ

It is by means of the operations of this Position group IIs C applied to "dialect I (VIII 2 1)—VIII 4 39 that finally, the definitive consonantism and ocalism are brought about in the same order as the one in which, apart from I1 they have been treated in the preparatory Formation-group I

IL HIGHER GROUPS

§ 15

Under I (\$14) it has been shown that the existing arrangement of the thematical sub-groups in the main-groups is a logical and at the same time (in view of VIII 2 1) a possible one

It has been observed there that the spreading of these sub-groups over two large Formation groups, and the division of the second Formation group into three Position-groups meets rational me thodical requirements Likewise that both Formation groups open and conclude with lowel-stiras whereby the contiguous middle ac groups (VIII 2 76-108) form a concatenation, in which further, the first and the last stiras bearing on the lengthening of certain

vowels before consonants, and the change of vowel to consonant respectively, naturally associate themselves with the first (second) hal-group

Obs. 58. — In the second hal-group sūtras VIII 3 33 and 4 57 (vowel-sutras) appeared to be egressions

It is clear that in this broader connexion, too, should it lay claim to the name of system, the asiddhatva principle has to be kept in view by the side of the logical (and mnemotechnical) one

Now, it is clear that head-group II as a samhitā-group, the substitutions of which concern the abstract formations of I, must follow this group (secondary assiddhativa)

Example — Thus *kaR āste (VIII 2 66, head group I, > ka āste (VIII 3 17, 19, head group II) (> kq āste) VIII 4 68).

Obs 50 — If the order were reversed, nearly all processes would lead to wrong results. In bhavāni ţett, for instance (1—VIII 1), -nt/r would become >-nt/r (VIII 4) or-nt/ek-, 4 63) > nt/r (or-nt/ek-, 4 63) > nt/r (or nt/ek) (VIII 2 23) [> k/s(ck) (30)) and sutra VIII 3 31 would not come into account at all Svaritating of anudātta-vowels after udātta constructed according to VIII 2 5 seqq, 82 seqq would be excluded And so on.

The same holds good for the arrangement of the three Position-groups in Formation-group II.

Not only, indeed, as preparatory groups, but also on account of the fact that part of the processes of group C is asiddha in regard to part of the processes of groups A and B, these groups (A and (B) had to precede group (A)

One would hardly a priori expect groups A and B, treat as they do of the aus- and inlant respectively, to be connected constructively. The reverse is the case, which requires A to be followed by B.

Examples — The connexions alluded to occur I^o . in cases where substitutions in B depend on a speech-sound

that precedes the $sth\bar{o}nin$, z^2 , in the case of auslaut-processes (in B). Manakin without change of s to (s in spite VIII 3 59') because R at the end of a fada (VIII 2 66) has become h by VIII 3 15, 16 2 "Sarpitians" (VIII 3 10) in th s < s (VIII 3 10) is secondary audidata h

That the three groups had to follow each other in the given order is, further, proved by several continued processes.

Example — SarpiRtara (see above, I 4 17, V 3 57, VIII 2 66) > sarpiRtara > sarpiRtara (VIII 3 15, 34, Position group 4) > sarpiRtara (VIII 3 101 (as an extension of 3 55), Position-group E) > sarpiRtara (VIII 4 41, Position group C) (VIII 4 42 refers to final cerebral explosives only).

That primary asiddhatia is not excluded here, appears, for instance, from the relation between VIII 3 101 and 4 41 (*sarpitara with $s \ (< s)$ before supposed dental $t \ (VIII 3 \ tot)$)

CONCLUSION

A bungler, Goldstucker thinks, Panini was not *If", he says'), 'he had bungled along, as he must appear to have done, had he been a contemporary of Katy ayana -not he, but the author of the I'aritikas would have been the inspired Ru and the reputed farther of the I'yakarana" If, however, he thereupon hardly praises 'His [Panini's] work may or may not have been looked upon by his contemporaries as having attained the summit of excellency, but, at all events, it must have ascended far beyond mediocrity," this modest attitude is based on supposed shortcomings, on account of which Katy ayana blames the master or believes to improve upon his formulas'?

Katy ayana however, was, at Goldstucker himselfsays no contemporary of Panini's There was, on the contrarty, such a considerable period of time between Panini and Katy ayana, and much more so between Panini and Patanjali, that Katyayana even would consider as sold that which was not only not old, but in all probability did not yet exist in Papini's time".

¹⁾ Goldstucker, Parmi p 123 . Py Wanternite, Geschaft e Ill, p 3fe

³⁾ Goldetucker Im eitap 159

While, thus, on the one hand, such forms as are contested by Katyayana, or whom else the authorship of the Varthusa must be ascribed to, may belong to older periods, or may even be confined to some particular classes or regions, on the other hand, Patanjali not seldom undicates Panini, although he himself settles his own stats

Pānini, the stupid boy whom Çiva had been gracious to, was, no doubt, the great grammarian, who occupies the first place in the munitraya by which classic Sanskrit was submitted to laws His work, in which "die wissenschaftliche Behandlung einer Einzelsprache vorliegt in einer Vollendung, die das Staunen aller derer erregt, welche genauer damit bekannt sind, die selbst jetzt nicht allem unubertroffen, sondern noch unerreicht dasteht" 1), "stands — and will always stand as long as Sanskrit continues to be studied — as a monument at once of encyclopedic research and technical perfection" 2)

"He has had", says Whitney 3), "commentators in abundance, and has undergone at their hands some measure of amendment and completion, but he has not been overthrown or superseded'

The question, however, whether, or how far, Panini has succeeded in describing the dialect he intended to fix by his formulas, does not concern the system

Logical and mnemotechnical principle.

If the foregoing research should admit of a conclusion being drawn in respect of Panini's system, it must be admitted that, as regards the application of the logical principle, the master has sometimes failed. It cannot be alleged in excuse here that "quandoque bonus dormitat Homerus" For, particularly in that part of the statallysty which precedes the Tripādi, notwithstanding the fact that the liberty of grouping and arranging was greatest in this very part, there are portions which, if seen from this standpoint, would seem suggestive of mere bungle. Likewise the manner in which Panini passes from one process group to another, does not seldom make an artifical, nay sometimes child-like impression.

Classifying his sūtras logically is evidently not always what Panini is primarily aiming at, however subtle the separate dis

¹⁾ Benfey, cf Kaegi, RV, p 10 2) Belvalkar, Systems, p 12
2) Whitney, Grammar, p xi

tinctions in themselves are, A characteristic Initia feature is missing here.

It cannot even be the alleged brenty of expression which seemed of prime importance to Pārini. Why then should be have often chosen the longer word, where the shorter would have done as well (anyairanyāre instead of oil etc., Faral's, CXV etc.)?

Comparatively slightly as the logical prunche has been departed from in the very Trijili, here too, however, a decaded prediction for acutely expressing, i.e. by means of not too few, but even less by too many words precessly formulating the aphoresses in their mutual relation, along with a continual cliepsis by contraction (camptil), lends to the style that woodrous conciseness and terseness by which the Artility in distinguishes itself from all that can be commared with it.

Mnemotechnics in which the veneration for word-memory, primitive tendency of Hindu civilization, finds its highest expression.

Even if it may not always be in favour of clearness, and even if it may be true that Pannin, by so doing, fairly often makes high demands upon the sound-understanding of the reader, whether in leaving it to him to determine the cases that have been two broadly defined for the sake of mnemotrehmes (c, V VII 3 tot seq.; yah; VIII 4 45; yar, 56. Jhal (see p. 96, Obs. 54), 62 (with a view to 63): jhayah etc.) or otherwise in appealing to common sense.

Though, again, it should be borne in mind that Panini was conscious of addressing himself to country-men, masters themselres, who knew the forms Panini laid down in his aphonisms (cf. the observation of Speyer's. 1) That a severely mathematical system could not arise in this way, is evident, if it be acknowledged that Liebich is right when he speaks of the "Wortalgebra" of the Satra-pāha. Close attention is here the foremost requirement.

Asiddhatea-frincifle.

The four times one hundred sutras of the Tray List have been examined here in their relation to the four times one thousand of

¹⁾ Speyer, Analieia LXIV, § 6 Papin is Abucht wur es nicht, Anslinder eine fremde Spruche zu lehren, vielmehr für die Spruchgemeinschaft, welcher er selbet angehörte, die Gesetze der Spruchrichtigkeit in einem System nielerrulegen.

the whole. The most important of them have been tested by applying them to the examples usually quoted. The manner of interpreting has been accounted for in \$5.6—9. Whether or not all the forms that have not come up for discussion should conform to the theory that has been explained there, and, whether the number of those sutras which were called astiddia, but proved not to be so, must be regarded as being considerably greater, may be decided by a more exhaustive research. But for a few cases the required possession of primary or — mostly — secondary astiddiator of the sutras of the Tripadi has been established, their putting under VIII 2 I accounted for The universal validity of the Pürvatrāsiddham could, indeed, no more be excepted than in the case of other adhikaras (p. 50) In numerous cases, moreover, the abolition of neutralization was evident (§ 11.5).

The Trspads proved, however, to be not only, or especially, a number of single sutras which have one peculiar quality (the assidhatea) in common, that, rather, a system of the matchagroups which are rationally classified and ar ranged A hierarchy of groups which results from an ingenous set of developmental progressions which are interwoven in such a way as to admit of a maximum mnemotechnical effect, and whereby yet the Purvatrasidham is maintained so carefully as to render nearly every group asiddha with reference to the immediately preceding one of the same rank

Combination of the assidhatva with the logical and mnemotechnical principle

In this sense and under the said reserve at the end of this first research about the system of the most remarkable part of the Astadhyāyī as far as this is concerned, the opinion on the most famous vyākarana antiquity prides itself on cannot but run Sarvatratva stadham tit!